

Critical Challenges. **Practical Solutions.**

EERC. UND UN LVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA.

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)

SUBTASK 1.3 – INTEGRATED CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE FOR NORTH DAKOTA ETHANOL PRODUCTION

Charles Gorecki Director of Subsurface R&D

U.S. Department of Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory Mastering the Subsurface Through Technology Innovation, Partnerships and Collaboration: Carbon Storage and Oil and Natural Gas Technologies Review Meeting

August 13–16, 2018

Critical Challenges.

Practical Solutions.

PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- Technical Status
 - Background
 - Scope of work
 - Activity results
- Accomplishments to Date
- Lessons Learned
- Synergy Opportunities
- Project Summary





TECHNICAL STATUS – BACKGROUND

Current and Projected Carbon Intensity (CI) by Fuel Type



Source: California Air Resources Board (July 2016)

- Carbon capture and storage (CCS) can maximize CI-based carbon credits.
- Pacific Coast carbon markets:
 - California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) Program
 - Oregon's Clean Fuels Program (CFP)
 - British Columbia's Renewable and Low Carbon Fuel Requirements Regulation

TECHNICAL STATUS – CASE STUDY

- Red Trail Energy, LLC (RTE)
 - Ethanol facility in western North Dakota
 - Current distribution to Pacific Coast
- Carbon capture potential
 - 163,000 tonnes of CO₂ annually from fermentation
 - Nearly pure CO₂ stream
- Geologic storage potential

EERC. UND NORTH DAKOTA.

- Broom Creek Formation
- 6400 ft directly below RTE facility, ~300 ft thick



Image Credit: Energy & Environmental Research Center (modified from Peck and others, 2014)

PROJECT Status (*Proposed Timeline***)**





TECHNICAL STATUS – ACTIVITY 1 PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

- 1. Feasibility study
- 2. Field implementation plan (FIP)
- 3. Economics analysis
- 4. National Risk Assessment Partnership (NRAP) validation
- 5. Outcome assessment
- ≻Conclusions
 - Technically viable: estimated 40%–50% net CO₂ emissions reduction.
 - May be economically viable through lowcarbon fuels programs or other incentives.

