HIGHLIGHTS

Simulation tools developed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)-led National Risk Assessment Partnership (NRAP) are under review by members of industry, regulatory agencies, universities, and other organizations, such as the Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships (RSCPs). The successful deployment of the tools will enable users to predict the safety and permanence of carbon storage systems. Following review, the NRAP project team will implement improvements based on the feedback, with the final tool release expected in late 2016. For more information on NRAP and the new tool set, visit the NRAP website. From Energy.gov on February 11, 2016.

“U.S. Takes the Helm of International Carbon Capture Test Network.”
The International Test Center Network (ITCN), a global consortium of facilities conducting research and development (R&D) on carbon capture technologies, will be led by the United States (represented by the Office of Fossil Energy [FE]), according to an announcement from DOE and Norway’s Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM). The ITCN, which also includes facilities in Australia, Canada, Germany, and the United Kingdom (UK), was formed by the DOE-sponsored National Carbon Capture Center (NCCC) and TCM to facilitate knowledge transfer from carbon capture test facilities around the world. Since its inception, the ITCN members have shared lessons learned from carbon capture R&D to aid in the commercial development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies. From Energy.gov on February 24, 2016.

DOE/FE Initiative Accepting Applications for Research Experience in Carbon Storage.
The Research Experience in Carbon Sequestration (RECS) Program, a DOE/FE initiative, is accepting applications from graduate students and early career professionals for RECS 2016, scheduled for June 12-20, 2016, in Birmingham, Alabama, USA. RECS 2016 will offer hands-on experience in areas related to carbon capture, utilization, and storage. The deadline to apply is May 13, 2016. For more information, visit the RECS website.

RGGI Releases Reports.

Scientists Collaborate on CCS Research.
The University of Edinburgh (UK) and the University of Regina (Canada) signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) focused on strategic international research on CCS. The MOU will establish up to three scholarships each year; successful students will be accepted as visiting graduate students at Regina following the completion of two semesters for the University of Edinburgh’s Master of Science (MSc) in CCS.

MITEI Announces Partnership to Support Low-Carbon Future.
The Massachusetts Institute of Technology’s Energy Initiative (MITEI) will partner with national energy provider Exelon to advance technologies to address potential climate change through MITEI’s eight Low-Carbon Energy Centers. Each center focuses on advancing research in a specific technology area, such as carbon capture, utilization, and storage.

CCS Technical Advisor Named to Gassnova.
AGR, a well construction and engineering project management company, will act as technical advisor to the Norwegian state-owned Gassnova, which focuses on the research, development, and implementation of CCS technology in Norway. As part of the agreement, AGR will offer technical expertise across geoscience, reservoir, and drilling disciplines, as well as facilities and cost engineering.
CARBON STORAGE in the NEWS

“[Japan Plans Test of Carbon Capture].”

Japan will inject CO₂ into saline formations off the coast of Hokkaido in a pilot project sponsored by the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI). Beginning in April 2016, CO₂ will be injected annually into two separate reservoirs under the seabed off the port of Tomakomai in Hokkaido at a site prepared by the Tokyo-based research company Japan CCS Co. From Bloomberg on February 28, 2016.

“Norway Examines Feasibility of CO₂ Shipping.”

Norwegian state operator Gassco has commissioned two companies to study the transport of CO₂ by ship. The study will analyze various ship-based solutions for CO₂ transport, with the companies, Knutsen OAS Shipping and Larvik Shipping, submitting their finding to Gassco by mid-April 2016. From The Maritime Executive on February 29, 2016.

“Project to Test CO₂ Monitoring from a Subsea [Release].”

Carbon dioxide will be injected into the sea floor in the North Sea as part of a controlled experiment to test the safety of offshore CCS. The Strategies for the Environmental Monitoring of Marine CCS (STEMM-CCS) project, set to take place in 2018, will simulate emissions from a submerged CO₂ storage reservoir. The collaborative project will be led by the National Oceanographic Center (NOC) and will enable scientists to develop a system capable of detecting and quantifying the volume of CO₂ released. The technology will be installed at the site before the controlled release of the CO₂ in order to compare the natural changes from those resulting from the controlled release. From Carbon Capture Journal on March 2, 2016.

