Improvement in Lifetime of SOFCs, Utilizing Novel, In-situ Methods to **Remove Cathodic Chromium Deposits**



Uday B. Pal, Soumendra Basu, Srikanth Gopalan, Michelle Sugimoto and Zhikuan Zhu



Department of Mechanical Engineering, Boston University, MA 02215

Abstract

Presented are baseline experiments for SOFC cell "poisoning," caused by the deposition of chromium from metallic interconnects into the cathode via the vapor phase. Cell performance was assessed using I-V measurements and corroborated utilizing SEM and EDAX. The analyses demonstrated a decrease in performance due to exposure to chromium vapor species. Two strategies, chemical and electrochemical cleaning, are proposed to remove the Cr deposits, thus reversing the effects of poisoning and increasing cell lifetime.



poisoning.

Chemical Cleaning (Fig. 2) During chemical cleaning, cells will be placed under open circuit conditions, the temperature will be raised to 850-900°C, and 5-15% water vapor will be added to the air supply. Under these conditions, Cr deposits in the cathode will volatilize, and the poisoning will be mitigated.

Electrochemical Cleaning (Fig. 3) During electrochemical cleaning, 0-15% water vapor will be added to the air and 5-20% to the fuel. A small anodic and cathodic bias will be applied on the air and fuel electrodes, respectively. Under such an electrolytic bias, the Cr deposits in the cathode will volatilize as:



Figure 2. 3D plot showing equilibrium partial pressure of $CrO_2(OH)_2$ (most abundant Cr vapor species) over $Cr_2O_3(s)$ as a function of temperature and air.

Electrolyte



Air Electrode Electrolyte Cr-Deposits

Figure 3. Electrochemical Cleaning

process at TPB (triple point boundary).

I-V demonstrates a ~16% decrease in maximum power density due to poisoning



Figure 7. SEM micrograph of LSM after poisoning.

• SEM images of cell cross sections show Cr-containing deposition at LSM/electrolyte interface after 70 hours of poisoning (Figs. 6 & 7).



 Table 1. EDS quantification result at
cathode/electrolyte interface (white rectangular area in Figure 8).

 $Cr_2O_3(s, air side) + 2H_2O(g, air side) + 3H_2O(g, fuel side)$

= $2CrO_2(OH)_2(g, air side) + 3H_2(g, fuel side)$

 $1.5Cr_2O_3(s, air side) + 1.5H_2O(g, air side) + 3H_2O(g, fuel side)$

= $3CrO_2(OH)(g, air side) + 3H_2(g, fuel side)$

 $Cr_2O_3(s, air side) + 3H_2O(g, fuel side) = 2CrO_3(g, air side) + 3H_2(g, fuel side)$

Poisoning Experiment: Setup & Procedure (Fig. 4)

- Cells are activated for 48 hour at 800° C with 0.5 A/cm² using 3% humidified H_2 , and dry air.
- Poisoning experiments are carried out at 800°C with preoxidized Crofer 22 APU strips.
- Cells were poisoned for 70 hours with 0.5 A/cm², 3% humidified H_2 , and 5% humidified air.





Element	Wt %	At %
ОК	16.6	50.1
SrL	21.2	11.7
LaL	31.2	10.8
CrK	5.3	4.9
MnK	25.5	22.4

Figure 8. SEM micrograph of LSM epoxy sample after poisoning.

• EDS area scan at LSM/electrolyte interface demonstrates Cr presence.

Future Work

- Perform cleaning methods on poisoned cells.
- Utilize quantitative microstructural characterization to compare lacksquarecleaned and poisoned cells.
- Optimize the experimental conditions for cleaning processes, including temperature, water vapor content, and electrolytic bias.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy under Award Number DE-FOA-0001735. The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of Ruofan Wang, Yanchen Lu, and Zhihao Sun at Boston University.