Kerryanne Leroux, Ryan & Lorny Jacobson, and Char Snargy & Endrormantal R	lapperich, Nicholas Azzolina, Me Na Gorecki Isaaandi Center Sharraya, a taan kataraan f	lanie Jansen, jood Torres Rivera, H	icholas Bosshart, Nicholas Kalerg eres st-lessed	e, Scott Ayush,	0	TRIMERIC CORPORATION
ABSTRACT The Energy (S. Environ of Energy (DOE), Non- Industrial (ODE), Non- Carbon capture and Anorth Dakota. This preliminary asse ATE aite, development feesbals indicated that significant reduction The KTE feesball system. The KTE feesball systems of a million toroids of	mental Research Center (C In Dalica a thanol produced a resolution of the second second ronge (CCS) at an industria or ange (CCS) at an industria of a provisional Relation of CQ, emissions from extra of CQ, emissions from extra of CQ, emissions from extra CQ, during a 20-year perior CQ, during a 20-year perior	ERC), in partnership with th Red Trail Energy (RTE), and initiary saessment for inte leveluation of CCS implement energy (RTE), and (RTE) and initially and examination and noi generation at the RTE for coomes of CO ₂ annually for energy (RTE) successful and the cool of CO ₂ annually for and sets coold so	e U.S. Department the North Didoca grating mula-scale mean Schutzion, encation at the schutzing on the schutzing of the schut	Indication in the second		and the second s
TECHNICAL AND Reservoir simulation Reservoir simulations w pressure buildup within (CD, divens) simulation	ECONOMIC FEASIBILIT ere used to estimate minimum the receiver (pressure plume results orecential CO	Y CO, injection pressure require and the lateral distribution of	ments, the extent of CO ₂ saturation extent, refs 1 & to 2 0 miles after C	RecTurburgy Denete Wat Monopoleware Orleandie Wat Street Recall Recall		hers Davis.
20 years of injection at t	he RTE site.		AR	preliminary economic asse TE site to evaluate potentia conomically viable potion for	ssment was conducted for Losts. Results of this analy or the RTE facility.	CCS implementation at the sis support ethanol CCS as an
٢	0			Average Annual Operati Espenses (51.9 M)	28.38	Average Capital Expenses (S20.0M)
Constructions Constructions Associated CO. Traceseristics Construction	Added CO, Dram Dram CO, Southerman Amendad CO, Southerman Amendad CO, Southerman Amend	Co, Pipelar Co, Pipelar Go, Pipelar Go, Pipelar Go, Pipelar Go, Pipelar Go, Pipelar Ganger Brodger Brodger Brodger Brodger CS to Landon Col Story Brodger Brod	In enalysis (LCN) of a LCA signed that impleme the RTE facility could reduce the ventistions by 40%–50%. This on in CO, emissions results in a product with a greatly reduce interesty (c) value of ethanol interesty ic) value value for than may allow produces to be ability of their fuel within devel bon fuel programs such as tho via and Oregon.	nting National Risk Partnership (M Generator (RRC d Generator (RRC d Well Leakage A Well Leakage A were evaluated opting se in using the RTE si	Assessment (RAP) Tool Validation educed-Order Model M-Gen), Reservoir visualization (REV), and alysis (VLAV) tools to validate tool outputs d pressure plannes te simulation results.	
FIELD IMPLEMENTATI An FIP was developed t necessary for the captu consisted of the activiti	ON PLAN hat includes the design and i re and secure storage of CO	stallation of infrastructure at the RTE site. The FIP), ecologic storage at the			Printing PrintigePrinting Printing Printing Printing Printing Printing Prin	Igues of MOM-Gen (right) and CMC Insere (MID) following 20 years of ection for (a) CO, planne and (b) essure planne. Activities
RTE site and estimate fr	 CO, capture and trate CO, capture and trate Plans for CO, Injection Ethanol CCS pathway programs MVA programs Declars for monitorial 	port i permitting i for law carbon fuel - e and injecting wells			- Attain imple progr Dakot perm injett	partway approvate for menting CCS into low-carbon arms, a industrial Commission to a industrial Commission to t a monitoring well and a Class on well.
	+ Wall characterization The #fe MM Ancourse designs for footbelligns	and testing plan		Distance of the second se	- United Stream	ening designs requered of the ening designs of capture systes ournent flow rates and CO ₂ in composition. e LCA model, where applicable arbon fuel pathways develop a became publicly available.
	Alapientes and rest o deficient neutro deficient neutro deficient	\		ARS	Point Incorr	corrate financial details such a st rates, market changes, por payments, etc. ap and execute a community ach plan to educate/inform th
the second se	LADS COMPANIAL INTO C	APRENDED INTO A VALUE CO.		ALL .	North	stratigraphic test well to gath

EERC. UND NORTH DAKOTA

TECHNICAL STATUS – ACTIVITY 2 DETAILED PATHWAY

- 1. Establish permitting pathways
- 2. Update infrastructure design
- 3. Update economic analysis
- 4. Develop community outreach plan
- 5. Outcomes assessment











PERMITTING

- Low-carbon fuel programs
 - California LCFS, British Columbia
 - Oregon CFP, Canadian Clean Fuel Standard (proposed)
- North Dakota Class VI program
 - Primacy approved April 24, 2018
 - Discussed implementation details (e.g., pore space amalgamation)
- Updated FIP
 - Near-surface MVA (e.g., soil gas sampling/analyses)
 - Assessed California LCFS impact (e.g., ≥100 years postinjection monitoring)



UPDATE INFRASTRUCTURE

 Analyzed fermentation exhaust gases: 99.6–100% CO₂, 0–0.4% N₂, <100ppm O₂



- Capture modifications
 - Considered enhanced oil recovery (EOR) and food-grade CO₂ production systems
 - Path to commercialization
- Refined LCA
 - Injection-grade CO₂ product (with storage) = 40%–50% CI reduction
 - EOR- or food-grade CO₂
 product (with storage) = 30%–
 40% CI reduction



