DE-FE0031983

Utilizing coal-derived solid carbon materials towards nextgeneration smart and multifunction pavements





Hongyu 'Nick' Zhou, Ph.D., Associate Professor Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Tennessee, Knoxville



Project Review Meeting April 3rd, 2024



Project Overview



Project Funding: \$430,000 (DOE), \$107,500 (Cost-share) Expenditure to date: \$428,768.86 (DOE), \$107,692 (Cost-share)

Project Performance Period: 01/05/2021 - 03/31/2024 (including NCE)

Project Manager: Mark Render **Technology Manager:** Dr. Joseph Stoffa

Overall Project Goal

Develop and demonstrate a field deployable, multifunctional smart pavement system made from domestic coal-derived soli carbon materials. This research will demonstrate the use of coke-like coal char, a key byproduct of the coal pyrolysis process, in the design and construction of a prototype multifunctional pavement system that could provide roadways with the capability for self-sensing, self-heating (deicing), and self-heating.

Specific Objectives

- (1) Establish processing-structure-property relationships of multifunctional coal-derived pavement materials
- (2) Gather experimental data to evaluate its engineering performance and assess the feasibility for scale up
- (3) Test and assess the performance of a prototype
- (4) Techno-economic analysis (TEA)



Technology Background

Distribution of Bridge of States, in thousands

According to FHWA's statistics by 2019, there are a total of 617,084 bridges in the U.S., among which 318,533 (51.6%) bridges are in states with freeze conditions. Distribution of bridges by states, in thousands. According to FHWA's statistics, by 2019, there are a total of 617,084 bridges in the United States,







The U.S. spends about \$2.3 billion each year to remove highway snow and ice. Most de-icing is accomplished by **mechanical methods** (scraping, pushing or plowing) or by applying **chemicals** and/or sand as an abrasive.

Mechanical deicing causes damage/ wear to pavement surfaces. Chloride-based salts as deicers resist break down in the environment and are corrosive to bridges, other metal structures, especially aluminum, and to the metal parts of vehicles, especially underneath the car. Damage from salt corrosion costs the U.S. up to about \$19 billion per year.



Current 'self-heating pavements' are difficult to build and 'non-recyclable', the embedment of carbon fiber (for heating) lead to rapid deterioration of asphalt binder



Technology Background

University of Tennessee, Knoxville (UTK) is developing a new class of multifunctional asphalt materials using coal-derived solid carbon. The percolated network formed by coal char within the aggregate system provide conductive pathway to enable pavement deicing, damage sensing, and potentially self-healing.





Limestone skeleton



Technology Background

The 'one-stop-shop' smart pavement solution for Ohmic heating (deicing), self-sensing, and self-healing.





Background

Strategic Alignment to FECM Objectives

- This new strategy enables the production of multifunctional smart pavements at costs comparable to those of regular pavements.
- Market benefits include the utilization of domestic coal resources in the infrastructure sector to enable multifunctionalities of future smart pavement systems.
- Impacts is significant in terms of the time and cost savings for winter roadway operations, reducing traffic delay and improving safety, reducing corrosion and environmental impacts caused by de-icing chemicals.

U.S. Department of Energy Announces Up to \$14M for Advanced Coal Processing Technologies

Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management

APRIL 13, 2020

Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management »

U.S. Department of Energy Announces Up to \$14M for Advanced Coal Processing Technologies

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Fossil Energy (FE) announced up to \$14 million in federal funding for cost-shared research and development projects under the funding opportunity announcement (FOA) DE-FOA-0002185, Advanced Coal Processing Technologies.

The FOA seeks applications for the research and development of coal-derived products as building materials and infrastructure components, as well as other value-added, coal-derived carbon products. The FOA seeks applications for the research and development of technologies capable of continuously producing a carbon foam from a coal-derived feedstock. Additionally, the FOA seeks to support the application, validation, and integration of several carbon-based building products into carbon building structures.

The projects will support FE's Advanced Coal Processing Technologies Program, focused on improving coal feedstocks for power production and steel making, producing high-value solid products from coal, and identifying alternative technologies to produce high-performance carbon material from coal.

AOI 2: COAL-DERIVED COMPONENTS FOR INFRASTRUCTURE APPLICATIONS

This AOI seeks to develop technologies to produce infrastructure specific components from coal. Examples of coal-derived materials for infrastructure components include, but are not limited to: structural components for mass transit, components for sewers and tunnels, components for wastewater management or solid-waste treatment, and materials for roads and bridges.



