Development of Novel Sintered Carbon Ore Building Materials DE-FE0032083

Matt Fuka Microbeam Technologies Inc.

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Resource Sustainability Project Review Meeting April 2-4, 2024



Outline

- Project Overview
- Technology Background
- Technical Approach and Project Scope
- Progress and Current Status of Project
- Plans for Future Testing, Development, and Commercialization
- Summary



Project Overview

- Period of Performance: 10/01/2021 through 02/29/2024
- Develop value-added products from carbon ore
- Carbon ore building materials will contain ≥70 wt.% carbon and ≥51 wt.% of carbon from carbon ore
- Demonstrate the ability to produce Sintered Carbon Ore Building Materials (SCBM)
- Ultimately to produce 5-10 bricks per day
- Complete a Technical and Economic Analysis (TEA), a Technology Gap Analysis, and a Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) on the SCBM process
- Create a conceptual design of a carbon-based building using LIG2 products



Project Overview

- □ Total project cost: \$649,407
- DOE: \$517,702
 - Award No. DE-FE0032083
- □ Cost share: \$131,705
 - MTI: \$10,200
 - UND: \$16,505
 - NACC: \$42,500
 - NDIC: \$62,500







Technology Background

- Builds on past work conducted by MTI and UND
 - Showing carbonaceous materials can be designed using controlled pyrolysis
 - Tailorable properties
 - Addition of biofibers enhanced the mechanical strength
 - Properties of low ranked carbon-ore and waste carbon-ore
- UND and MTI have performed laboratory-scale testing and analysis on sintered carbon-ore and additive blends
- Scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis of samples show that the carbon-ore particles are well-bonded to the additive binder





Figure 1: SEM micrographs of (a-b) REE extracted carbon-ore (50 wt.%)-Additive #1 (50 wt.%), (c-d) REE extracted carbon-ore (50 wt.%)-Additive #1 (25 wt.%) after sintering

Technology Background

- Based on the sintering of lignite carbon-ore particles with additives at relatively low temperatures (<500°C)
- During heating, the pyrolyzed carbon-ore and additive interact as a result of sintering with a reactive liquid phase
- Laboratory compressive strength measurements show this technology can produce carbon-ore composites with strengths exceeding the ASTM physical requirements for various types of brick¹
- The primary product of SCBM technology will be producing LIG2 standard bricks
 - With capability to produce brick veneer, CMU's, concrete aggregate, insulation, and others
 - Appearance of these materials can be modified to add aesthetic value



Technology Background

- Technical and/or economic <u>advantages:</u>
 - Low temperature production
 - Low environmental impact
 - Low-cost fabrication
 - Easily tailorable properties
 - Flexible manufacturing
 - Performance meets ASTM requirements
 - Direct use of carbon ore in product
 - Utilizes abundant ND lignite resource
 - Can utilize REE extracted lignite and waste lignite
- Technical and/or economic <u>challenges:</u>
 - Initial bench scale development
 - Limited domestic sources of required additive
 - Feedstock prices and availabilities are highly dependent on global energy prices



Technical Approach/Project Scope

- Project Scope of Work
 - Task 1: Project Management and Reporting
 - Task 2: Feedstock Procurement
 - Task 3: Production of Building Materials
 - Task 4: Product Testing and Analysis
 - Task 5: Technical and Economic Assessment
 - Task 6: LIG2 Aggregate Testing
- Success Criteria
 - Demonstrate the ability to successfully sinter carbon-ore to produce value-added carbon products and high strength building materials
 - Demonstrate the ability to produce 5-10 bricks/day
 - Complete a TEA, LCA, and concept design showing potential for technology to be profitable for the lignite industry

