FOA 2614 Round 4 Kickoff

Decarbonization of Industrial Processes Using Oxygen-Based (Oxy-combustion and Chemical Looping) Approaches

Solutions for Today | Options for Tomorrow

Mani Gavvalapalli Senior Program Manager, Point Source Carbon Capture

Ron Munson Point Source Carbon Capture Technology Manager

Mike Fasouletos Point Source Carbon Capture Team Supervisor







Thursday, August 8, 2024



Decarbonization of Industrial Processes Using Oxygen-Based (Oxy-combustion and Chemical Looping) Approaches

Mani Gavvalapalli, Ph.D.

Program Manager, Point Source Carbon Capture

August 08, 2024







CCS is Essential to Address Industrial CO₂ Emissions

- Despite the importance of CCS for achieving clean energy transitions, deployment has been slow to take off.
- Cost of Capture is one of the key factors for the slow deployment of CCS.



 PSC Program - Invests in transformational technologies to further reduce the cost of CO₂ capture and spur the deployment of carbon capture for power and industrial applications.

Cost of Cement – w/ and w/o CCS

CCS adds \$120 -160 per tonne of CO2 without 45Q (equivalent to ~70 -90% premium per tonne of cement)



Pathways to Commercial Liftoff: Low-Carbon Cement

- CCS has difficult economics and still must be demonstrated at commercial scale for industrial applications
- Even with 45 Q tax credit (\$85/ton of CO₂ stored), CCS would still add an additional ~\$25-55 per tonne of cement (equivalent to ~20 40% premium)
- <u>Capture cost reduction</u> is critical to achieve cost parity

5

Investing in Transformational Capture Technologies

- Capture Media:
 - Amine-based solvents most common and mature
 - Advanced solvents water-lean solvents
 - Membranes
 - Cryogenic
 - Solid Sorbents

Solvents 8 Novel Concepts 4 Sorbents 4 Membranes 2 Hybrids 1

- Measuring, monitoring, and controlling CCS-related environmental impacts
- Process intensification; heat integration; modular capture units; mobile capture units; reactive capture
- Oxygen-based approaches (Oxy-combustion and Chemical Looping)

Oxygen-based Approaches with CCS Offer Significant Cost Reduction Potential

Figure 6: Cost Comparison for CO, Abatement in the Cement Sector (\$/tCO,)

		-50	0	50	100	150	200
Clinker substitution	Slag						
	Fly ash						
	Pozzolans and other ²						
Alternative fuels	Waste ³						
	Switch to biomass ³						
New technologies	CCS ⁴ - oxy-fuel						
	CCS ⁴ - post-combustion						
	Post-combustion BECCS ⁵						
Alternative building materials	Replacement of concrete w wood-based solutions ⁶	ith					

1 Globally assumed cost, can vary locally.

2 Limestone, kaoline and other.

3 Depending on availability, quality of material and cost to dispose.

4 Carbon capture and storage.

5 Bioenergy with carbon capture and storage.

6 Includes abatement coming from displacement from steel.

Source: McKinsey & company (2020). Laying the foundation for zero-carbon cement.







Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management (FECM)

CARBON MANAGEMENT (ROUND 4)

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0002614 FOA Type: Modification 000010 Assistance Listing Number: 81.089 - Fossil Energy Research and Development

FOA Issue Date:	09/21/2023			
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	11/20/2023 / 8:00 PM ET			
Expected Date for Selection Notifications:	04/15/2024			
Expected Date for Award:	08/26/2024			

AOI-3D: Decarbonization of Industrial Processes Using Oxygen-Based (Oxy-combustion and Chemical Looping) Approaches



fecm.energy.gov



Fossil Energy and Carbon Management

Thank You!

Questions?

Mani Gavvalapalli

Program Manager, FECM Point Source Carbon Capture Mani.Gavvalapalli@hq.doe.gov

Dan Hancu

Division Director, FECM Point Source Carbon Capture Dan.Hancu@hq.doe.gov