

L.44 EXHIBIT D PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Past Performance Information Questionnaire for:
Respondent: Please fill in the following table:
1. Complete Name and Title of Responder
2. Company or Agency Name, Address, Telephone Number, Facsimile Number (w/Area Code), and E-mail Address
3. Contract Name or Title, Contract Number, and Type of Contract
4. Signature

4 = Outstanding	Performance was substantially and consistently above contract requirements. Contractor displayed an overall superior understanding of contract requirements, and used innovative approaches leading to enhanced performance.
3 = Good	Performance was above minimum contract requirements. Contractor displayed a thorough understanding of contract requirements.
2 = Satisfactory	Performance met minimum contract requirements.
1 = Marginal	Performance was below minimum contract requirements. Contractor displayed a lack of thorough understanding of contract requirements in one or more significant performance areas.
0 = Unsatisfactory	Performance completely failed to meet the minimum contract requirements. Contractor displayed a total lack of understanding of contract requirements.
NA = Not Applicable	
DK = Don't Know	No knowledge available to respond to this question.
For any rating(s) less than 2, please attach an explanatory narrative. We greatly appreciate your time and assistance in completing this questionnaire.	

<p>1. How would you rate the contractor's performance in the following areas: Meeting contract milestones? Submitting deliverables timely? Adherence to contract schedules?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p> <p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p> <p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>2. How would you rate the contractor's ability to perform within the contract ceiling or estimated cost?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>3. Did the contractor utilize cost efficiencies in performance of your contract?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>4. How would you rate the contractor's cost performance?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>5. Did the contractor submit accurate and timely invoices?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>6. If proposals were generated for changes, requests for equitable adjustment, or claims, how would you rate the reasonableness of the pricing?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>7. How would you rate the contractor's key personnel performance?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>8. How would you rate the contractor's ability to recruit and retain well-qualified key personnel?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>9. Did the contractor utilize an effective project management system that included planning, budgeting, status tracking, reporting, baseline management, critical path analysis, and work breakdown structure?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>10. Has there been a positive or negative trend in contract performance ("0" would be a very negative trend, "4" would be a very positive trend)</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>11. How would you rate the contractor's ability to create teaming/partnering relationships to achieve project goals?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>12. How would you rate the contractor's ability to integrate activities with other contractors on multiple contractor sites?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>13. Was the Performance Work Statement executed effectively by the contractor in a responsive manner?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>14. How would you rate the contractor's responsiveness to technical direction?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>
<p>15. Was the contractor's Environment Safety & Health (ES&H) program in compliance with contract requirements and protective of workers, public, and the environment?</p>	<p>[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]</p>

16. Was the contractor effective in subcontract management and did it meet subcontracting goals?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

17. Did the contractor provide an effective and efficient transition from the previous contractor?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

18. Did the contractor effectively manage regulatory compliance programs and regulatory interfaces?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

19. Did the contractor's corporate office effectively support your contract?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

20. Did the contractor develop and implement an effective quality assurance program?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

21. Did the contractor effectively implement human resources requirements and manage labor relations?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

22. Did the contractor manage effectively including cooperation with the technical representatives, the Contracting Officer, and other stakeholders showing flexibility and responsiveness?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

23. Was the contractor effective in working with organized labor, media, and other stakeholders?

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0] [NA] [DK]

24. Provide an overall assessment of the contractor's performance.

[4] [3] [2] [1] [0]

25. Would you hire this Company again?

[] Yes [] No

Please Provide Any Additional Comments Below

--