Technical Approach/Project Scope

| Task / Subtask Number | Milestone Description | Planned Task Completion Date | Verification Method |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.1 | Project Management Plan | 10/31/2021 | PMP File |
| 1.1 | Project Kick-off meeting | 12/30/2021 | Kick-off slides |
| 1.1 | Final Report | 2/29/2024 | Final Report File |
| 1.2 | Technology Maturation Plan (TMP) | 12/30/2021 | Initial TMP File |
| 1.3 | Workforce Readiness Plan (WRP) | 9/30/2023 | WRP File |
| 1.4 | Summary of Environmental Justice Considerations | 12/30/2021 | Initial Summary File |
| V 1.5 | Summary of Economic Revitalization and Job Creation Outcomes | 12/30/2021 | Initial Summary File |
| 1.6 | Environmental, Safety, and Health Analysis | 12/30/2021 | Initial Summary File |
| 1.7 | Safety Management Plan (SMP) | 10/31/2021 | SMP File |
| 2.0 | Feedstock Procurement Report | 12/31/2021 | Quarterly Report |
| 3.0 | Identification of Optimum Processing Conditions | 4/30/2022 | Quarterly Report |
| 4.0 | SCBM Testing and Analysis Report | 2/29/2024 | Attachment to Final Report |
| 5.1 | Technical and Economic Assessment | 2/29/2024 | Attachment to Final Report |
| 5.2 | Technology Gap Analysis | 2/29/2024 | Attachment to Final Report |
| 5.3 | Conceptual Design | 2/29/2024 | Attachment to Final Report |
| 5.4 | Life Cycle Analysis | 2/29/2024 | Attachment to Final Report |



Technical Approach/Project Scope

| | | Risk Rating | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Perceived Risk | Probability Impact Overall | | Overall | Mitigation/Response Strategy | | | | | | | | |
| | (| (Low, Med, High) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of Materials | Low | High | Low | Review cost of materials and identify alternatives as needed. | | | | | | | | |
| Underestimate level of effort required to complete the work | Low | High | Med | Continually track costs and schedule. | | | | | | | | |
| | | С | ost Schedule Ris | ks: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost tracking | Low | High | Low | Assign responsibility for managing cost. Dedicated program resource manager for project management. Utilization of Project cost tracking system. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Te | chnical Scope Ri | sks: | | | | | | | | |
| Availability of additive bonding materials | Low | High | Low | Project team has identified multiple sources of additive materials for additive bonding materials. | | | | | | | | |
| Operational consistency during testing | Medium | Medium | Medium | Work with plant operations and carbon ore delivery to maintain optimum test conditions to ensure quality data is obtained. | | | | | | | | |
| | | Management | Planning and O | versight Risks: | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment Availability | Medium | High | Medium | All equipment and sources of equipment to be purchased have been identified. Current supply chain delays will be monitored and may cause delays in receipt of equipment. Equipment will be purchased as soon as possible to mitigate any potential issues. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ES&H: | | | | | | | | | |
| Volatile organic compound release | Low | Low | Low | All gases and volatiles released during sintering will be released into a hood. | | | | | | | | |

- Task 1: Project Management and Reporting
 - Regular internal team meetings, billing, budgeting, reporting
- Task 2: Feedstock Procurement
 - Identified optimal additives and carbon-ore types, resource availability, and estimated feedstock costs

| Component | Cost (\$/ton) |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Waste Carbon-Ore | 20 |
| REE Extracted Carbon-Ore | 110 |
| Additive #2 | 1,283 |
| Internal Lubricant | 3,000 |
| Additive #3 | 3,206 |
| Additive #4 | 2,000 |
| Additive #12 | 20 |
| Binder #1 | 800 |
| Coating | 655 |



- Task 3: Production of Building Materials
 - Necessary equipment for scaled-up production were identified, procured, and installed
 - Custom brick pressing die, Large furnace, 100-ton hydraulic press, Vibratory mill, Sieve shaker
 - Optimized feedstock and processing conditions
 - Characterized SCBM samples
 - Proximate, Ultimate, **Carbon content**, Gamma-ray emissions, Computer-controlled scanning electron microscopy (CCSEM), **Density**, Porosity (He-pycnometry), **Compressive strength**, and Hardness
 - Identified list of applications in which LIG2 products have the potential to be commercialized within 10 years
 - **Building brick**, Facing brick, Hollow brick, Thin veneer brick, Concrete aggregate, Concrete masonry units, Architectural block, and Insulation







