#### Membrane Development for Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture



#### Dave Hopkinson, NETL Research and Innovation Center



### Membranes need very high performance to be used in CO<sub>2</sub> capture from fossil energy



Challenge: Need to process high flow rate of gases with low available driving force





Lloyd M.Robeson, Journal of Membrane Science, 320, 2008, 390-400Performance vs cost plot, Courtesy: William Koros

For a 10% reduction in COE over reference plant,  $CO_2$  permeance of 4000 GPU and  $CO_2/N_2$  selectivity of 25 is needed





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF KEA

Keairns et al, A cost and performance analysis of polymeric membrane-based postcombustion carbon capture, In review

### NETL is taking a multi-faceted approach to membrane development for CO<sub>2</sub> capture





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

#### Two polymers of interest for CO<sub>2</sub> capture: PIM-1 and MEEP polyposphazene





Terminology $ \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	methoxyethoxy- ethanol (MEE)	4-methoxyphenol (4-MEOP)	phenoxy	2-allylphenol (2-AP)
MEEP80-PPZ	80%	15%	0%	5%
PPOP-PPZ	0%	0%	97%	3%



#### PIM-1/MEEP PPZ blend has high permeability and excellent mechanical properties

2018, 6, 22427





### PIM-1/MEEP shows comparable performance to lab tests with real flue gas





#### 10000 - $CO_2$ Permeability (barrer) 1000 $N_2$ 100 ------\_\_\_\_\_ 100 200 300 400 500 0 Run time (hours)



#### PIM-1/25% MEEP80 bulk film

# Thin film PIM-1/MEEP has reduced aging compared with neat PIM-1









PIM-MEEP suffers less aging than PIM-1 due to:(1) chain-chain entanglement(2) MEEP chain/PIM-1 pore intercalations



### Crosslinked MEEP has good gas separation performance without aging





MEEP80-PPZ

excellent gas separation performance semi-solid material that flows



**PPOP-PPZ** 

poor gas separation performance excellent mechanical robustness

Cross-linking agent





excellent gas separation performance good mechanical robustness solid material that does not flow



#### Crosslinking of MEEP dramatically improves film durability with some decrease in gas permeability







#### Crosslinked MEEP gas separation performance can be further improved based on composition and crosslinker







## Crosslinked MEEP shows stable performance with real flue gas









### Aging has no significant effect on Crosslinked MEEP thin film in lab tests







## Humidity has only minor effect on XL-MEEP gas separation performance







### Humidity causes a small reduction in aging in PIM-1; boost in $CO_2/N_2$ selectivity







#### Mixed matrix membranes can increase membrane performance beyond the Robeson Upper Bound







Computational modeling was used for high throughput screening of MOF and MMM gas separation properties







Budhathoki et al, Energy Environ. Sci. 2019, 12, 1255

# Several NETL MMMs were demonstrated to have performance above the Robeson Upper Bound





CO<sub>2</sub> Permeability (Barrer)



# Increasing MEEP concentration trades lower $P_{CO2}$ for higher $\alpha_{CO2/N2}$





# Increasing MOF concentration improves $P_{CO2}$ with little effect on $\alpha_{CO2/N2}$





#### Both PIM-1/MEEP and XL MEEP can be improved by using MOFs to form mixed matrix membranes







A hollow fiber support needs to be optimized for flux, pore size, and pore density





Our current hollow fiber membrane supports:

- N<sub>2</sub> permeance >100,000 GPU
- CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> selectivity ~ 0.8 (Knudsen diffusion)
- Surface pore size ~ 20 nm
- Resistant to mild solvents



The support should have at least an order of magnitude higher gas flux compared to selective layer



### MOF A can now be synthesized in a variety of particle sizes with the same structure



<b>TEM Images</b> (scale bars = 200 nm)	a	b	24 - 24 - 1 24 - 24 - 2 2 - 24 - 24 - 2 2 - 24 - 24	d	e ••	f
Diameter (nm)	43±9	67±11	82±12	104±16	151±24	248±34
Surface area (m²/g, N <sub>2</sub> 77 K)	1158±2	1353±3	1205±2	1393±3	1409±4	1410±4



### PIM-1/MEEP MMMs and XL MEEP have been demonstrated as thin film composites



- t Hy det mag de you prive we to the set to
- PIM-1/MEEP/10% MOF A MMM selective layer
- High flux hollow fiber support

- XL MEEP selective layer
- High flux flat sheet support







### Summary: NETL has taken a multifaceted approach to membrane development for CO<sub>2</sub> capture





PIM-1/MEEP



- Two polymers show high CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> separation performance: PIM-1/MEEP, XL MEEP
- Both have excellent mechanical properties



 Membranes tested at NCCC with real flue gas show comparable performance to lab tests



 Both PIM-1/MEEP and XL MEEP show improved CO2 permeability by adding targeted MOFs to form MMMs





- High permeance flat sheet and hollow fiber supports have been fabricated
- Thin film coatings have been demonstrated for PIM-1/MEEP and XL MEEP





### Thanks to our team!

**MOF** development:

Sameh Elsaidi Jeff Culp Nathaniel Rosi (U. Pitt.) Patrick Muldoon (U. Pitt)

#### **Polymer development:**

Ali Sekizkardes James Baker Josh McNally (INL)

### Simulations and economic analysis:

Samir Budhathoki Jan Steckel Wei Shi Christopher Wilmer (U. Pitt.) Membrane fabrication and testing: Victor Kusuma Fangming Xiang Shouliang Yi Lingxiang Zhu Zi Tong

**Team leads:** Dave Hopkinson Kevin Resnik

Program management: Tim Fout Lynn Brickett John Litynski Past team members:

Surendar Venna Anne Marti Olukayode Ajayi Jie Feng Ganpat Dahe Dave Luebke Hunaid Nulwala Erik Albenze Alex Spore Hyuk Taek Kwon Megan Macala

**Funding:** EY19 NETL Carbon Capture Field Work Proposal Task 9: Advanced Polymer Membranes, \$553K Task 10: Mixed Matrix Membranes, \$376K

#### Acknowledgement:

This project was funded by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government, under the Carbon Capture Field Work Proposal. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

