# InnoSepra

# Transformational Sorbent-Based Processes for a Substantial Reduction in the Cost of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture

August 2019

Ravi Jain

ravi.jain@innosepra.com

www.innosepra.com 908-450-9840 (o) 908-672-7395 (c)

### **Executive Summary**

- InnoSepra's process utilizes physical sorbents with low heat of adsorption (~0.8 GJ/MT)
  - Can produce CO<sub>2</sub> at high purity (>99%) and recovery (>90%) for coal and natural gas-based power plants, and industrial sources
  - The estimated absolute energy required, excluding compression, is 1.5 GJ/MT, about 57% lower than MEA for coal-based plants
  - Heat needed at about 100°C compared to >140°C for amines
  - Lower absolute amount of heat needed and lower steam extraction temperature leads to ~78% lower power loss due to steam extraction
  - Potential for 50% reduction in the capital, and 78% reduction in parasitic power compared to MEA leading to >50% reduction in capture cost for EOR grade CO<sub>2</sub> (<1 ppm H<sub>2</sub>O, <1 ppm SO<sub>X</sub>, <10 ppm O<sub>2</sub>)

# The First Generation InnoSepra Process

- Demonstrated at 100-scfm scale at a coal-fired power plant
  - 8-10.5 wt% net CO<sub>2</sub> capacity in the field (much higher than steam regenerable sorbents)
  - >94% CO<sub>2</sub> recovery, 98.5- 99.5% CO<sub>2</sub> purity
  - The total heat requirement is 2.1 GJ/MT of CO<sub>2</sub>
    - The power loss due to steam extraction is about 50% of amines due to lower steam extraction temperature
    - 18% reduction in total plant output due to steam extraction and CO<sub>2</sub> compression compared to 25-28% reduction for amines
  - About \$38/MT capture cost, 48% lower than MEA

# Adsorption Skid at NRG's Indian River Plant



# The Second Generation InnoSepra Process

- A novel regeneration method and sorbent combination developed for the second generation process allows
  - Reduction in non-heat of adsorption part of parasitic power from 1.3 GJ/MT to 0.7 GJ/MT for a total parasitic power of 1.5 GJ/MT
    - The power loss due to steam extraction is 78% lower than MEA
  - <16% loss in total power output due to steam extraction and CO<sub>2</sub> compression
  - A projected capture cost of \$32/MT and a pathway for a capture cost below \$30/MT with no increase in LCOE

# **The DOE Project**

### Major project tasks

- Material and process development at lab scale through lab scale testing, isotherm measurements and Monte Carlo simulation
- Adsorption process modeling and process Intensification
- Testing at TCM at >100-scfm scale
- Detailed engineering design including modular design to maximize shop fabrication, a techno-economic analysis to show the benefits

#### Project Partners

 Technology Center Mongstad (TCM), Arizona State, Main Line Engineering, Plant Process Equipment

#### Performance Period and Project Budget

- Two budget periods totaling 36 months
- Total project budget is \$4.02 M including cost share

## Summary

- The InnoSepra technology has the potential for a significant reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost for a variety of sources
- It is possible to obtain very high recovery (>90%), and high purity (>99%) CO<sub>2</sub> with physical sorbents while meeting the EOR/sequestration product specifications.
  - Potential to reduce the parasitic power required by about 78%, and the capital required by about 50% leading to >50% reduction in the CO<sub>2</sub> capture cost for the coal-based power plant flue gas
    - A potential capture cost below \$30/MT with no increase in LCOE