Microwave-Assisted Thermal Conversion of CO₂ and Methane Over Conductive Metal Oxides



Douglas R. Kauffman (NETL)

August 29, 2019



Catalytic CO₂ conversion at NETL





Electrochemical catalyst design







Surface-science enabled electrocatalysis



<u>"Atomically Precise" nanocatalysts</u>



Journal of Physical Chemistry Cover (Dec. 2018)







Our approach: microwave-active catalysts!





ATIONAL

25 54.938	26 55.845	27 58.933	28 58.693	29 63.546
Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu
MANGANESE	IRON	COBALT	NICKEL	COPPER

Tunable catalyst composition "LSC-M"

 $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Co_{0.9}M_{0.1}O_{3}$



La + Sr



Oxygen

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERGY



λ = 0.24136 Å (51.4 keV); APS 17-BM-B

6

NATIONAL ENERGY

Temperature LSC Cycled at 70W ---LSC-Mn Temperature (Celsius) --LSC-Fe T (C) --LSC ---LSC-Ni ---LSC-Cu Time (minutes) Microwave Power (Watts)

















Dopant control MW-DRM activity

Mn and Fe dopants drastically improve DRM conversion rates













- Undoped LSC initially forms Ruddelson-Popper perovskitic phase (A RP: strong microwave absorber)
- After continued reaction
 - Significant loss of perovskitic phases
 - Formation of SrO_x, La₂O₃ and Co
 - Loss of MW absorptivity



Relative Stability of doped LSC-M Catalysts









Relative Stability of doped LSC-M Catalysts

NATIONAL RG TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ERG

Electropositive dopants increase Oxygen Bader charge (ionicity) and prevent catalyst reduction

Less Reducible More Reducible 26 55.845 27 58.933 28 58.693 29 63.546 25 54,938 Ni Mn Fe Co Cu **Less Reducible** MANGANESE IRON COBALT NICKEL COPPER LSC-Mn 620 LSC-Mn -1.140 H₂ TPR Temperature (C) 600 LSC-Fe -1.135 580· Bader Charge (e) -1.130 560· -1.125 LSC 540 520 -1.120 LSC-Ni 0 500 LSC-Cu -1.115 -1.140 -1.110 Catalyst





- **NE NE NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY** LABORATORY

Experimentally observed with electronic structure through O K-edge XAS







We want to characterize the catalyst surface *during* DRM to better understand structure-property relationships

- *Ex situ* studies reveal stability and phase changes *after* the reaction.
- Difficult to probe *in situ* changes within the microwave reactor.
- We have an optical measurement of catalyst temperature during MW DRM.
- Can we utilize <u>thermal</u> DRM to precisely monitor structure *vs* temperature under reaction conditions?







- Thermal DRM starts around 775°C in traditional packedbed, thermal reactor.
- Higher temperature than optically measured in MW reactor
 - Optical measurement averaged over a 5mm spot on catalyst bed
 - We likely <u>underestimated</u> MW temperature due to formation of micro-scale hot-spots
- Consistent structural changes based on post reaction thermal DRM up to 1000 °C



In situ synchrotron XRD: active site identification

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY





In situ XRD with real-time product analysis excludes other potential active sites

In situ synchrotron XRD: active site identification

- **NETIONAL** ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY









- High single-pass conversion still possible with pure gases
 - 100% CO₂ + 100% CH₄

Higher wattage required compared with dilute gases

Extrapolated System Performance

NERGY TL

Conventional methane steam reforming: ~30 kWhr/Kg H₂

• Extremely carbon intensive: ~10 tonnes CO₂ / tonne H₂

2020 DOE (EERE FCTO) electrolyzer target: ~45 kWhr/Kg H₂ (\$2/kg H₂)

• Scaling studies with larger MW reactor

ReACT Facility

Reaction **A**ctivation and **C**hemical Transformation



Conclusions



- 1. Doped LSC is promising catalyst for microwave-assisted DRM
 - Reduced heat management may allow non-traditional reactor designs
 - Fast on/off cycling allows interrupted operation
 - Load following and/or reactant availability

2. Mn-Doped LSC-Mn shows superior performance

- More electropositive dopants transfer charge density to oxygen atoms
- Prevents catalyst reduction
- Sustains MW absorbing perovskitic phases
- Prevents formation of large Co particles
- 3. In situ XRD identified Co nanoparticles as likely active sites
- 4. Next steps: Scaling, TEA/LCA, catalyst optimization (co-doping), contaminants





Microwave Studies (NETL): Christopher Marin

Computational Modeling (NETL): Dominic Alfonso & DeNyago Tafen

In situ XRD: Argonne APS line 17-BM-B; APS Staff Scientist: Wenqian Xu.

O K-edge XAS: Brookhaven NSLS-II line 23-ID-2; NSLS-II Staff Scientist: Ira Waluyo

Acknowledgement: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.





Thank you for your attention!

Douglas.Kauffman@NETL.DOE.GOV

