

Ammonia GT Combustor

Creative Power Solutions – Dr. Majed Toqan

University of California Irvine Combustion Laboratory – Dr. Vince McDonell

DOE Program Manager: Richard Dalton

Presenter: Hassan Abdul Sater

July 11th, 2023

DE-SC0020903

DOE SBIR Phase I Award



- Introduction
- NH3 Chemistry and Chemical Kinetics Simulations
- 3D CFD Modeling
- Experimental Results
- Phase II Outlook
- > Summary



Introduction



- Objective Define optimum operating conditions for the design of an Ammonia Combustor that is most competitive and provides a stable combustion environment
- Design goals and criteria
 - Complete burnout of fuel
 - Minimize NO_x emissions at combustor exit
 - Stable conditions Low thermoacoustic vibrations
 - Reduced cost (capital cost, operating costs, maintenance, etc..)





 Chemical Energy Storage (Power to Gas), offers long-term large-scale energy storage independent from geographical and geological constrains





Ammonia for Power Potential Solution?

	Advantages		Problem			
	 The advantages for ammonia Storage and transportation Liquefies at much warmer temperatures than hydrogen and LNG Ammonia infrastructure already exists for agricultural sector. 		 Challenges for ammonia Combustion Less reactive than conventional fuels Low energy content Nitrogen-bound Fuel leading to high NO_x emissions 			
H ₂	exists for agricultural sector Energy Cou USD / kWh H ₂ \rightarrow High Costs		sts Hydrogen Ammonia			
					High storage and transport costs hinder Hydrogen's use as an alternative fuel	



Ammonia Combustion Challenges

Challenges for Ammonia Combustor	Parameters analyzed to address challenges		
Ignition	Burner Stabilization Method		
Flame Stability	Equivalence Ratio		
Low Emissions	Air Inlet Temperature		
Translation to physical design	Pressure		
	Residence Time		

Prototype Engine NH3 Chemistry → Industrial GT Combustor



reative

ER

Creative
POWER
SolutionsSCOPEPhase 1: Feasibility Study - Ammonia Combustion

- Stable ammonia flames
 - Reactants' ignition method
 - Flame equivalence ratios
 - Hydrogen mass fraction if any?
- Burner and Combustor Concept
 - One or two combustor zones
 - Aero concept designs
 - Fuel and air flows

- Pollutants' emission levels
 - NH3 < 1 ppm
 - NOx < 20 ppm (15% Excess O2)
- Combustor outlet conditions (mass flow and temperatures) to drive GT cycle
- Down-selection industrial Gas Turbine



Ammonia Chemistry & Chemical Kinetics Calculations



Laminar Flame Speed 1D Ammonia Combustion Simulations

Flame Speeds of ammonia (blue curve) are about one order of magnitude lower than that of methane (black curve)







Creative POWER Solutions NH₃ and NO_x Formation/Reduction along the Path of a Well Stirred/Plug Flow in Series Reactors



Mole Fraction [-]





reative

Creative POWER Solutions Influence of Pressure on the Formation/Destruction of NH₃ and NO_x Under Fuel Rich Conditions





Influence of Pressure on the formation of Hydrogen from NH3 under Fuel Rich Conditions





For adiabatic conditions, fuel rich equivalence ratios result in low NH₃ and NO_x emissions for Z1 and Z2.

Inlet air temperatures have a relatively big influence on the combustion

• In Zone 1, with decreasing inlet air temperatures: Ammonia conversion becomes slower, which could be attributed to lower radical pool (O, H, OH)

> With increasing pressure:

- Chemistry becomes faster end reach earlier steady state.
- Less fuel-bound nitrogen is converted to NOx.
- Less radicals are present (H, O, OH).
- Optimum ϕ shift to richer conditions

CFD Simulations

CFD Ammonia Combustion Modelling Methodology







CFD Ammonia Combustion Modelling POWER Solutions Primary Zone NH3 Destruction





- 3D CFD modeling was conducted using commercial code ANSYS FLUENT in order to assess the design of the 6 different burner configurations.
- All Test Rig (TR) configurations show stable flames with complete combustion in Zone 1 and Zone 2.
- Newly-developed burner design (TR-03B) achieves the best results among all modelled configurations. This could be attributed to the rapid mixing next to the fuel air mixture injection point which is critical in achieving rapid ignition, rapid temperature rise and as a result near full reduction of NH₃.
- Certain burners show risk of flame impingement to the combustor wall.
- The three best performing burner designs based on the CFD results where then tested in the UCICL test rig.

