Oxy-Combustion System Process Optimization (Contract No. DE-FE-0029090)



Gökhan Alptekin, PhD Ambal Jayaraman, PhD Mike Bonnema Jerrod Hohman Freya Kugler Mike Ware

2018 CO₂ Capture Technology Meeting

August 14, 2018

TDA Research Inc. • Wheat Ridge, CO 80033 • www.tda.com

Project Summary

- The objective is to optimize the Pressurized Oxy-Combustion (POxC) process to minimize the Cost of Electricity (COE)
 - System analysis and design work to optimize POxC process, including thermal management, heat integration, power cycle optimization using process design and modeling supported with Aspen Plus® process simulations
 - Develop a new chemical absorbent-based CO₂ purification system to remove the residual oxygen that contaminates the recovered CO₂

Major Project Tasks

- Sorbent Optimization and Evaluation
 - Performance validation via long-term cycling tests
- Process, System Design and Modeling
- Techno-economic analysis
 - Various configurations with different ASU and O₂ removal options
 - High fidelity engineering analysis and process simulation



Project Partners







Project Duration

- Start Date = October 1, 2016
- End Date = September 30, 2019

Budget

- Project Cost = \$1,375,042
- DOE Share = \$1,099,998
- TDA and UCI = \$275,044



Oxy-Combustion & Carbon Capture

- In oxy-combustion fuels is burned in O₂ instead of air, which results in a flue gas of primarily CO₂ with trace levels of impurities
- POxC reduces energy and capital costs of the equipment used to purify and compress the CO₂
- DOE/NETL objective is to optimize the POxC process to limit the COE increase to less than 20% over the nocapture case
- The main cost contributors POxC process includes:
 - Air Separation Unit
 - CO₂ Purification system



		COE	Increase
		(\$/MWh)	in COE(%)*
•	NETL Non-Capture Ref., Air-fired SC w/o CCS	58.90	
	NETL Base Case Current Technology	91.07	54.6
	NETL Cumulative Technology Case	78.15	32.7
)	Proposed Goal	70.68	20.0

*Relative to the non-capture case

Source: Cost of Electricity for Low Pressure Oxy-Combustion Technologies (NETL 2012).



Air Separation Options

- ASU is one of the largest cost contributors to oxy-combustion (consumes over 5% of plant power and constitutes ~20% of plant cost)
- Cryogenic air separation is the choice of technology at large-scale
 - 600 MW plant requires ~170 ton П O_2/day
- Cryo-separation is highly energy Π intensive due to the thermal inefficiencies inherent in the low operating temperatures
- **Alternatives** П
 - Ion Transport Membranes П
 - High TRL
 - Sorbent-Based Air Separation System (TDA Technology developed under DE-FE0026142)
 - _ I ow TRI



Source: Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.





Process Optimization Case Matrix

Case	Power Cycle psig/°F/°F	Subsystem Concept Evaluated	Oxidant	Sulfur Removal
1 (Base)	Supercritical Steam	Current ASU	95% O ₂ Cryogenic ASU	Wet
	3500/1110/1150			FGD
2	Supercritical Steam	Advanced O ₂ Membrane w/ Preheat in	~100% Advanced O ₂ Membrane (Ion	Wet
2		Boiler	Transport)	FGD
2	Supercritical Steam	Advanced O ₂ Membrane w/ Preheat by	~100% Advanced O ₂	Wet
3		Natural Gas Firing	Membrane (Ion Transport)	FGD
Λ	Supercritical Steam	Advanced O ₂ Sorbent (TDA) w/	95%+ Advanced O_2 Sorbent (TDA)	Wet
4		Preheat in Boiler		FGD
F	Supercritical Steam	Advanced O ₂ Sorbent (TDA) w Preheat	95%+Advanced O ₂ Sorbent (TDA)	Wet
5		by Natural Gas Firing		FGD
	Supercritical Steam	CO ₂ Purification by Catalytic De-	Two cases chosen from Case 1	Wet
6		oxidation with Natural Gas	through Case 5 (e.g., one TDA & one	FGD
			Ion Transport)	
	Supercritical Steam	CO ₂ Purification by Chemical Looping	Two cases chosen from Case 1	Wet
7			through Case 5 (e.g., one TDA & one	FGD
			Ion Transport)	
8	Supercritical Steam	Advanced CO ₂ &	Two cases chosen from above (one	Wet
0		ASU Compression	TDA & one Ion Transport)	FGD
g	Ultra-supercritical Steam	Ultra-supercritical Steam	Same as Case 8 except steam cycle	Wet
	4000/1350/1400	Cycle with Advanced Materials	(one TDA & one Ion Transport)	FGD
10	Ultra-supercritical Steam	Co-sequestration	Same as Case 9 without CO ₂	Co-capture
			Purification (TDA & Ion Transport)	with CO ₂
11 Supercritical CO ₂ Supercritical		Supercritical CO ₂ Cycle with Advanced	Same as Case 8 except working fluid	Wet
	Conditions: TBD	Materials	(one TDA & one Ion Transport)	FGD