UPDATE ECONOMICS

Expenses

- Electric upgrade for capture: 3–4 MW (nearly doubling current RTE facility)
- Pore space payment considerations: volumetric vs. pressure displacement
- California LCFS CCS requirements
 - Third-party reviews/verification
 - Expanded monitoring metrics, time frames

Incentives

- Low-carbon fuel programs
- EOR
- Enhancement of Carbon Dioxide Sequestration Credit, a.k.a. Section 45Q

Credits, \$/tonne	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026+
Dedicated Storage	32	35	38	41	44	47	50*
EOR	20	23	25	28	30	33	35*

* To remain constant in value for 2027 and thereafter (adjusted for inflation).



DEVELOP OUTREACH PLAN

- Social characterization: demographics, energy development, and land cover
- Outreach plan development
 - Goals and approaches
 - Implementation guidelines
 - Project partners and audiences
 - Outreach narrative, themes, and messages
 - Audience engagement strategies
 - Materials and time line
 - Tracking and assessment





ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND NEXT STEPS

- Continuing project based on favorable results from Activity 2.0.
- Potential Activities:
 - Develop CO₂ capture process design package
 - Initiate monitoring and characterization plans
 - Prepare CCS permit application package
 - Evaluate economic viability
 - Execute public outreach plan



Image Credit: Energy & Environmental Research Center



LESSONS LEARNED

• Risks are policy/program-related, not technical.



Photo by EPA

- Economic opportunities are reliant on evolving state/federal incentives.
 - Low-carbon fuel programs
 - California LCFS, Oregon CFP, etc.
 - Tax credits
 - Enhancement of Carbon Dioxide Sequestration Credit, a.k.a. Section 45Q
 - Proposed legislation
 - Carbon Utilization Act of 2018, USE IT Act



SYNERGY OPPORTUNITIES

- NETL Regional Partnerships
 - Plains CO₂ Reduction Partnership
 - Midwest Geological Sequestration Consortium [Illinois State Geological Survey]
- CarbonSAFE Phase II
 - North Dakota Integrated Carbon Storage Complex Feasibility Study
 - Integrated Midcontinent Stacked Storage Hub [Battelle Memorial Institute]
 - Integrated Commercial Carbon Capture and Storage Feasibility Study at Dry Fork Station [University of Wyoming]
- Techno-Economic Assessment of Regional Carbon Utilization Scenarios and Attendant Monitoring Technology
- Developing and Validating Pressure Management and Plume Control Strategies in the Williston Basin Through a Brine Extraction and Storage Test (BEST)



PROJECT SUMMARY (Potential Activities)





CONTACT INFORMATION

Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota 15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018

Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

www.undeerc.org

701.777.5355 (phone) 701.777.5181 (fax)

Charles Gorecki Director of Subsurface R&D

cgorecki@undeerc.org





THANK YOU!

Critical Challenges. **Practical Solutions.**



Critical Challenges. **Practical Solutions.**

BENEFIT TO THE PROGRAM

To progress toward full-scale CCS deployment, the feasibility of a small- to medium-scale commercial (<1,000,000 metric tons of CO_2 emitted annually) geologic storage complex for CO_2 must be established. Activities outlined in the proposed approach will gather data to address both the technical and nontechnical challenges associated with establishing feasibility. The results derived from implementation of the proposed project will provide a significant contribution to DOE's Carbon Storage Program goals. Specifically, this project will support DOE Goals 1 and 2 by validating technologies that will improve reservoir storage efficiency, ensure containment effectiveness, and/or ensure storage permanence by collecting and generating fundamental geologic data from the subbasinal characterization of a potentially ideal CO₂ storage complex (Broom Creek Formation). This proposed project also includes efforts to validate risk assessment tools developed by NRAP. Goal 3, the ability to predict CO_2 storage capacity in geologic formations to within ±30%, will be addressed by integrating characterization data derived from the proposed project into geocellular and dynamic reservoir models for a commercial-scale geologic storage complex. In addition, this project will support Goal 4 by producing information that will be useful for inclusion in DOE best practices manuals focusing on monitoring, verification, accounting, and assessment; site screening, selection, and initial characterization; public outreach; well management activities; and risk analysis and simulation.