“ETI Studies Brine Removal from Subsea CO₂ Stores.”

The Energy Technology Institute (ETI) launched a project that will study the impact of removing brine from underground storage reservoirs that have CO₂ storage potential. The “Impact of Brine Production on [Formation] Storage” project will build on earlier CCS research and aid in developing an understanding of potential CO₂ storage reservoirs located beneath UK waters. From Carbon Capture Journal on March 2, 2016.

“Kuwait’s First CO₂ Plant Officially Opened.”

Kuwait’s first CO₂ plant, with a design capacity of 280 metric tons of CO₂ per day, has officially opened. The plant is an international joint venture between Equate Petrochemical Company and Gulf Cryo. The Equate Petrochemical Company will provide raw CO₂ from its industrial operations to Gulf Cryo for use in various commercial applications. From Trade Arabia on February 17, 2016.

SCIENCE

“Enhanced Levels of Carbon Dioxide are Likely Cause of Global Dryland Greening, Study Says.”

According to a study conducted by the School of Science at the Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), enhanced levels of atmospheric CO₂ could be leading to global dryland greening. Researchers analyzed 45 studies from 8 countries, finding that the greening observed through satellite images may be a result of potentially rising levels of atmospheric CO₂ on plant water savings and the consequent increases in available soil water. Published in the journal “Scientific Reports,” the study examined the sensitivity of soil water change to varying levels of CO₂, and discovered a positive change in soil water along the CO₂ enrichment gradient. The research also showed that elevated CO₂ enhanced soil water levels in drylands more than in non-drylands. From Phys.org on February 16, 2016.

POLICY

“Sweden Reveals Plan to Go ‘Carbon Neutral’ by 2045.”

The Swedish parliamentary committee responsible for environmental policy proposed a plan to go “carbon neutral” by reducing its emissions by at least 85 percent from 1990 levels. The country would then offset the remaining 15 percent by investing in projects to reduce CO₂ emissions abroad. The government is expected to release more details on the legislative proposals later this year. From Business Green on February 12, 2016.

“Japan to Target 80 [Percent] Emissions [Reduction] by 2050.”

According to a draft report, the Japanese government plans to reduce their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions 80 percent from their current level by 2050. The draft states Japan will also set an official target of a 3.8 percent or more reduction in GHG emissions by 2020 compared to the 2005 level, as well as a target to reduce emissions by 26 percent by 2030 compared to 2013. Those targets, along with the long-term 2050 target, will be registered with the United Nations (UN) after the latest international framework on climate change takes effect. From Nikkei Asian Review on February 29, 2016.

“The European low-carbon mix for 2030: The role of renewable energy sources in an environmentally and socially efficient approach.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “The European Union’s [EU] commitment to increase the presence of renewable energy sources in its portfolio has resulted in better levels of security of supply, competitiveness and environmental sustainability. This proposed work reviews European legislation regarding the promotion of renewable energy sources, as well as the bibliography that applies portfolio theory methodology to energy policy. This double revision gives rise to the question whether the share limits of renewable energy technologies anticipated for the European power mix in 2020 and 2030 are actually efficient. The optimization model corrects for the attractiveness of renewable energy sources as opposed to conventional sources in terms of costs, risks and pollutant gas emissions. This model successfully and explicitly identifies the positive effect on the environment that is represented by the inclusion of renewable energy sources in the portfolio. The goal is to minimize the cost and risk that society must bear to produce electricity, in addition to compliance with European pollutant gas (CO₂, SO₂, NO, and PM) objectives. The results for 2020 indicate that the EU would not be able to reach its emissions reduction goals with the anticipated shares of renewable energy sources. In 2030, achieving a lower emissions portfolio would not mean taking on greater costs, although it would be necessary to assume a greater level of risk. The anticipated shares of renewable energy sources (+5 [percent]) and fossil fuel technologies (+15 [percent]) would be overdimensioned in the forecasts analyzed. In terms of technologies, both nuclear and wind energy stand out, both with shares above 20 [percent]. On the contrary, biomass and solar photovoltaic energies would be unnecessary in order to reach efficiency. In any case, one thing is clear: The EU would be the master of its energy future if it prioritizes the importance of renewable energy sources in its efficient portfolio.” From Fernando delLlano-Paz, Anxo Calvo-Silvo-sa, Susana Iglesias Antelo, and Isabel Soares, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. (Subscription may be required.)

