Process Intensification of Hydrogen Production through Sorption-Enhanced Gasification of Biomass

DE-FE0032174



Kevin Whitty (PI) and Michael Nigra (co-PI) The University of Utah

2024 FECM/NETL Spring R&D Project Review Meeting—Pittsburgh, PA *Tuesday, April 23, 2024*

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information.

Background – Hydrogen



Production

- 120 million tons H₂ worldwide each year
- 540 GW equivalent

Sources

- 75% from natural gas reforming
- 25% from coal reforming

Usage

- 63% used for refining and ammonia production
- 37% for MeOH, iron ore processing, etc.

Potential

- Non-carbon energy production (energy carrier)
- Large-scale energy storage
- Hydrogen fuel cell EVs
- Industrial use



"ACES Delta will feature 220MW of electrolysers that will convert renewable energy, mainly solar and wind, into up to 100 metric tonnes of green hydrogen a day. This will be stored in two huge salt caverns with a combined storage capacity of 300GWh."

Background – Biomass Gasification



- Conversion of solid or liquid feedstock to synthesis gas (syngas)
 - Hydrogen (H₂)
 - Carbon monoxide (CO)
 - Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
 - Methane (CH₄)
 - Other hydrocarbons
- Gasification is common for coal, petroleum
- > Main reactions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{C} + \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O} &\to \mathsf{H}_2 + \mathsf{CO} & (\text{requires heat}) \\ \mathsf{C} + \mathsf{CO}_2 &\to 2 \, \mathsf{CO} & (\text{requires heat}) \\ \mathsf{C} + \mathsf{O}_2 &\to \mathsf{CO}_2 & (\text{produces heat}) \end{array}$



GoBiGas, Sweden—Biomethane production



Background – Gasification Technologies





Property	Fixed Bed	Fluidized Bed	Entrained Flow
Required feedstock properties	Solid 0.5-2 inch	Solid or liquid	Liquid (slurry) or powder (dry)
Pressurizing/process integration	Difficult	Difficult	"Easy"
Conversion to syngas	80-95%	80-95%	>98%
Syngas quality	Very messy	Quite messy	Comparatively clean

5

Biomass Feedstocks

Forest waste

- Variety of trees, shrubs
- Stumps, branches, twigs, needles/leaves
- High-ash bark
- Dirt, rocks, etc.

> Agricultural residues

- Variety of plants
- Stalks, leaves, roots, cobs
- Soil, other contaminants

Other biomass-based opportunity fuels

- Manure
- Poultry litter
- Biosolids from wastewater treatment

Background – FOA Interest Area

- DE-FOA-0002400 mod 006 AOI 1: Clean Hydrogen Cost Reductions via Process Intensification & Modularization
 - "Seeks innovations that leverage process intensification"
 - "Combining multiple unit operations into a single subsystem that can accomplish multiple tasks simultaneously"
- Specific examples
 - 1. "Selective hydrogen extraction...that might have combinatorial benefits on reducing equipment size, advantageously shifting reaction equilibrium..."
 - 2. "CO₂ removal technologies integrated and combined with gasification system unit operations..."
 - 3. "Combining of multiple unit operations into a single unit operation..."

Bioenergy as an Enabler for Carbon Neutral and *Carbon Negative* Energy Production

Technical Approach – Process Intensification

- <u>Conventional</u> conversion of biomass to H₂ is a multi-step process
 - Typically fluidized bed or fixed bed gasifiers
 - Needs feedstock preparation
 - ...then gasification to make H_2 and CO

...then syngas cleaning to remove tars and other contaminants

...then water-gas shift to maximize hydrogen ($H_2O + CO \rightarrow H_2 + CO_2$)

...then H_2/CO_2 separation by e.g. pressure swing absorption (PSA)

• Overall, a complex, expensive process

Conventional approach for hydrogen production from biomass

Need process intensification to reduce complexity and number of units

Solution: <u>Sorption-Enhanced</u> Gasification

Dual Fluidized Bed (DFB) Gasification

- Sand (e.g. olivine) is heat carrier for gasifier
- 80-85% conversion of biomass in gasifier
- Unconverted char carried to combustor to heat sand

Sorption-Enhanced DFB Gasification

Add limestone to the dual fluidized bed gasification system to absorb CO₂

Oxy-Sorption-Enhanced DFB Gasification

 \blacktriangleright Operate combustor as an oxy-fuel system with pure O₂ and recycled CO₂

Project Objectives

Overall objective: Demonstrate the feasibility of sorption-enhanced biomass gasification for production of H₂-rich syngas in a dual fluidized bed reactor operating under industrially-relevant conditions. This will be achieved by pre-processing the biomass feedstock to ensure consistent composition and trouble-free feeding, combined with operation of an existing dual fluidized bed process development unit with addition of limestone to achieve in situ removal of CO₂ from the gasifier to create a clean, high-hydrogen syngas.

