LBNL – FP00008137 Behavior of Sediments Containing Methane Hydrate, Water, and Gas Subjected to Gradients and Changing Conditions

Tim Kneafsey, Sharon Borglin, Seiji Nakagawa Presented by Matt Reagan

Energy Geosciences Division, Earth and Environmental Sciences Area, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

This research is supported by the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Natural Gas and Petroleum Technology through the National Energy Technology Laboratory Under the U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231

U.S. Department of Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory Addressing the Nation's Energy Needs Through Technology Innovation – 2019 Carbon Capture, Utilization, Storage, and Oil and Gas Technologies Integrated Review Meeting August 26-30, 2019

Presentation Outline

- Technical Status
 - o Motivation & Background
 - o Goal and Objectives
 - Anticipated Products and Impacts
 - Project Tasks and Activities
 - o Results so far
- Accomplishment to Date
- Lesson Learned
- Synergy Opportunities
- Project Summary

Motivation and Background

Support evaluation and simulation of the recovery of gas from natural gas hydrate via laboratory investigation

- Measure physical, chemical, mechanical, and hydrologic property changes in sediments containing methane hydrate, water, and gas subjected to varying stimuli and conditions.
 - effects of of other gases (CO₂, N₂, mixtures)
 - effects of sediment layering
 - effects of stress
 - effects of relevant gradients [thermal, chemical (salinity or gas composition), and capillary pressure] on hydrate behavior.
- All tests are non-standard and new techniques must be developed.

Project Goals/Objectives

- Evaluate the mechanical properties of hydrate-bearing sediments under controlled conditions to provide data sets for comparisons to numerical models.
- Measurements performed in this project are designed to supplement and support field and numerical simulation investigations to provide benchmark measurements and reality checks.



Modeled cases (Lab cases) Case 1: No Hydrate Formation • Pressure [MPa] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Z-Displacement versus time at z/L = 1.0 Case 2: Hydrate Formation and Dissociation • Pressure [MPa] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Temperature [°C] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Hydrate Saturation versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 Z-Displacement versus time at z/L = 1.0 Case 3: Hydrate Dependent Shear Modulus • Pressure [MPa] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Temperature [°C] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Hydrate Saturation versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 Z-Displacement versus time at z/L = 1.0 Case 4: Rapid Hydrate Kinetics • Pressure [MPa] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Temperature [°C] versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 • Hydrate Saturation versus time at z/L = 0.005, 0.405, 0.605, 0.995 Z-Displacement versus time at z/L = 1.0

Anticipated Products and Impacts

Products:

- New experimental tool (1-D stress/strain) and methodology for measuring and visualizing time and stress-dependent compaction of hydrate-bearing samples
- Laboratory data on stress-dependent compaction of hydrate-bearing samples
- New observations of system behavior

Technical Status

- Task 1.0 Project Management Plan
- Task 2. Laboratory benchmark geomechanical tests for code validation
 - 2a. Design the task with feedback from geomechanical modelers
 - 2b. Test design and construction with existing or off-theshelf pressure equipment
 - 2c Perform a series of tests (cementing hydrate)
 - 2d. Devise transfer method/sample composition for porefilling hydrate
 - 2e. Perform tests on pore-filling hydrate

Evaluated techniques as enabled by laboratory capabilities and funding

Keys:

- Accurately measure 1-D length change in response to loading
- Ability to control pressure temperature flow of water flow of gas hydrate formation sample





Very complex system, time consuming and expensive

Set Screw x 3 evenly spaced around diameter

Designed simple consolidometer to operate inside X-ray-transparent pressure vessel

Evaluate 1-D length measurements (consolidation) under hydrate P, T, X conditions

Issues

- *P*, *T* control difficult in large systems
- Waterproof high pressure LVDT?
- X-ray CT?
- Best resolution on our medical X-ray CT scanner is 0.193 mm x 0.193 mm x 0.625 mm Subvoxel resolution needed.

Too coarse

- Could use

- Different scanner (\$)
- Special table (\$) and data reconstruction
- Develop technique for X-ray CT sub-voxel length measurements
 - Take advantage of higher resolution in *x-y* directions and geometry
 - Enhance axial length resolution
 - Initial concepts include
 - Vernier-type setups (need to alter angle of sample or internal standard)
 - Screw threads





Small axial translation yields large signal on CT plane

Rigid sleeve system

- Thin wall aluminum tube machined and polished
- Rigid enough? difference CT scans show it is





Vessel Setup



Stack Registration

- Stack registration (alignment) must be precise or registration errors will overwhelm measurements
- New technique devised modifying existing methods.