Research Team

Research Team

Dr. Hongyu 'Nick' Zhou

Associate Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Dr. Baoshan Huang, PhD, PE

Edward Burdette Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Industrial Partners

Mr. **Jon Niebel** General Manager, ET Construction Rogers Group Inc.

Mr. Ryan Weir

Director of Research and Technical Services Asbury Carbons

Ms. Heather Hall

Director, Materials & Tests Tennessee Department of Transportation

> **Dr. Gaylon Baumgardner** Senior Vice President Ergon Asphalt & Emulsions, Inc.



ROGERS GROUP

INC.







Hongyu 'Nick' Zhou PI @ UTK

Baoshan Huang Co-PI @ UTK





Technology Approach





Research Tasks





Project Execution Timeline

PI Ap	receive proval	s FN (March 2	Te a 021) (No	am rece i ov. 2021)	ives FN A	Approval							
		20)21	2022				2023				2024	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Task 1 Project Planning		РМР,ТМР											
Task 2 Market Analysis		Forming IAB			Market ar	nalysis							
Task 3 Characterization and Coal-Char Processing		Coal char characteriz	zation	Processing, testing									
Task 4 Multi-functional Coal-Char Bearing Asphalt Concrete						Asphalt concrete mixture design & testing							
Task 5 Prototyping, Testing, and Evaluation				Bench sca	le prototyping testing, thermal network model, simulation								
Task 6 Technoeconomic Analysis & Technology Gap Analysis					Preliminary cost analysis					TEA & LCCA			
Field Demonstration											Field co demons	nstruction stration	n &

Awaiting

paperwork



Task 2 Market Analysis

A comprehensive survey was prepared to help understand the potential market, technological, and economical challenges of the proposed smart pavement technology.



~200 Emails/Mails were distributed. 39 responses received by March 30th 2022, covering 30 US states, 2 Canadian Provinces, and South Africa.



Task 2 Market Analysis

A comprehensive survey was prepared to help understand the potential market, technological, and economical challenges of the proposed smart pavement technology.



Key Takeaways:

- Stakeholders (both government and industry) have high level of interest as compared to competing technologies.
- Most think that it will benefit the **bridge (urban and rural)** and **airport** most. Key benefits include: **improving safety** and **reducing winter roadway maintenance cost**.
- Main perceived technological barriers: pavement performance, design expertise, construction.
- Main perceived economic barriers: Construction cost and production cost.
- Main perceived regulatory barriers: Construction and Design Specifications.



Task 3.1: Characterization and Processing of Coal Char/ Coke





Task 3.1: Characterization and Processing of Coal Char/ Coke





w

Volume Resistivity (Ω.m)

ACV

Standard Deviation

0.0046

0.0185

0.0177

0.3608

Task 3.1: Characterization and Processing of Coal Char/ Coke

W1

L

m

0.0762

0.0762

0.0762

0.0762

Total Rev

12112

12111

12255

12074

Sample Type

Met Coke 1

Pitch Coke

Limestone

Met Coke 1

Met Coke 2

Pitch Coke

Met Coke 2 (LG)

Met Coke 2 (SM)



No.		g	g	g	g	%
	Limestone	1475	4450	460	2975	15.46
	Met Coke 1	1475	2420	520	945	55.03
	Pitch Coke	1475	2320	475	845	56.21

А

m2

0.0071

0.0071

0.0071

0.0071

Test Time

min

120

120

120

120

W2

W3

Average

0.22

0.23

0.24

11.12

m0

g

1500

500

500

500

m1

g

1380

465

460

470

g

40

Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV) Test



(Electric) Volumetric Resistivity Test



Key Takeaways (Subtask 3.1):

- **Metallurgical coke** has Low electric resistivity (<0.2 Ω .m measured in granules), reasonable mechanical strength to be used in pavements. However, it has high connected porosity (therefore low compressive strength (high ACV) and low abrasion resistance (high % loss as tested by Micro-Deval)). Intermediate thermal conductivity.
- Material properties are not sensitive to source. (Different pore-sizes are seen from difference sources)
- Met coke is suitable for conductive pavement development. Strengthening mechanical properties will be beneficial.
- Pitch coke is NOT suitable for conductive pavement development due to its LOW electric conductivity and has large volume • of isolated pore structure (therefore difficult to improve).