“European Carbon Capture and Storage Project Network: Overview of the Status and Developments.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “The European CCS Project Network [the ‘Network’] is currently composed of projects located in the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, and the UK. The goal of the Network is to accelerate deployment of CCS by sharing project development experiences about technology integration, regulatory environment and financial structures. This paper aims to provide a review of some CCS experiences gained from developing the Network projects. Besides technology and project development, sharing knowledge and lessons learned on project-level basis, also gives valuable insights on how to create policies that would assist more effective deployment of technology and can enable development and implementation of regulatory frameworks. Hence, knowledge acquired in CCS aspects during the early development of this technology in Europe will be presented in this paper.” From Zoe Kapetaki, Jelena Simjanovic, and Jens Hetland, Energy Procedia. (Subscription may be required.)
**GEOLOGY**

“Effects of rate law formulation on predicting CO₂ [storage] in sandstone formations.”

The following is the Summary of this article: “Injection of CO₂ into confined geo-
logical formations, given their massive carbon storage capacity and widespread
distribution, represents one of the most promising options for CO₂ [stor-
age]. Reactive transport models have been constructed to understand the process
carbon storage and predict the fate of injected CO₂. Model results, however,
differ dramatically because of the large uncertainties attributed to reaction kinetics.
The root of this problem is partly related to the one of the biggest challenges in
together: the persistent two to five orders of magnitude discrepancy
between laboratory-measured and field-derived feldspar dissolution rates. Recent-
y, advances in reaction kinetics research suggest that the slow precipitation of sec-
ondary minerals produces negative feedback in the dissolution—precipitation loop,
which reduces the overall feldspar dissolution rates by orders of magnitude. In this
study, the authors focused on how the coupling between feldspar dissolution and
secondary mineral precipitation, as well as mineral carbonation, is affected by rate
law uncertainties. Reactive transport models with four different rate law scenarios
were used for CO₂ [storage] in a sandstone formation resembling the Mt. Simon
saline reservoir in the Midwest, USA. The results indicate that (1) long-term mineral
trapping is more sensitive to rate laws for feldspar dissolution than to rate laws for
carbonate mineral precipitation and (2) negligence of the sigmoidal shape of rate –
emission relationships and the mitigating effects of secondary mineral precipitation can
overestimate both the extent of feldspar dissolution during CO₂ injection and in turn
mineral trapping.”

Guansu Zhang, Peng Lu, Yikun Zhang, Xiaomei Wei, and Chen Zhu. *International Journal of Energy Research.* (Subscription may be required.)