Specific objectives:

- 1. Demonstrate that waste biomass can be pre-processed to promote SEG
- 2. Understand and model fundamental processes of SEG
- 3. Evaluate SEG performance and syngas quality over a range of industriallyrelevant conditions
- 4. Demonstrate oxy-SEG to produce separate of H_2 and CO_2 -rich streams

1. Project management and planning

2. Biomass feedstock preparation (in collaboration with INL)

- 2.1 Procure and characterize biomass materials
- 2.2 Prepare and pelletize biomass
- 2.3 Prepare pellets of combined biomass and limestone

3. Fundamental studies of sorption-enhanced gasification

- 3.1 Characterize gasification rates of prepared fuels
- 3.2 Lab-scale sorption-enhanced gasification studies
- 3.3 Evaluate methods to maximize hydrogen production

4. PDU studies of sorption-enhanced gasification

- 4.1 Preparation of dual fluidized bed PDU for sorption-enhanced gasification
- 4.2 Initial PDU testing and scoping trials
- 4.3 Parametric testing of sorption-enhanced gasification
- 4.4 Testing oxy-SEG for hydrogen production with CO_2 capture

5. Modeling of sorption-enhanced gasification

- 5.1 Dual fluidized bed gasification reactor modeling
- 5.2 Process modeling of sorption-enhanced gasification
- 5.3 Economic modeling as a tool to reduce hydrogen cost

Dual Fluidized Bed Process Development Unit

Biomass Conversion Studies

Chemical considerations

- Distribution into volatiles, char
- Volatiles composition
- Ash chemistry

Physical considerations

- Feedstock preparation
- Char properties
- Fluidizing characteristics

Gasification rates

- Influence of temperature
- Influence of pressure
- Influence of CO and H₂
- Development of kinetic models

5 min

30 min

Activation energy 193 kJ/mol

Influence of temperature on char gasification of loblolly pine

Tar cracking studies

- Tars will be formed as a result of biomass gasification
- How can tars be cracked to form smaller molecules?
- Solid acids with metal dopants as catalyst to crack tars
- Naphthalene as a representative for tars

Effectiveness of CO₂ Sorbents

Temperature Effects on Carbonation

0.5

0.4

- Further increase of carbonation ٠ T would bring about thermodynamic limitations.
- At a given T, if $P_{CO2} > P_{eq}$ carbonation takes place.

Due to the chemical equilibrium of the capture reaction, gasification temperature is limited to T < 720°C

Carbonation @550-750°C $(20\% CO_2 \text{ balance } N_2)$

Effect of Steam Addition during Carbonation

THE UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

- Increase in CO₂ sorption with steam across carbonation T.
- Increased pore volume and formation of cracks (large increase of the reaction surface) enhance the solid-state diffusion through the carbonate layer.
- CaO hydration to obtain Ca(OH)₂ can be used to increase carbonation extent.

Sorption capacity is enhanced with steam, holds true over multiple cycles

Carbonation @600-700°C (20% CO_2 + 50% steam, balance N_2)

Effect of CO Addition during Carbonation

- Decrease in CO₂ sorption is observed when CO is introduced, even with as little as 2 vol.% CO
- Competitive adsorption of CO and CO₂ for CaO*
- Boudouard reaction: $2CO \rightarrow CO_2 + C$

Carbonation @650°C (20% CO_2 + 10% CO)

CO₂ sorption performance is limited by CO addition; C – deposition, sorbent deactivation

21

Effect of H₂ Addition during Carbonation

CO₂ sorption performance

- Decrease in CO₂ sorption is observed when H₂ is introduced, similar to that of CO addition
- Competitive adsorption of H₂ and CO₂ for CaO*
- Instance of RWGS:

 $CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O$

 <u>Addition /in situ formation</u> of **CO** limits CO₂ sorption performance of CaO, even with steam-added carbonation

Steam-enhanced and syngas-inhibitory effects balance out in presence of both.

Carbonation @650°C (20% $CO_2 + 10\% H_2$)

Multicyclic performance of CaO

X₁ initial conversion; **k** decay constant, **X**_r is residual conversion

- Decrease in surface area due to sintering is proportional to a power of available surface area
- Conversion is proportional to the surface area available

$$X_{N} = Xr + \frac{X_{1}}{k(N-1) + \left(1 - \frac{X_{r}}{X_{1}}\right)^{-1}}$$

Conditions	v	k	v	% decay	% decay
conditions	^ 1	N	∧ _r	1-5	1-10
Dry-650	0.403	0.463	0.047	53.5%	68.1%
Dry-CO	0.329	0.427	0.05	49.4%	65.0%
Dry-H ₂	0.327	0.395	0.033	52.5%	68.0%
Wet-650	0.489	0.446	0.051	54.0% 🔪	69.9%
Wet-CO	0.4	0.613	0.045	60.9%	73.3%
Wet-H ₂	0.403	0.633	0.046	66.8%	79.9%

- Steam increases initial & residual activity
- <u>Sorbent decay</u> increases with steam addition
- Steam increases elutriated fines/ fragmentation
- Sorbent loses <u>activity</u> with syngas introduction
- <u>Decay</u> with syngas is **more pronounced** in steam

 \downarrow indicates direction of increase

Characterization of Spent Sorbent(s)

- More open microstructures & increased pore volume of CaO with steam treatment, less resistance to CO₂ diffusion to CaO core.
- Grain sizes are noticeably larger with <u>steam carbonation</u>, due to sintering enhanced with steam.
- Particles formed aggregates with CO/H₂ addition, resulting in a loss of surface area & pore volume for CO₂ sorption.

	Sample	BET surface area,	BJH pore volume,			
	CaO (dry)	8.76	83.81			
→ C	CaO (wet)	9.54	132.65			
	Spent sorbent after 1 st carbonation at 650°C					
	Dry	0.25	7.52			
	Wet	0.38	9.12			
-	Dry CO	0.22	4.55			
-	Dry H ₂	0.24	4.18			

Acknowledgements

- This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under award number DE-FE0032174 (PM: Sarah Pfeiffer)
- Idaho National Laboratory (INL)
 - Jordan Klinger
 Nepu Saha
- University of Utah Gasification Research Group
 - Kevin Whitty
 - Sayed A Sufyan
 - Jieun Kim

Hayden West

David Wagner

Daniel Varga

- Rashmi Charde
- DISCLAIMER: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise docs not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.