	measures temperature change
ical Pieces	







Thermal Conductivity Test (TPS)

s Sample Type		Particle Size	Thermal Conductivity	Thermal Diffusivity			
		mm	W/mK	m2/s			
8.0 'Rock-like' single piece samples							
	Met Coke 1	59.28	1.28	4.00			
7.0	Pitch Coke	53.14	0.44	0.75			
8.0	Granules						
6.0	Met Coke 1	8.56	0.21	1.52			
	 Met Coke 2 (LG) 	9.59	0.21	0.62			
	Met Coke 2 (SM)	3.51	0.20	0.48			
	Pitch Coke	9.06	0.19	0.46			
	% Loss 8.0 7.0 8.0 6.0	% Loss Sample Type 8.0 'Rock-like' single piec 7.0 Pitch Coke 1 8.0 Granules 6.0 Met Coke 1 Met Coke 2 (LG) Met Coke 2 (SM) Pitch Coke Pitch Coke	% Loss Sample Type Particle Size 8.0 'Rock-like' single piece samples 7.0 Met Coke 1 59.28 9 litch Coke 53.14 8.0 Granules 6.0 Met Coke 1 8.56 Met Coke 2 (LG) 9.59 Met Coke 2 (SM) 3.51 Pitch Coke 9.06	% Loss Sample Type Particle Size Thermal Conductivity mm W/mK 8.0 'Rock-like' single piece samples 7.0 Met Coke 1 59.28 1.28 9 tich Coke 53.14 0.44 8.0 Granules			







Task 4: Developing Multifunctional Coal-char Bearing Asphalt Concrete





Task 4.1: Mixture design and engineering properties (complete)





Task 4.1: Mixture design and engineering properties



200

400

Stress (kPa)

600

800

- Both 'Superpave' and 'Marshall' mixture design methods were used to design Coal-char bearing Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) mixtures. The carbon content calculation satisfies the <u>coal derived carbon wt% > 50% and total carbon wt% >70%</u> requirement by FOA.
- The volumetric resistivity (main functionality) of the coal-char bearing asphalt is 1-2 magnitudes lower (better in performance!) than any existing technology in the market or under development, while showing remarkable costperformance metrics.
- This technology also **do NOT require any modification to the production and paving equipment or practice**. Making it easier to adopt by the road building industry. Future research may be conducted in collaboration with asphalt producer and contractor to further quantify its <u>constructability</u>.

Task 4.2: Mixture design and engineering properties



SMA mixes

- Coal-char bearing HMA mixtures have lower shear strength and resilient modulus than the baseline with 100% limestone aggregate; however, the material performance has good potential to meet AASHTO/ ASTM standard to be used in pavement structure.
- Coal-char bearing HMA has acceptable durability against moisture damage, good fracture toughness, and acceptable
 resistance to rutting damage as tested by APA
- Overall coal-char bearing HMA has good potential to meet industrial standards for pavement applications.

Task 3.3, 4.4: Functionalizing pavement for sensing (pilot)





Adjacent probe resistance

Opposite probe resistance



Electric Impedance Topography (EIT)



Figure 1





Figure 3





Task 4.3: Benchtop/Laboratory-Scale Prototype Development and Testing (completed)



1. Mold

2. Compacting base layer



Electrodes

4. Compacting conductive asphalt layer and testing



5. Compacting surface overlay and testing





Surface overlay (SMA)

Functional layer (Cal char containing conductive asphalt)

Base layer (Asphalt concrete)



Task 4.3: Benchtop Prototype Development and Testing



Environmental Temperature: -10 °C; power supply: 12V, ~50-60W





Task 4.3: Benchtop Prototype Development and Testing





Task 4.3: Development of Thermal Network Models and Control





$$Q_{1,sw} = \overline{\alpha}_{1} I_{s}^{\downarrow} A_{1}$$

$$Q_{1,lw} = \left[F_{1}^{sky} \left(T_{sky,abs}^{4} - T_{1,abs}^{4} \right) + F_{1}^{grd} \left(T_{grd,abs}^{4} - T_{1,abs}^{4} \right) + F_{1}^{air_{-}ex} \left(T_{air_{-}ex,abs}^{4} - T_{1,abs}^{4} \right) \right]$$

$$\cdot \sigma \varepsilon_{1} A_{1} + \sum_{k} \sigma \varepsilon_{1} F_{1}^{k} \left(T_{k,abs}^{4} - T_{1,abs}^{4} \right) A_{k}$$

Internal nodes:

$$\int_{V_i} \rho_{i,c} c_{p,i} dV_i \frac{dT_{i,}}{dt} = H_i^{i-1} T_{i-1} + H_i^{i+1} T_{i+1} - \left(H_i^{i-1} + H_i^{i+1}\right) T_i$$