“Reduced order models of transient CO₂ and brine [release] along
abandoned wellbores from geologic carbon [storage] reservoirs.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “[The authors] have developed reduced
order models (ROMs) for CO₂ and brine [release] rates along wellbores includ-
ing abandoned wells at geologic CO₂ storage sites using a Multivariate Adaptive
Regression Splines (MARS) algorithm. The ROMs were developed for use within
systems level performance assessment models such as Los Alamos National Lab-
oratory’s CO₂-PENS model. The ROMs are used to compute [release] rates as a
function of wellbore properties including effective permeability, depth as well as
pressures and saturations in the reservoir where the wellbore intercepts the reser-
voir. The ROMs were created using results of complex, 3-D multi-phase numerical
simulations of large-scale CO₂ injection at a generic CO₂ storage site with an aban-
donated wellbore. The generic site included not only the primary storage reservoir but
also a groundwater [formation] and an intermediate permeable zone. Two sets of
simulations were performed, one with and one without an abandoned wellbore in order
to capture the effect of coupling between the storage reservoir and wellbore in
a system level model where it is assumed that they are decoupled. Cross-val-
dation against the complex, multi-phase numerical simulation results were used to
evaluate the ability of the ROMs to reproduce numerical simulation results. Further,
[the authors'] ROM development approach effectively captures transient CO₂ and
brine [release] during and after CO₂ injection as well as the effects of an inter-
mediate permeable zone on [release] to a shallow groundwater [formation] and
to the atmosphere. Ultimately, the ROM is a computationally efficient model that
effectively captures many of the complex underlying processes taking place during
CO₂ and brine [release] along a wellbore at a geologic CO₂ storage site.”

Dylan R. Harp, Rajesh Pavar, J. William Carey, and Carl W. Gable. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* (Subscription may be required.)

**TECHNOLOGY**

“Solving the [CO₂] buoyancy challenge: The design and field testing of a
dissolved CO₂ injection system.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “Long-term security is critical to the suc-
cess and public acceptance of geologic carbon storage. Much of the security risk
associated with geologic carbon storage stems from CO₂ buoyancy. Gaseous and
supercritical CO₂ are less dense than formation waters providing a driving force for
it to escape back to the surface via fractures, or abandoned wells. This buoyancy
can be eradicated by the dissolution of CO₂ into water prior to, or during its injection
into the subsurface. Here [the authors] demonstrate the dissolution of CO₂ into wa-
ter during its injection into basalt leading directly to its geologic solubility storage.
This process was verified via the successful injection of over 175 t of CO₂ dissolved
in 5000 t of water into porous rocks located 400–800 m below the surface at the
Hellasfield, Iceland CarbFix injection site. Although larger volumes are required for
CO₂ storage via this method, because the dissolved CO₂ is no longer buoyant, the
storage formation does not have to be as deep as for supercritical CO₂ and the
[caprock] integrity is less important. This increases the potential storage resource
substantially compared to the current estimated storage potential of supercritical
CO₂.”

Bergur Sigfusson, Sigurdur R. Gislasson, Juerg M. Matter, Martin Stute,
Einar Gunnlaugsson, Ingvi Gunnarsson, Edda S. Aradottir, Holmfridur Sigurard-
dottir, Kifom Mesfin, Heili A. Alfredsson, Domenik Wolff-Boenisch, Magnus T.
(Subscription may be required.)

“Integration of reservoir simulation, history matching, and 4D seismic
for CO₂-EOR and storage at Cranfield, Mississippi, USA.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “In this paper, [the authors] compare 4D
seismic interpretations of CO₂ plume evolution with fluid-flow numerical simulation
results for Cranfield, Mississippi. Historic pressure trends, oil and gas production
rates, and current CO₂–enhanced oil recovery (EOR) production data from the field
were history matched, and a tuned model was used for predictive simulations. For
CO₂–EOR operations, numerical simulation results of the CO₂ plume distribution
and CO₂ first arrival (breakthrough) times in production wells were compared to the
available field data. Three interpretations of 4D seismic data show discrepancies
on the edges of the seismic survey, and along the sealing fault, where numeri-
cal simulations show high CO₂ saturations. In areas between these two limits, the
match between simulation and 4D seismic interpretation improves. In addition, for
most of the production wells, comparison of the breakthrough time of CO₂ showed
a reasonable match. The tuned model was then used to predict reservoir response
and storage capacity in different field development scenarios under CO₂ injection.
[The authors] compared hypothetical scenarios where the operator transitions from
CO₂–EOR to CO₂ injection without oil production (CO₂–EOR), where oil production is
not economical anymore, to a scenario of continuing with CO₂–EOR. [The authors’]
results show that CO₂–EOR can store more CO₂, and operations will last longer,
whereas if switched to CO₂–EORT, the field must be abandoned earlier because of
spillover of the CO₂ plume. However, the amount of CO₂ stored per year is larger for
CO₂–EORT as compared to CO₂–EOR.”