Subtraction (poorly registered)

Subtraction (well registered)

Analysis Method

- Once *precisely* registered, a profile of CT values is obtained from the *exact* same location from each stack across the coupon, and the profiles compared.
- The distance required to align the profiles considering the angle of the incline is used to compute distance translated.
- Both the platen and the piston must be evaluated to quantify total length change.

Location of profile



Examples of test results

- F110 sand with 5% by mass kaolinite
- Moisture to reach 30% saturation
- No hydrate



Large initial compaction settling to stiffer medium

- F110 sand with 5% by mass kaolinite
- Moisture to reach 30% saturation
- Nearly full hydrate conversion, fairly uniform



Hydrate-bearing sediment stiffer (lower slope) than without hydrate

Observations

- During the sample saturation, clay was observed to migrate. This is in contrast to many of our previous studies, but in agreement with studies performed by many others (e.g. KIGAM).
- The impact of the snowflake pattern milled into the piston for fluid distribution was observed several slices into the sample. In response to this, the pattern will be filled in.



Considerations

- Hydrate formation is rarely uniform, although fairly uniform hydrate formation has been seen in similar sand/clay samples. This will make interpretation of the measurements interesting and provide different viewpoints.
- Samples used here are long compared to typical lab samples. This length was selected to maximize the compaction length. Compaction may occur over only a limited portion of the sample however.
- Layer tracking will be implemented using small metal flakes observable by CT.



Kneafsey, T.J., Y. Seol, A. Gupta, and L. Tomutsa (2010), Permeability of Laboratory-Formed Methane-Hydrate-Bearing Sand: Measurements and Observations Using X-Ray Computed Tomography, *SPE Journal*, doi:<u>10.2118/139525-pa</u>.

Accomplishments to Date

- A consolidometer was constructed that allows the measurement of strain on hydrate-bearing samples.
- Strain is computed from X-ray CT scans, using a custom platen and piston containing aluminum coupons at angles to the axis of the scanning. These allow computation of voxel-thickness displacements.
- Precise image registration is required to employ this strategy. To allow for this, a new technique was devised and employed, that was very successful. Adding to the success was the refined usage of the CT scanner itself.
- Two other techniques are under consideration to improve and make the displacement measurements more accurate and automatic.



Displayed using Volume Viewer

Lessons Learned

- The hydrate bearing sediment used in shakedown tests was much stiffer than the non-hydrate bearing sediment (as expected).
- The X-ray CT techniques developed provide fairly sensitive displacement indications.
- Data analysis techniques developed here have resolved image registration and sample displacement issues.

Synergy Opportunities

- Collaboration is expected with researchers at NETL, KIGAM, and other institutions who have been frustrated by problems resolved under this project.
- Discussion of measurements and goals of the project with the International Gas Hydrate Code Comparison Team introduced ideas for collaborative work with NETL and Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.
- Collaborative discussions with USGS and AIST have already occurred resulting in improvements in the design and experiment plan.

Continued Work

- Continue measurements with layer indicators for compaction vs depth
- Perform and compare experiments on cementing and pore filling/supporting hydratebearing sediments
- Develop automatic method to compute distance change

Consider:

 Properties of Alaska test site and determine applicability of new tests to support/understand processes



Appendix

Project Summary

- An experiment plan has been conceived and discussed with domestic and international collaborators, resulting in improvements in the plan.
- A new technique for 1-D compaction tests was devised and tested, showing displacement indications that are much finer than single voxel displacement.
- Measurements made on hydrate-bearing and non hydratebearing sediments show the added stiffness provided by the hydrate.
- New CT and data processing techniques have improved our ability to use the medical CT scanner and data to extract more information.

Program Goals

The ultimate goal of the Gas Hydrate Program is to determine the conditions under which natural gas can be produced from hydratebearing sediments. The tools in use by the program include field tests, numerical simulations, and laboratory tests.

Project Benefits

This project provides important information for interpreting field tests quantifying the importance of the mechanical behavior, natural and imposed thermal, chemical, or capillary pressure gradients, and impacts on hydrological of hydrate-bearing sediments. Questions asked and answered on this project will be from a reservoir perspective understanding that many nonideal conditions can exist.

Organization Chart

Project Team

Helen Prieto

-Administrative Assistance-

Tim Kneafsey, Sharon Borglin, Seiji Nakagawa, Chun Chang –Lab and data analysis–

Gantt Chart

		Budget Period 1								
		FY18				FY19				
	Quarter	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
		Oct	Jan	Apr	Jul-	Oct	Jan	Apr	Jul-	Sep
Task	1.0 Project Management									
	2.0 Laboratory benchmark geomechanical tests for code validation									
	Milestone Report				/	/	X/	/·	/-	-X

Bibliography

For the current research project, publications are still in preparation