• SCBM Characterization: Compressive Strength



• SCBM Characterization: Carbon Content

| | (post- | 80 | | Carbon Content | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------|---|----------------|------------------|--|
| | As-received | Dry | Dry organic mineral matter-free (dmmf) | 60 | | |
| Ultimate (wt%) | | | | <u>(s</u> 50 | | |
| Total Moisture | 3.39 | - | - | nf bas | | |
| Ash | 15.86 | 16.42 | - | ump) % | | |
| Carbon | 58.14 | 60.18 | 72.32 | ¥ 30 | | |
| Hydrogen | 4.04 | 3.79 | 4.55 | 20 | | |
| Nitrogen | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.21 | 10 | | |
| Total Sulfur | 1.58 | 1.64 | 1.97 | | LIG2 Brick 72.32 | |
| Oxygen by Difference | 19.41 | 16.97 | 19.94 | 0 | | |



70.71

• SCBM Characterization: Density

| Sample Type | Apparent Density (g/cc) | % Weight Decrease |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Clay Brick ^[2] | 1.90 | - |
| LIG2 Brick | 1.22 | 35.82% |
| | | |
| Gravel ^[3] | 2.30 | - |
| LIG2 Aggregate | 1.15 | 50.13% |



- [2] K. Ramesh and G. Viruthangiri, "Estimation of Porosity Values in Clay Bricks", *International Journal of Modern Research and Reviews*, September 2017.
- [3] Kosmatka, Steven H. and Wilson, Michelle L., Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures, EB001, 15th edition, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Illinois, USA, 2011, 460 pages.

- Task 4: Product Testing and Analysis
 - LIG2 brick manufacturing
 - Phase analysis and mechanical testing
 - Proximate, Ultimate, Carbon content, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), SEM Morphology, SEM Phase Mapping, Density, Porosity (He-pycnometry), Tensile strength, Compressive strength, Flexural strength, Hardness, Wear, Wettability, Thermal conductivity, and Thermal expansion
 - Durability analysis
 - Antimicrobial growth, **Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)**, Moisture absorption, Toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP)
 - Identification of candidate LIG2 products
 - Building brick, Facing brick, Hollow brick, and Insulation
 - Preliminary brick jointing



Bench Scale Brickmaking Process



As-received waste carbon-ore materials



Step 1. Grinding



Step 2. Sieving



Step 3. Mixing

Applications

- Building brick,
- Facing brick,
- Brick veneer,
- Architectural block,
- Tiles,
- Insulation,
- and related materials



Step 4. Pressing







Step 5. Firing

Next Steps

- Scale-up production to pilot scale.
- Produce bricks at rate of 50-100 bricks per day.

• LIG2 Brick Characterization: Compressive Strength







• LIG2 Brick Characterization: Thermal Conductivity

| Sample ID | Density (g/cm³) | Temperature (°C) | Diffusivity (cm²/sec) | Specific Heat Capacity (J/g- °C) | Conductivity (W/m-°C) | Conductivity (BTU-in/hr- ft ² -°F) | Temperature (°F) |
|-----------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| MTI | 1 000 | 25 | 0.0014 | 1.087 | 0.17 | 1.16 | 77 |
| 23-475 | 1.088 | 175 | 0.0011 | 1.700 | 0.21 | 1.42 | 347 |



ASTM E1461 Thermal Diffusivity by the Flash Method Thermal Conductivity vs. Temperature



• LIG2 Brick Durability Analysis: TGA





• Preliminary LIG2 Brick Jointing









- Task 5: Technical and Economic Assessment
 - Techno-Economic Assessment
 - Technology Gap Analysis
 - Conceptual Design
 - Lifecycle Analysis





• Technical and Economic Assessment

| LCOP Component | | LCOP |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| TVOM _n | \$ | 102,539,000 |
| TFOM _n | \$ | 21,038,000 |
| TOC _n | \$ | 4,460,000 |
| TD_n | \$ | 645,000 |
| TASC/TOC nominal | | 1.147 |
| TASC/TOC real | | 1.089 |
| Product Units per Year | Units | 6,600,000 |
| LCOP nominal | \$/unit | 1.59 |
| LCOP real | \$/unit | 1.51 |
| | 1 ((()) | |

LCOP = Levelized cost of product (\$/unit)

TCP = Total cost of product (\$)