Task 4.3: Development of Thermal Network Models and Control



Whole-year heat transfer simulations

- Typical meteorological year 3 (TMY3) weather data
- Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (ASHRAE climate zone 5A, cool humid)
- Minneapolis, Minnesota (ASHRAE climate zone 6A cold humid)
- Fairbanks, Alaska (ASHRAE climate zone 8, subarctic/arctic)





Task 4.3: Performance Simulation



Takeaways:

- A **thermal network model** was developed for the heating pavement based on the finite difference approach. The model is able to accurately model the thermal behavior of the heating pavement (i.e., temperature profile, heating power (and control), and energy consumption etc.).
- The model is able to consider various environmental variables including air temperature, wind speed, solar irradiation etc. The model can be used to predict the power requirement and energy consumption of the heating pavement based on its design location.
- Current energy use prediction does not consider precipitation prediction i.e., heating is not required when no
 precipitation is forecasted even pavement temp. is below 0 °C. Therefore actual energy use should be much lower. This
 feature will be added to the model development this quarter.





Task 5.1: Field Demonstration











Task VI: Field Demonstration







Task 5.1: Field Demonstration













Task 5.1: Field Demonstration





Takeaways:

- The coal-carbon based pavement asphalt is field constructible.
- Scaled-up heating experiment was conducted.
- Due to the warm ambient temperature, demonstration of deicing behavior is challenging.



Task 5.2 TEA Analysis

Material Cost

Unit price of coal char or coke is less than **\$200/ton** in comparison with the cost of carbon fiber at **\$32,000/ ton**, and **\$1,960/ ton** for carbon black









Task 5.2 TEA Analysis (in progress)

Initial Construction Cost of CDC-SP

- Cost of non-conductive asphalt pavement
- Cost of electrically conductive coal-char charged asphalt mixture

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Cost of CDC-SP

The electric power cost of the self-heating pavement was quantified by a thermal network mode with experimental calibration.

Cost-benefit estimation of CDC-SP





Task 5.2 TEA Analysis



Coal-derived carbon enabled smart pavement (CDC_Sp)

Task 5.2 TEA Analysis Life-cycle Cost Analysis

Year

Task 5.2 TEA Analysis Sensitivity Analysis

Takeaways:

- The coal-carbon based asphalt material is among the lowest cost in the market to achieve electrically conductive pavement.
- LCCA shows acceptable payback in areas with mildly cold temperature. For areas with very cold temperature, solar charging is needed to payback.
- The payback period is much shorter in urban areas where ADT is high.

Benefit

Solar battery

Cost

NPV

Training and Workforce Development

Graduate Students Supported

Yucen Li (PhD student)

Yanhai Wang (PhD Student)

Yawen He (former PhD Student, current post-doc at UTK)

Adam Brooks (former PhD student, currently R&D staff at ORNL)

Undergraduate Researchers Supported

Resee Sorgenfrei (now PhD student at UTK)

Shayan Seyfimakrani (Currently working at GDOT)

Emily Stanton

Hsun Jui 'Ray' Chang

Griffin Bedell

Summary

Accomplishments

- A new type of multifunctional conductive asphalt material was developed using coal derived solid carbon (coal char/coke). The material has far better electrical conductivity than existing conductive asphalt materials. Experimental tests conducted so far indicate strong potential to pass AASHTO specifications.
- A polymer-infusion technique was developed for processing coal-char to enhance its mechanical properties. Test results indicate that the process is effective and the polymer-infused coal char is strong, electrically conductive.
- Benchtop scale prototype was produced and deicing performance demonstrated under lab conditions.
- Simulation models were developed to assist the design and performance prediction of this new multifunctional pavement system. A control algorithm is developed for pavement heating control.
- Full-scale prototype constructed and tested.
- LCCA is completed to provide quantitative information for cost-benefit analysis.

Publication: Several publications are under review/preparation. Journal papers were submitted to Journal of Applied Thermal Engineering, Fuels, and Journal of Cleaner Production. Research findings and results are to be disseminated at conferences including ASCE Cold Region Engineering conference, Transportation Research Board (TRB) annual meeting, and ASCE Construction Research Congress.

Hongyu 'Nick' Zhou PI @ UTK

Baoshan Huang Co-PI @ UTK

Pawel Polaczyk Former Senior Personnel @ UTK Currently at Texas Tech University

Wei Hu Former Co-PI @ UTK (currently at Youngstown State)

We would like to thank DOE FECM and NETL for financial support! Program manager Mark Render, Technical Manager Dr. Joseph Stoffa for guiding us through the project.

We'd also like to thank our partners: **Asbury Carbon, Roger's Group, Hudson Materials, and Tennessee Department of Transportation**, for making us a stronger team.

THANK YOU!