CO₂ Purification Need in POxC



Source: Cost of Electricity for Low Pressure Oxy-Combustion Technologies (NETL 2012).

- The oxygen content in the CO₂ product has to be reduced to less than 1,000 ppmv prior to CO₂ compression
- Heat integration/optimization is critical
 - 10-15% of plant's energy output



CO₂ Purity Specifications

Component	Unit Carbon Steel Pipeline		n Steel eline	Enhan Reco	ced Oil overy	Saline Reservoir Sequestration		Saline Reservoir CO ₂ & H ₂ S Co- sequestration	
	(Max unless Otherwise noted)	Conceptual Design	Range in Literature	Conceptual Design	Range in Literature	Conceptual Design	Range in Literature	Conceptual Design	Range in Literature
CO ₂	vol% (Min)	95	90-99.8	95	90-99.8	95	90-99.8	95	20 – 99.8
H ₂ O	ppmv	500	20 - 650	500	20 - 650	500	20 - 650	500	20 - 650
N ₂	vol%	4	0.01 - 7	1	0.01 - 2	4	0.01 - 7	4	0.01 – 7
O ₂	vol%	0.001	0.001 – 4	0.001	0.001-1.3	0.001	0.001-4	0.001	0.001 – 4
Ar	vol%	4	0.01 – 4	1	0.01 – 1	4	0.01 – 4	4	0.01 – 4
CH₄	vol%	4	0.01 – 4	1	0.01 – 2	4	0.01 – 4	4	0.01 – 4
H ₂	vol%	4	0.01 - 4	1	0.01 – 1	4	0.01 – 4	4	0.02 – 4
CO	ppmv	35	10 - 5000	35	10 - 5000	35	10 - 5000	35	10 - 5000
H ₂ S	vol%	0.01	0.002 – 1.3	0.01	0.002 – 1.3	0.01	0.002 – 1.3	75	10 - 77
SO ₂	ppmv	100	10 - 50000	100	10 - 50000	100	10 - 50000	50	10 - 100
NOx	ppmv	100	20 - 2500	100	20 - 2500	100	20 - 2500	100	20 - 2500
NH ₃	ppmv	50	0 - 50	50	0 - 50	50	0 - 50	50	0 - 50
COS	ppmv	trace	trace	5	0 - 5	trace	trace	trace	trace
C ₂ H ₆	vol%	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1
C ₃ +	vol%	<1	0 - 1	<1	0 - 1	<1	0 - 1	<1	0 - 1
Particulates	ppmv	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1	1	0 - 1
HCN	ppmv	trace	trace	trace	trace	trace	trace	trace	trace
Glycol	ppbv	46	0 - 174	46	0 - 174	46	0 - 174	46	0 - 174

* Not enough information is available to determine the maximum allowable amount for HCI, HF, Hg, MEA and Selexol solvent.

Stringent requirements for O₂ (and other contaminants) in compressed CO₂

• <0.001% vol. O₂



CO₂ Purification via Catalytic Oxidation



- Catalytic oxidation is mature technology
- Challenges with catalytic oxidation
 - To meet the O₂ concentration requirements, natural gas has to be used in greater quantities than required by the reaction stoichiometry
 - Excess natural gas ending in the CO₂ will reduce system efficiency
 - Limit on CH₄ is high (1% vol.) but tighter on heavier HCs



TDA's CO₂ Purification System



- TDA proposes a chemical absorbent-based oxygen removal system
 - Low O₂ concentration in the treated CO₂ can be readily achieved
 - Excess natural gas can be recycled back to the boiler
- Does not use precious metal catalysts; low cost metal oxide catalyst could polish off impurities



