

Combined Sorbent/WGS-Based CO₂ Capture Process with Integrated Heat Management for IGCC Systems (FE0026388)

Pls: Santosh Gangwal and Shen Zhao

2018 NETL CO₂ Capture Technology Project Review Meeting, August 13-17

Project Overview

- Southern Research is developing an MgO sorbent-based combined CO₂ capture / water-gas shift (WGS) process for integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants.
- Participants and Roles:
 - Southern Research: Project lead
 - IntraMicron: Heat exchange reactor loading
 - Nexant: TEA support







Project Goals

The project seeks to demonstrate at bench-scale:

- 90% carbon capture with 97% CO conversion
- Production of 95% pure CO₂
- Potential for reduction in cost of electricity for IGCC plants with CO₂ capture



Project Innovations

- Combine a commercial WGS catalyst with a novel magnesium oxide based CO₂ sorbent to capture 90 % + carbon and produce a hydrogen-rich syngas for IGCC
 - Microfiber entrapped catalyst (MFEC) reactor enables high thermal conductivity, both radially and axially, resulting in near isothermal operation
 - Integrated WGS catalyst/CO₂ sorbent reactor enables higher CO₂ partial pressure and corresponding fast capture kinetics
 - Elevated temperature operation results in higher efficiency

Technical Approach

- Period of Performance: Oct 2015 Sept 2018 (36 months)
 - BP1 (Oct 2015 Sept 2016): Develop and characterize SR CO₂ sorbent; Separately test performance of WGS catalyst and CO₂ sorbent
 - BP2 (Oct 2016 Mar 2018): Design and test the combined system in packed bed and MFEC; Evaluate and optimize reactor configurations, preliminary reactor modeling and TEA
 - BP3 (Apr 2018 Sept 2018): Long-duration run for stability testing, Final TEA report

Technical Approach (continued)





Bench-Scale Reactor System



Summary of Experimental Effort

- Simulated gases used: TRIG and GE
- 15 sorbents prepared; best sorbent (SR 1.3) selected based on TGA and characterization tests; extrudates prepared; reproducibility of preparation demonstrated
- Alternative microfiber materials evaluated in MFEC reactors
- WGS catalyst performance verified over 100 hours at two steam levels
- SR1.3 extensively tested
 - ~2000 cycles in TGA
 - ~800 cycles in separate and combined packed bed reactor (~3000 hours)
 - ~400 cycles in combined MFEC reactor (~1500 hours)
 - Various regeneration schemes evaluated



Reactor Details (MFEC vs. Packed-bed)



	MFEC	Packed-bed
Reactor Size / inch	14* ¾ (OD)	14* ¾ (OD)
Sorbent / g	40	15
Catalyst / cc	12	5
Inert Dilute	N/A	SiC
Particle Size / micrometer	50	800

MFEC capable of holding 2.6 times more material in same reactor volume

MFEC had <1/2 the temperature variation compared to diluted packed bed

Highlights of Results

Sorbent Characteristics





SR 1.3 promoted MgO

 Mg Kαl_2
 O Kαl

 Image B
 Image B

 Image B
 Image B

11

TGA Sorbent Performance (Mild Regeneration)



TGA Sorbent Performance (Aggressive Regeneration)



SR

Combined Reactor WGS Performance (Thermodynamic Limit at Run Conditions (96.5 % CO Conversion)



Packed-bed Reactor

MFEC Reactor



Sorbent Performance (mild regeneration)



265 cycle test

SR

Sorbent Working Capacity (Aggressive Regeneration)



Packed bed Reactor

MFEC Reactor

Conclusions and Future Work

Conclusions

- A promising CO₂ sorbent with CO2 capacity over 8 mmol/g has been developed.
- A combined process for WGS and CO₂ capture in a single reactor system has been demonstrated at bench scale
- MFEC reactor has significantly better heat management capability compared to even a highly diluted packed-bed reactor.
- Aggressive regeneration leads to higher CO₂ working capacity but results in lower working capacity when sorbent stabilizes
- Preliminary TEA shows that the use of the CO₂/WGS MFEC reactor reduces the capital cost by > 20 % for the gas cleanup section in large scale IGCC system.

Future Work

- Investigate reactivation of deactivated sorbent due to aggressive regeneration
- Complete long term test to demonstrate durability
- Finalize TEA and submit final report

Acknowledgement

- Funding Provided by US Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory and Southern Research under Co-operative Agreement # DE-FE0026388
- DOE Project Manager: Isaac "Andy" Aurelio DOE/NETL
- Intramicron: Paul Dimick
- Nexant: Gerry Choi
- Engineers: Brittany Basu and Kevin McCabe, and support staff at Southern Research

Disclaimer

The Government reserves for itself and others acting on its behalf a royalty-free, nonexclusive, irrevocable, worldwide license for Governmental purposes to publish, distribute, translate, duplicate, exhibit and perform this paper. Neither Southern Research Institute nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any person acting on behalf of either: makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Department of Energy. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Department of Energy