 $TVOM_n = Total variable O&M ($), including fuel costs, if any in year n$

 $TFOM_n = Total fixed O&M in year n ($)$

 $TOC_n = Total overnight cost in year n ($)$

 $TD_n = Total depreciation in year n ($)$



• Technical and Economic Assessment





• Technology Gap Analysis

| Technology Gap and Risk | Risk Mitigation | Development Pathway |
|--|--|---|
| Complete product characterization | Conduct remaining characterization | Identify remaining properties, and their requirements, necessary for building code certification. |
| Product improvement | Conduct additional R&D | Determine and improve any product weaknesses with respect to competitive products. |
| Product building code certification | Conduct additional R&D and receive product building code certification | Determine and improve any product weaknesses with respect to building code certification. Determine pathway to building code certification with reputable certification authority. |
| Feedstock quality | Conduct additional R&D and develop feedstock quality management system | Discuss potential feedstock variabilities with suppliers. Conduct testing and analysis of feedstock variations. |
| Production capability | Design and select equipment appropriate for LIG2 brick production | Investigate equipment material handling capabilities. Work closely with equipment manufacturers to ensure equipment performance matches expectations. Test purchased equipment to verify equipment capability to produce LIG2 bricks. |
| Production quality | Employ quality control methods | Identify factors contributing to variations in LIG2 brick production. Conduct R&D to determine acceptable parameters for each factor. Establish quality control methods based on R&D results. |
| Performance of commercial equipment | Design and select equipment appropriate for LIG2 brick production | Investigate equipment material handling capabilities. Work closely with equipment manufacturers to ensure equipment performance matches expectations. Test purchased equipment to verify satisfactory performance. |

• Lifecycle Analysis

| Comparative kg CO ₂ -eq balance | LIG2 Brick | Traditional Clay Brick | Ratio | Percent decrease in CO ₂ -eq emissions |
|---|------------|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Production | 2.91E+06 | 9.38E+06 | 0.31 | 69.0% |
| Packaging | 1.26E+02 | 1.73E+02 | 0.73 | 27.2% |
| Transport | 1.45E+05 | 4.23E+05 | 0.34 | 65.8% |
| Use | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | - | - |
| End-of-life | 1.70E+05 | 4.49E+05 | 0.38 | 62.1% |
| Total | 3.23E+06 | 1.03E+07 | 0.31 | 68.5% |





- Task 6: LIG2 Aggregate Testing
 - LIG2 aggregate and concrete production
 - LIG2 aggregate testing
 - LIG2 aggregate SEM and phase analysis









• LIG2 Aggregate Testing





• LIG2 aggregate SEM and phase analysis







- Performance levels achieved thus far include:
 - Develop value-added products from carbon ore
 - ✓ Carbon ore building materials will contain \geq 70 wt.% carbon and \geq 51 wt.% of carbon from carbon ore
 - Demonstrate the ability to produce Sintered Carbon Ore Building Materials (SCBM)
 - Ultimately to produce 5-10 bricks per day
 - Complete a Technical and Economic Analysis (TEA), a Technology Gap Analysis, and a Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) on the LIG2 brick process
 - Create a conceptual design of a carbon-based building used LIG2 products
- Economic and technical advantages of project performance:
 - Utilization of vast lignite resource
 - Use of low-cost, abundant raw materials
 - Production of value-added (LIG2) products from unused carbon ores
 - Meets and exceeds ASTM performance requirements
 - Support to REE and CM recovery processes
 - Environmentally friendly and "next-use" benefits
 - High paying, environmentally friendly jobs
 - Benefit to rural and underrepresented communities

- Synergistic opportunities of this project include:
 - "Rare Earth Element Extraction and Concentration at Pilot-Scale from North Dakota Coal-Related Feedstocks" DE-FE0031835
 - "Production of Germanium and Gallium concentrates for Industrial Processes" DE-FE0032124
 - "Recovery & Refining of Rare Earth Elements from Lignite Mine Wastes" DE-FE0032295
 - "Production of Germanium and Gallium Concentrates for Industrial Processes" DE-FOA-0002619
 - "Enhancing the Economics of Critical Minerals Production from Lignite Through Value-Added Carbon Products" DE-FOA-0003105
 - "Lignite-Derived Hard Carbon as Na-Ion Battery Anodes" DE-FOA-0003202