Masoud Alfi and Seyyed A. Hosseini. *Fuel.* (Subscription may be required.)

“Density Measurement and Modeling of CO₂–Brine System at
Temperature and Pressure Corresponding to Storage Conditions.”

The following is the Abstract of this article: “The densities of CO₂ solution of the
brine from Teikoku Oil Field located at Niigata Prefecture in Japan are measured by
a magnetic suspension balance at temperatures from 303.15 to 323.15 K, pres-
sures from 10 to 20 MPa, and CO₂ mole fractions of 0.0038, 0.0040, 0.0087,
0.0100, and 0.0160. Results show that the densities of CO₂–brine solution in-
crease to 0.86 [percent] from that of brine and linearly increases with pressure at a
gradient of 0.411 kg·m⁻³·Pa⁻¹ and with CO₂ mole fraction at an average gradient
of 514 kg·m⁻³·mol⁻¹ at a temperature of 303.15 K. On the other hand, the density
of CO₂–brine solution decreases with increasing temperature at an average rate of
–0.377 kg·m⁻³·K⁻¹ under [the authors’] experimental conditions. The ePC-PSAFT
model is applied to predict the data obtained from this study and those from liter-
ate. It is demonstrated that the model works well with average relative deviation
(ARD) of 0.27 [percent]. A correlation of density ratio of CO₂–brine solution to brine
is provided and validated by data used in the ePC-PSAFT model, which is conve-
nient for engineering application in comparison with that by the ePC-PSAFT. The
ARDs for density ratio predicted by ePC-PSAFT and correlation are 0.075 [percent]
and 0.019 [percent] for this work, respectively.”

Yi Zhang, Tongtong Li, Baixin Chen, Masahiro Nishio, and Yongchen Song. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* (Subscription may be required.)
**TERRESTRIAL**

**“Villagers and Scientists [Collaborate in Mangrove Project].”**

A research project exploring mangroves on the coasts of Kenya found that mangrove forests are being impacted by coastal development. Mangrove forests filter pollutants and can reduce the impact of CO₂ emissions. The research, led by Edinburgh Napier University (UK) and the Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, has led to the conservation and restoration training of scientists, provided data for the Kenyan government’s conservation policies, and led to the creation of a Community Forest Association. Since it was initiated in 2010, the project has led to the restoration of 20 hectares of degraded land. From *The Star* on February 26, 2016.

**Aquatic carbon cycling in the conterminous United States and implications for terrestrial carbon accounting.”**

The following is the Abstract of this article: “Inland water ecosystems dynamically process, transport, and [store] carbon. However, the transport of carbon through aquatic environments has not been quantitatively integrated in the context of terrestrial ecosystems. Here, [the authors] present the first integrated assessment, to [their] knowledge, of freshwater carbon fluxes for the conterminous United States, where 106 (range: 71–149) teragrams of carbon per year (TgC•y⁻¹) is exported downstream or emitted to the atmosphere and sedimentation stores 21 (range: 9–65) TgC•y⁻¹ in lakes and reservoirs. [The authors] show that there is significant regional variation in aquatic carbon flux, but verify that emission across stream and river surfaces represents the dominant flux at 69 (range: 36–110) TgC•y⁻¹ or 65 [percent] of the total aquatic carbon flux for the conterminous United States. Comparing [the authors’] results with the output of a suite of terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs), [the authors] suggest that within the current modeling framework, calculations of net ecosystem production (NEP) defined as terrestrial only may be overestimated by as much as 27 [percent]. However, the internal production and mineralization of carbon in freshwaters remain to be quantified and would reduce the effect of including aquatic carbon fluxes within calculations of terrestrial NEP. Reconciliation of carbon mass–flux interactions between terrestrial and aquatic carbon sources and sinks will require significant additional research and modeling capacity.”