Lab-Scale Combustion Tests at UCICL





Diagnostics CFD Ammonia Combustion Modelling

www.creativepowersolutions.com

- Emissions
 - Horiba PG-250/PG-235
 - Primarily for O2 measurement
 - Horiba MEXA QCL-1400-NX
 - NO, NO2, N2O, NH3
 - Water cooled 0.25" extractive probe located at exit of the 2nd stage
 - Water dropout system
 - Samples are on a dry basis
 - Corrected to 15% O2 (measured O2 levels)









Experimental Setup at UCICL







Observed Stable Combustion Flames



Lean PZ



~ Stoichiometric PZ

Stable Operation attained approaching LFL for NH3/Air Allowed focus on 100% NH3 rather than NH3/H2 mixtures

Creative POWER Solutions NOx Emission Levels from Ammonia Flames at One Atmosphere

Measured NO_x emissions from the atmospheric laboratory scale experiment



Creative POWER Solutions Kinetic Modeling Results Vs. Experimental Heat Loss



Phase II High Pressure Combustion Tests (Towards NH3 mGT)



UCICL High Pressure Combustion Test Rig

- Rig Designed to simulate ~1/2 engine cycle conditions:
 - 100 kW steady-state thermal power
 - 4 bar P3
 - 1200 K T3
 - Emissions sampling
 - Acoustics probe
- Concept built around standard 150 lb schedule
 40 pipe and flanges
 - Excellent optical access to the flame zone (zone 1)
 - Zone 2 is customizable modified for standard flanges
 - Exit/Cooling sleeve allows rig preheating and partial quench
- Modular Sections
 - Changeable burner plate
 - Changeable jet ring
 - Separate feed for zone 1 and zone 2
 - Separate heat for zone 1 and zone 2





Phase II results for NO emissions at higher pressures are very encouraging





- Relatively Small Engine Size (Advantageous for Decentralized Power).
- GT design should allow for easy modification/extension of the combustor section.
- Ideally the engine will be designed for external firing or has a single silo combustor.
- Combustor inlet air temperature should be as high as possible to facilitate ammonia ignition and flame stability.





- The study conducted in Phase I demonstrated that although NH₃ has very low flame speeds, it can be burned successfully
- NO_x emission levels from the experiment show that they approach very closely what has been achieved in the kinetic modeling studies
- > High Pressures have very positive effect on reducing NO_x emission levels in ammonia combustion systems
- High temperatures as well accelerate the preferential conversion of ammonia to N₂ under fuel rich conditions
- \succ The results show that it is highly feasible to burn NH₃ in gas turbine applications
- Several challenges need to be overcome when using ammonia as a fuel in gas turbine applications; these include the following:
 - Reliable startup of the engine
 - Running up the engine while achieving low NO_x and NH₃ emission levels (prevention of the brown plume phenomenon)
 - Reliability of cycling the engine between full and part load operation
 - Proper cooling management of the combustor liners especially under high load conditions
- DOE awarded the second phase of the program to Creative Power Solutions to continue developing the ammonia gas turbine; this phase includes the design and testing of the combustor under real engine conditions
 - > The most recent results of phase II show that the new ammonia combustion system is able achieve very high flame stability as well as very low NO_x and ammonia emissions.



Our appreciation is to Richard Dalton, our program manager, for his strong support and encouragement throughout the project.

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fossil Energy program under Award Number DE-SC-0020903.

Disclaimer: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