PROJECT OVERVIEW GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

- Goal: Implementation of a small-scale (<200,000 metric tons CO₂ per year) commercial CCS system at an industrial fuel production facility to generate a reduced-carbon ethanol fuel applicable for low-carbon fuel programs.
- Objectives
 - Develop a FIP for small-scale CCS.
 - Determine the full carbon life cycle of an industrial fuel production facility with CCS.
 - Determine the validity and pathway of using CCS to meet LCFS.
 - Validate the Broom Creek Formation, an Aeolian reservoir, as a regional target for CCS.



PROJECT OVERVIEW SUCCESS CRITERIA

• Key activities will signify successful completion of the subtask's goals:

Project Activity	Key Milestones	Subsequent Step
1 – Preliminary Assessment for Integrated Small- Scale CCS at an Industrial Fuel Production Facility.	FIP (M1) and LCA (M2)	Pathway for CCS implementation
2 – Detailed Pathway for Integrated Small-Scale CCS at an Industrial Fuel Production Facility.	Permitting Pathways (M3)	CCS system designs
3 – Engineering Designs for Integrated Small-Scale CCS System.	Site Design (M6)	CCS implementation
4 – Implementation of CCS at an Industrial Fuel Production Facility.	Permitting (M7)	Commercial operation

- Subtask will be considered successful as measured by the following:
 - Generates data that provide cost-effective value to the efforts of commercial deployment of integrated small-scale CCS systems and provide a template for implementation of similar-sized industrial CO₂ sources.
 - Described and communicated to DOE and the CCS industry along with appropriate site-specific challenges and lessons learned.



ACCOMPLISHMENTS TO DATE

Completed Deliverables

- Quarterly reports [*D2, D4–8] *D1 quarterly not required due to final agreement delays
- Activity 1.0 interim report [D3]
- Activity 2.0 interim report [D9]



Image Credit: Energy & Environmental Research Center



Completed Milestones

- FIP for Integrated Small-Scale CCS Completed [M1]
- Life Cycle Analysis of an Industrial Fuel Production Facility with CCS Completed [M2]
- Pathway of Using CCS to Meet Low-Carbon Fuel Standards Completed [M3]
- Updated Infrastructure Design for an Industrial Fuel Production Facility with CCS [M4]



GANTT CHART (Period of Performance: 11/1/16 – 5/31/20)

Subtask Title: Subtask 1.3 – Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production

	20	16						2017						2018							
Activity	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
1 – Preliminary																					
Assessment																					
2 – Detailed																					
Pathway																					

Today 2018 2019 2020 Activity Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Nov <u>3 – Engineering</u> Designs 4 - CCSImplementation



BIBLIOGRAPHY (publications)

- Leroux, K.M.; Gorecki, C.D.; Willett, D. Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production. Presented at the Clean Fuels Program (CFP), Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Portland, OR, March 23, 2018.
- EERC and Red Trail Energy continue to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. [Press release]. December 21, 2017.
- Gorecki, C.D.; Leroux, K.M.; Willett, D.; Bachmeier, G. Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production. Presented at the North Dakota Industrial Commission Renewable Energy Council Meeting, Bismarck, ND, Oct 17, 2017.
- Leroux, K.M.; Bachmeier, G.; Gorecki, C.D.; Willett, D. Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production. Presented to the Department of Mineral Resources, North Dakota Industrial Commission, Bismarck, ND, July 7, 2017.
- Leroux, K.M.; Willett, D. Subtask 1.3 Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production. Poster presented at Mastering the Subsurface Through Technology Innovation, Partnerships & Collaboration: Carbon Storage & Oil & Natural Gas Technologies Review Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA, Aug 1–3, 2017.
- Leroux, K.M. Integrated Carbon Capture and Storage for North Dakota Ethanol Production. Presentation for the Low Carbon Fuels Program, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, Jan 30, 2017.



Critical Challenges. Pr