David Butman, Sarah Stackpoole, Edward Stets, Cory P. McDonald, David W. Clow, and Robert G. Striegl, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* (Subscription may be required.)

**TRADING**

**“Ontario Releases Draft Cap-and-Trade Regulations].”**

The Ontario government proposed annual emissions cuts of more than four percent for its cap-and-trade system in recently released draft regulations. Amendments to the regulations, which will undergo a period of public consultation, will allow for Ontario’s program to be connected to the cap-and-trade programs in California and Québec (once a linking deal has been agreed upon). The draft proposes to cap CO₂ emissions for included sectors at 142.332 million metric tons in 2017, when the scheme starts, falling more than four percent per year to 124.668 million metric tons in 2020. From *Carbon Pulse* on February 26, 2016.

**“Guangdong Becomes China’s First Carbon Market to Green-Light OTC Forward Trading.”**

The Guangdong emissions exchange became China’s first carbon market to release rules for forward trading in carbon. Under the rules, published in a bid to formalize the practice, parties may negotiate forward trades of Guangdong Emissions Allowances (GDAs) and Chinese Certified Emissions Reductions (CCERs). From *Carbon Pulse* on February 3, 2016.

**“An optimal control model for reducing and trading of carbon emissions.”**

The following is the Abstract of this article: “A stochastic optimal control model of reducing and trading for carbon emissions is established in this paper. With considerations of reducing the carbon emission growth and the price of the allowances in the market, an optimal policy is searched to have the minimum total costs to achieve the agreement of emission reduction targets. The model turns to a two-dimension HJB equation problem. By the methods of reducing dimension and Cole–Hopf transformation, a semi-closed form solution of the corresponding HJB problem under some assumptions is obtained. For more general cases, the numerical calculations, analysis and comparisons are presented.” Huaying Guo and Jin Liang, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications.* (Subscription may be required.)
A group of lawmakers proposed a bill supporting deployment of carbon capture equipment at coal facilities for EOR. The measure would make permanent the existing CCS incentive (known as the 45Q tax credit), which is set to expire once reaching 75 million tons of CO₂. Under the proposal, the credit value for CO₂ storage through EOR or other types of geologic storage would be gradually increased from $10 and $20 per ton, respectively, to $30 per ton by 2025. From Utility Dive on February 26, 2016.
ABOUT DOE’S CARBON STORAGE PROGRAM

The Carbon Storage Program advances the development and validation of technologies that enable safe, cost-effective, permanent geologic storage of CO₂. The Carbon Storage Program also supports the development of best practices for CCS that will benefit projects implementing CCS at a commercial scale, such as those being performed under NETL’s Clean Coal Power Initiative and Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage Programs. The technologies being developed and the small- and large-scale injection projects conducted through this program will be used to benefit the existing and future fleet of fossil fuel power-generating facilities by developing tools to increase our understanding of the behavior of CO₂ in the subsurface and identifying the geologic reservoirs appropriate for CO₂ storage.

The Carbon Storage Program Overview webpage provides detailed information of the program’s structure, as well as links to the webpages that summarize the program’s key elements.

Carbon Storage Program Resources

The National Energy Technology Laboratory’s CCS Database includes active, proposed, and terminated CCS projects worldwide. The information is taken from publicly available sources to provide convenient access to information regarding efforts by various industries, public groups, and governments towards development and eventual deployment of CCS technology. NETL’s CCS Database is available as a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and also as a customizable layer in Google Earth.

Newsletters, program fact sheets, best practices manuals, roadmaps, educational resources, presentations, and more are available via the Carbon Storage Program Publications webpage.

Get answers to your carbon capture and storage questions at NETL’s Frequently Asked Questions webpage.

ABOUT NETL’S CARBON STORAGE NEWSLETTER

Compiled by the National Energy Technology Laboratory, this newsletter is a monthly summary of public and private sector carbon storage news from around the world. The article titles are links to the full text for those who would like to read more.
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