Plans for Future Testing/Development/ Commercialization

- In this project:
 - N/A
- After this project:
 - Further product optimization and characterization
 - Pilot scale production (50-100 bricks/day)
 - Building code compliance evaluation and certification
 - Design and construction of LIG2 brick building
- Commercial scale-up potential:
 - Scale-up and identification of mass-production pathways
 - Identification of target markets and fit-for-use production
 - Marketing and securement of preliminary contracts
 - Design, construction, and operation of commercial facility



Outreach and Workforce Development Efforts/Achievements

- Outreach
 - Public news release⁴
- Workforce Development
 - Provided training to produce sintered carbon-ore building materials (SCBM) samples for employees at MTI and postdoc, graduate, and undergraduate students at UND
 - Provided opportunities for individual study and experience in materials science, carbon-ore and biomass processing, bench scale manufacturing, advanced characterization techniques, and technoeconomic and lifecycle analyses

Summary Slide

- The SCBM technology is a groundbreaking technology that demonstrates that lignite coal particles can be successfully sintered at relatively low temperatures to produce a high-strength building material
 - Laboratory findings have shown SCBM's to meet and exceed ASTM brick requirements for compressive strength
 - Capable of producing products that meet or exceed building materials requirements while maintaining ≥70 wt.% carbon and ≥51 wt.% carbon coming from carbon-ore
 - Demonstrate the technical and economic flexibility of the SCBM technology
- Future projects will continue development and refinement LIG2 brick production at the pilot scale and above
- SCBM technology can valorize waste and REE extracted carbon-ores providing value-added opportunities for the carbon-ore industry



Acknowledgements

- DOE/NETL
 - Project Manager: Mark Render
- Microbeam Technologies
 - Logan Anderson, Dr. Steve Benson, Alex Benson
- University of North Dakota
 - Dr. Surojit Gupta
 - Dr. Jin Zhang, Mackenzie Geigle, Tim Fah, Caleb Matzke
 - Nolan Theaker
- North American Coal Corporation
 - Gerard Goven
- North Dakota Industrial Commission/Lignite Energy Council
 - Mike Holmes



Thank You

Matt Fuka Microbeam Technologies Inc. <u>mfuka@microbeam.com</u> Main: 701-757-6200 Cell: 701-213-6147



Appendix



Organization Chart

- □ Project Team:
 - Microbeam Technologies Inc. (Lead)
 - University of North Dakota
- □ Support From:
 - U.S. DOE/NETL
 - North American Coal Corporation
 - North Dakota Industrial Commission/Lignite Energy Council











