Fluor Solvent Evaluation and Testing (Project 70814)



August 15, 2018

Satish Reddy & Charles Freeman





Disclaimer

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Field Work Proposal FWP-70814.

Disclaimer: "This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."

Fluor's Gas Treating Solutions

- Fluor offers various gas processing solutions
- □ Fluor SolventSM Physical solvent to absorb H₂S and CO₂ at high pressure
- □ EconamineSM Uses diglycolamine (DGA) for H₂S and CO₂ removal from natural gas streams
- □ Econamine FG PlusSM Removal of CO₂ from low pressure, post-combustion flue gases
- Fluor has built over 425 gas processing facilities

- Includes 30 Econamine FG+ plants built or licensed



OF THE PARTY



What is the Relevance of Water Lean Solvents?

- Carbon capture solvents typically contain 60 to 65% water by weight
- □ Fluor's target is a solvent with less than 40 to 50% water
- Water provides a medium for ionic reactions to take place
- But, water has several drawbacks
 - High heat of vaporization
 - High volatility

FLUOR

COLUMN DE COLUMN

- High specific heat
- Low capacity for CO₂
- Replacement of a portion of the water with other solvents offers an opportunity for energy consumption reduction



Lean Solvent Formulation

April The Party

- In 2016 Fluor discovered a water lean solvent opportunity with a promise for more energy efficient CO₂ capture
- Fluor worked with PNNL to measure the preliminary performance of the new solvent system
- Based on the results DOE was approached for a scale-up program that would involve demonstration testing at Technology Center, Mongstad





Project Schedule & Budget

	2017						2018								2019												
	А	м	J	J	Α	S	ο	N	D	J	F	м	Α	м	J	J	Α	S	ο	N	D	J	F	м	Α	м	J
PHASE 1																	i										
Task 1 - Solvent Performance Testing																	I										
Task 2 - Techno-Economic Verifications																											
Go - No Go Decision																											
PHASE 2					1		1																				
Task 3 - TCM Pilot Scale Testing																	ī										
Contracting (DOE & TCM)													-														
Procurement / Fabrication/ Installation																	I										
Parametric & Long Term Testing																											
Task 4 - Data Analysis & Reporting																											

DOE Funding:	
Phase 1:	\$284k
Phase 2:	\$2,396k
Total:	\$2,681k





Results to Date: Task 1 – Solvent Performance Testing

- VLE and kinetic data were measured for several different solvent mixtures
 - Thermodynamic and kinetic data developed
 - Solvent composition optimized
- Solvent degradation testing at SINTEF
 - 5-week testing with synthetic flue gas: 3.0 vol% CO2, 12% O2, and 10 ppmv NOx. Absorber/ desorber temps: 40C/ 117C.
 - One solvent component found to produce an undesirable degradation product – replaced with alternate material









Results to Date: Task 2 – Techno-Economic Verifications

	NETL Case 9	NETL Case 10	New Solvent
Case Description	Subcritical PC No Capture	Subcritical PC MEA	With EFG+ features
Solvent Parameters			
Solute	NA	MEA	Proprietary
Concentration (wt% - balance is water)	NA	30%	>50%
Overall Performance			
Net Plant Efficiency (%, HHV)	36.8%	26.2%	27.5%
Parasitic Load from Capture (%)	0.0%	28.9%	25.2%
Plant Energy for Capture (GJ/tonne CO2)	NA	3.14	2.74
Parasitic Energy Reduction Compared to MEA Baseline		0%	13%
Summary of Costs (¢/kWe-hr)	NETL Case 9	NETL Case 10	New Solvent
Fuel Cost	1.52	2.13	2.04
Capital Cost	3.12	6.03	5.63
Variable Cost	0.51	0.92	0.87
Fixed Operating Cost	0.78	1.31	1.25
Transp, Seques & Monitoring (TSM)		0.59	0.56
Total	5.94	10.97	10.36
Increase versus "No Capture"		84.7%	74.3%

- PNNL conducted an independent
 Techno-Economic Analysis (TEA)
- The TEA validated
 Fluor's analysis
- Attractive energy performance predicted (2.74 GJ/tonne CO2, versus 3.14 for NETL baseline case)
- Based on the resultsPhase 2 authorized





Results to Date: Task 3 - TCM Pilot Scale Testing

Contracting (DOE & TCM)

- Contracting completed
- Fluor/PNNL will manage the DOE-funded portion of the project:
 - Proprietary equipment and solvent purchase
 - Installation of proprietary equipment and hook-ups
 - Solvent disposal
 - Deployment of personnel and travel costs
- TCM will provide:
 - Use of the demonstration plant
 - All utilities
 - Operating staff
 - Analytical laboratory services
 - Office space and internet access
- Procurement / Fabrication / Installation
 - All major equipment ordered
- Parametric & Long Term Testing
 - Draft test plan complete
 - Testing will start in November/December





Additional Detail & Comments







Solvent Selection Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Desired Characteristic
Toxicology	Low toxicity
Oxidative degradation	Low – Minimizes solvent replacement costs and formation of byproducts
Thermal degradation	Low – Minimizes solvent replacement costs and formation of byproducts
Corrosivity	Low – Reduces operating and capital costs associated with high corrosivity
Vapor pressure	Low – Reduces solvent losses
Viscosity	Low – Faster mass transfer and ease of pumping
Specific heat	Low – Reduces the heat required to change the temperature or the solvent
Heat of absorption	Low to Moderate – Minimizes the regeneration energy required
Capacity for CO ₂	High – Reduces the solvent circulation rate and equipment sizes
Cost	Low
Availability	Widely available and widely deployed in various industries





Breakdown: Cost of CO₂ from Flue Gas



Coal flue gas, includes CO₂ compression 30 yr. plant life 10% IRR 7,000 ton/day Site specific costs for construction, labor, utilities

- Breakdown of cost of capture on a recent US project
- I Most effort in CO₂ capture focuses on reducing process steam demand
- Too little focus is placed on reducing CAPEX
- The project targets both CAPEX and OPEX cost reductions



COLUMN TO A



Summary

- Fluor's new water-lean solvent formulation (>50 wt% Solvent) has promising energy and economic benefits for CO2 capture applications
- The project team is looking forward to a successful test campaign at Mongstad
- Fluor is well positioned to quickly bring new solvents to the commercial scale
 - Solvent is a possible drop in for Fluor's existing plants
- Fluor's project is an great example of the US-Norway
 Collaboration on CCS



Acknowledgements

OL THE OWNER

- Thanks to the Department of Energy's Office of Fossil Energy and the National Energy Technology Laboratory for their support and sponsorship
- Thanks to the Test Center Mongstad (TCM) team for their support, guidance and encouragement





QUESTIONS