TDA's Sorbent

 TDA sorbent consists of a high surface area (>100 m²/g) mixed metal oxide A_xB_yO_z phase that selectively reacts with the oxygen in the compressed CO₂ at moderate temperatures (<200 to 500°C)

$$2M + O_{2(g)} = 2MO$$

 $4MO + CH_{4(g)} = 4M + CO_{2(g)} + 2H_2O_{(g)}$

- Sorbent can effectively reduce O₂ content to less than 100 ppmv
 - No equilibrium limitations
- TDA's sorbent uses a unique structure referred to as a "geode"
 - High mechanical integrity
 - High chemical stability
 - High surface area

TDA's geode sorbent structure as seen in SEM



11





Sorbent

Binder or shell material

Shell of geode





Typical RedOx Cycle - TGA Tests



- Fast oxidation/reduction kinetics at 500°C
- 18-20% O₂ uptake capacity (kg O₂ removed per kg sorbent)



TGA Cycles at 300°C



- Formulations were modified using promoters to improve kinetics and oxygen uptake at lower temperatures
- Modified samples showed high capacity (12+% wt. O₂) at 300°C



Impact of Temperature



• TDA-3 showed better oxygen uptakes at all temperatures



O₂ Uptake in the Presence of CO₂



- Some metal oxide carbonation was evident
- Oxidation is much faster than carbonation (from CO₂ reaction)



Fixed Bed Reactor Tests

Test Capabilities

- Breakthrough tests
- Life cycle tests

Variables

- Temp. 200-550°C
- Space velocity = 500-10,000 h⁻¹
- Pressure = 1-20 bar
- Absorption: 0.1-5% O₂/CO₂
- Regeneration: 0.1-100% H_2 or CH_4
- An electro-chemical O₂ analyzer (ZR800 Zirconia Oxygen Analyzer) with 1 ppmv O₂ detection capability was used to measure the O₂ concentration
- California Analytical NDIR analyzer for CO₂, CO, CH₄ measurements



Breakthrough Tests



- Breakthrough tests confirmed very high oxygen removal efficiency
- O₂ concentration in treated gas can be lowered to <10 ppmv



Multiple Cycle Tests

Cycle # 191 – 198: 400°C



- Stable performance was observed over 300 cycles; both isothermal and TSA cycles in 200-500°C range
 - At 400°C ~7.4%wt. O₂ capacity at 100 ppmv breakthrough
 - 15.77% wt. O₂ capacity at 95% O₂ uptake

	100 ppm BT	Saturation BT
Temp (°C)	(0.4% O ₂	(95% O ₂
	uptake)	uptake)
400	7.42%	15.77%
300	5.75%	9.18%
200	3.50%	4.59%
100	0.09%	0.54%
50	0.03%	0.06%



Temp (C)

Using Methane as Reduction Gas



 When CH₄ is used instead of hydrogen the oxygen uptake decreased due to incomplete regenerations (lower reduction rates with CH₄)



Reaction Products - 400°C CH₄ Reduction



- Longer regenerations are needed for full reduction
- CH₄ reduction primarily generated CO₂ (<50 ppm CO was observed)



CO₂ Purification Process Design



System Integration

- Both absorption and regeneration processes are exothermic
- Absorption

$$4M + 2O_2 \rightarrow 4MO$$

 ΔH_{rxn} = -140-150 kcal/mole

Regeneration $4MO + CH_4 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O + 4M$

 ΔH_{rxn} = -40-50 kcal/mole

• Various heat removal options have been investigated



Isothermal Design



Reactors operating in series provides good flow match between the oxidation and reduction steps

Isothermal Reactors

Two reactors operate in series to remove O₂ from the flue gas

- The first reactor receives flue gas from the compressor and the sorbent adsorbs the oxygen in the flue gas
- The second reactor receives the clean flue gas spiked with methane to regenerate the sorbent
- Isothermal reactors are packed tube, steam is raised using the heat generated by reaction exotherm
- Both reactors are equipped with feed-product heat exchangers to heat the incoming flue gas to the reaction temperature