Organization Chart



Gantt Chart

| Table (Culture) Name | Charle Date | | | 2021 2022 | | | 2023 20 | | | | | | | | 2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|---------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----|
| Task/Subtask Name | Start Date | End Date | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | g Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar |
| Task 1 - Project Management and Reporting | 10/1/2021 | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Management Plan (PMP) | | 10/31/2021 | (| > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Kickoff Meeting | | 12/30/2021 | | | (| \rangle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technology Maturation Plan (TMP) | | 12/30/2021 | | | (| > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Workforce Readiness Plan (WRP) | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | > |
| Summary of Environmental Justice Considerations | | 12/30/2021 | | | (| > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Economic Revitalization and Job Creation Outcomes | | 12/30/2021 | | | (| > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Environmental, Safety, and Health Analysis | | 12/30/2021 | | | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Safety Management Plan (SMP) | | 10/31/2021 | (| \rangle | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Report | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (| 5 |
| Task 2 - Feedstock Procurement | 10/1/2021 | 12/31/2021 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Feedstock Procurement Report | | 12/31/2021 | | | | > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Task 3 - Production of Building Materials | 11/1/2021 | 7/31/2023 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 3.1 - Equipment Set-up | 11/1/2021 | 12/1/2022 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 3.2 - Optimization of Processing Conditions | 12/1/2021 | 4/30/2022 | | | Ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 3.3 - Production of Testing Quantities | 5/1/2022 | 7/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 3.4 - Identification of Commercializable LIG2 Products | 4/1/2022 | 4/30/2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Identification of Optimum Processing Conditions | | 4/30/2022 | | | | | | | (| > | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Task 4 - Product Testing and Analysis | 12/1/2021 | 7/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 4.1 - Phase Analysis and Mechanical Testing | 1/1/2022 | 4/30/2023 | | | | | | i | i - i | | | | | | i . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 4.2 - Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis | 2/1/2022 | 5/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 4.3 - Durability Analysis | 4/1/2022 | 7/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 3.4 - Identification of Candidate LIG2 Products | 7/1/2022 | 7/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LIG2 Testing and Analysis Report | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (| 5 |
| Task 5 - Technical and Economic Assessment | 4/1/2022 | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | - | | | | | |
| Subtask 5.1 - Techno-Economic Assessment | 4/1/2022 | 12/31/2023 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | - | | | |
| Subtask 5.2 - Technology Gap Analysis | 6/1/2022 | 1/31/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Subtask 5.3 - Conceptual Design | 7/1/2022 | 2/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - | - | | | | |
| Subtask 5.4 - Life Cycle Analysis of Products | 8/1/2022 | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technical and Economic Assessment | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | (| 5 |
| Technology Gap Analysis | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| Conceptual Design | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - (| 5 |
| Life-Cycle Analysis | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | - 2 | 5 |
| Task 6 - LIG2 Aggregate Testing | 9/1/2023 | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Subtask 6.1 – LIG2 Aggregate Production | 9/1/2023 | 1/31/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Subtask 6.2 – Performance of LIG2 Aggregate in Concrete | 10/1/2023 | 2/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Subtask 6.3 – SEM Analysis of LIG2 Aggregate in Concrete | 11/1/2023 | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Milestones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | |
| Technical and Economic Assessment | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | 5 |
| Technology Gap Analysis | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \rightarrow | 6 |
| Life-Cycle Analysis | | 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | - 2 | 6 |
| Identification of Optimum Processing Conditions Task 4 - Product Testing and Analysis Subtask 4.1 - Phase Analysis and Mechanical Testing Subtask 4.2 - Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) Analysis Subtask 4.3 - Durability Analysis Subtask 5.4 - Identification of Candidate LIG2 Products Milestones LIG2 Testing and Analysis Report Task 5 - Technical and Economic Assessment Subtask 5.1 - Techno-Economic Assessment Subtask 5.2 - Technology Gap Analysis Subtask 5.3 - Conceptual Design Subtask 5.4 - Life Cycle Analysis of Products Milestones Technical and Economic Assessment Subtask 5.4 - Life Cycle Analysis of Products Milestones Technical and Economic Assessment Technology Gap Analysis Conceptual Design Life-Cycle Analysis Task 6 - LIG2 Aggregate Testing Subtask 6.1 - LIG2 Aggregate Testing Subtask 6.3 - SEM Analysis of LIG2 Aggregate in Concrete Subtask 6.3 - SEM Analysis of LIG2 Aggregate in Concrete Milestones Technical and Economic Assessment Technical and Economic Assessment Technical and Economic Assessment Techn | 12/1/2021 1/1/2022 2/1/2022 4/1/2022 4/1/2022 4/1/2022 6/1/2022 7/1/2022 8/1/2022 9/1/2023 9/1/2023 10/1/2023 11/1/2023 | 4/30/2022 7/31/2023 4/30/2023 5/31/2023 7/31/2023 7/31/2023 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 3/29/2024 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

References

[1] "Specifications for and Classification of Brick," The Brick Industry Association, Oct-2007.

[2] K. Ramesh and G. Viruthangiri, "Estimation of Porosity Values in Clay Bricks", *International Journal of Modern Research and Reviews*, September 2017.

[3] Kosmatka, Steven H. and Wilson, Michelle L., Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures, EB001, 15th edition, Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Illinois, USA, 2011, 460 pages.

[4] "Grand Forks-based company awarded \$499K from U.S. energy department to use coal as building materials", Grand Forks Herald, https://www.grandforksherald.com/business/grand-forks-based-company-awarded-499k-from-u-s-energy-department-to-use-coal-as-building-materials, June 2021.