Stream ID	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
Temperature (°C)	78	400	425	99	50	407	425	126
Pressure (bar)	23.9	23.9	22.9	22.9	22.1	22.1	22	22
Flow (10 ⁶ Sm ³ /day)	9	9	8.8	8.8	0.2	9	9.2	9.2
N2	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.70%	0.00%	2.60%	2.60%	2.60%
02	2.70%	2.70%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Ar	4.00%	4.00%	4.10%	4.10%	0.00%	4.00%	3.90%	3.90%
CH4	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	2.10%	0.10%	0.10%
CO2	90.50%	90.50%	93.00%	93.00%	0.00%	91.10%	90.60%	90.60%
H2O	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.00%	0.20%	2.80%	2.80%



Cycle Sequence Optimization

- In a multi-step cycle sequence, a purge step is added to purge any CH₄ from the bed
- At the end of the reduction step, the void spaces in the bed will be filled with CH₄ (2% vol. max) mixed with CO₂ which could be transferred into the CO₂ stream
- Using a small amount of the oxygenfree CO₂ and purge the bed into the flue gas recycle
- Any residual CH₄ will be combusted in the boiler

	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3
Time (min)	2	2	2
Bed 1	Abs	Regen	Purge
Bed 2	Purge	Abs	Regen
Bed 3	Regen	Purge	Abs



CatOx vs. Sorbent-Based O₂ Removal



Feed-Product Exchangers

Adsorber – 63 MW_{th}/Regen – 61 MW_{th}

Operating Temperatures

- Absorber Bed 425°C П
- Regeneration Bed 425°C П
- Outlet Flue Gas 126°C П

Heat Recovery – 39 MW_{th} from the shell side of the reactors

Steam Generated – 59,640 kg/hr @ 45 bar (medium pressure)

Feed-Product Exchangers

Reactor $-44 \text{ MW}_{\text{th}}$ Π

Operating Temperatures

- Catalyst Bed 520°C П
- Outlet Flue Gas 165°C П

Heat Recovery – 31 MW_{th} from waste heat recovery boiler

Steam Generated – 48,400 kg/hr @ П 45 bar (medium pressure)

Plant Performance Summary

Case #	1	2	3	4	5
GROSS POWER GENERATED (AT G	ENERATOR		S) (KWE)		
STEAM TURBINE	785,587	791,313	781,468	723,700	715,557
DEPLETED AIR EXPANDER	-	214,779	212,201	80,118	80,714
TOTAL GENERATED (KWE)	785,587	1,006,092	993,669	803,818	796,271
TOTAL AUXILIARIES (KWE)	235,587	456,091	443,669	253,818	246,271
NET POWER (KWE)	550,000	550,000	550,000	550,000	550,000
NET PLANT EFFICIENCY (% HHV)	31.24	31.01	31.23	32.61	33.00
THERMAL IN PUT					
COAL KWT HHV	1,760,447	1,773,645	1,679,498	1,686,511	1,569,989
NATURAL GAS KWT HHV	-	-	81,458	-	96,584
TOTAL KWT HHV	1,760,447	1,773,645	1,760,956	1,686,511	1,666,573
CARBON CAPTURED (%)	99.5	99.5	97.0	99.5	99.5

Case¤ Power·Cycle¶ psig/°F/°F¤		Subsystem·Concept·Evaluated¤	Oxidant¤
1 ·(Base)¤	Supercritical·Steam·- 3500/1110/1150¤	Current ·air · separation · unit · (ASU)¤	95%·O₂·Cryogenic·ASU¤
2¤	Supercritical· Steam →	Advanced· O₂·Membrane· with·	~100%·Advanced·O ₂ ·
	3500/1110/1150¤	Preheat· in·Boiler¤	Membrane·(lon·Transport)¤
З¤	Supercritical· Steam·-	Advanced· O ₂ ·Membrane· with·	~100%·Advanced·O ₂ -
	3500/1110/1150¤	Preheat· by· Nat.· Gas· Combustion¤	Membrane·(lon·Transport)¤
4¤	Supercritical·Steam·+	Advanced· O₂·Sorbent· (TDA)·with·	95%+·Advanced·O₂·
	3500/1110/1150¤	Boiler· Heat¤	Sorbent·(TDA)¤
5¤	Supercritical· Steam·-	Advanced· O ₂ ·Sorbent· (TDA)·with·	95%+Advanced O₂·
	3500/1110/1150¤	Nat.·Gas·Combustion· Heat¤	Sorbent (TDA)¤



Acknowledgements

- DOE/NETL funding under the DE-FE-0029090 project is greatly appreciated
- DOE Project Manager, Diane R. Madden
- Dr. Ashok Rao, UCI

