San Juan Basin CarbonSAFE Phase III: Ensuring Safe Subsurface Storage of CO₂ in Saline Reservoirs

DE-FE0031890

William Ampomah, PhD

Section Head - Research Engineer /Assistant Professor

New Mexico Tech



U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Management Project Review Meeting

August 5-9, 2024





Presentation Outline

- Project overview
- Project Objectives
- Accomplishments
- Geology of San Juan Basin
- Technical Approach
- Summary
- Next Steps

Program Overview

- Funding Profile
- Overall Project Performance Dates
- October 2020 March 2025





Project Objectives/ Technical Approach



The overall objective of this proposed project is to perform a comprehensive commercial-scale site characterization of a storage complex located within San Juan County, New Mexico to accelerate the deployment of integrated carbon capture and storage (CCS) technology

- Task 1.0 Project Management and Planning
- Task 2.0 National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA)
- Task 3.0 Site Characterization
- Task 4.0 Reservoir and Caprock Characterization
- Task 5.0 Geologic Modeling and Simulation
- Task 6.0 Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class VI Permit Application
- Task 7.0 Integrated Assessment Modeling
- Task 8.0 Stakeholder/Policymaker Outreach/Education and Engagement
- Task 9.0 Coordination with other DOE Projects



SJB CarbonSAFE Project Facts



Key Project Facts

- Perform Site Characterization of storage complex within San Juan Basin
- Source CO2 from Escalante H2 plant, located in Prewitt, NM, USA.
- Initial UIC Class VI permit submitted in 2023
- Community and stakeholder outreach on CCS technology and its benefits

Characterization Plan

- Drilled characterization well, perform injectivity tests
- Recovered ~ 450 ft of Core, sampled drilling cuttings, advanced log suites measurements
- Perform suites of laboratory experiments and numerical models
- Purchased 100 sq.miles 3D seismic, acquire 3D VSP,
- Installed DAS/DTS/DSS Optical fiber behind casing



Technical Approach/Project Scope



Task/ Subtask	Milestone Title & Description	Status
1.0	Project Kick-off meeting	
2.3	NEPA documentation progress	Ongoing
3.1	Evaluation of available data such as seismic	Completed
3.3	Acquisition and processing of Seismic data	Completed
3.4.5	Stratigraphic well drilled	Completed
4	Complete needed Caprock and reservoir analysis for Modeling	Completed
5.2	Complete initial simulations for UIC permit application	Completed
5.2.8	Complete AOR modeling	Completed
5.3	Complete initial Risk assessment for UIC permit application	Completed
6	Complete documentation to submit UIC class VI application	Completed
6.10	Progress report on submitted UIC class VI application	Completed
6.10	Progress and/or receiving approval for UIC class VI application	Ongoing

Update on Submitted UIC Class VI Permit



UIC CLASS VI PERMIT APPLICATION PROJECT NARRATIVE for the SAN JUAN BASIN CARBONSAFE PROJECT



- Permit Application submission date: June 2023
- Completeness Review: July 2023
- Technical Review: January 2025
- Draft Permit: March 2025
- Public Comment: April 2025
- Final Permit Decision: July 2025

Additional UIC Class VI Plans

- Site Characterization
- Area of Review (AoR) Delineation
- Corrective Action
- Injection Well Construction
- Testing and Monitoring during Operation
- Plugging, Post-Injection Site Care (PISC), and Site Closure
- Financial Responsibility

San Juan Basin Geology





Schematic cross section of the San Juan Basin illustrating confining beds (blue units) and sandstone strata (brown, tan, and gray units).

Stratigraphic column for San Juan Basin

Moenkopi Fm.

9

SJB Basin Structural Elements

New Mexico Tec Petroleum Recovery Research Ce

- Key Wells in the SJB:
 - SJB CARBON SAFE STRAT TEST #001 (30-045-38272)
 - State Strat 600 #001
 - Pathfinder AGI #001
 - Santa Fe H 20 #001
 - Federal 21 #002
 - EMU #001
 - San Luis Fed #001



Site Selection





11

SJB CarbonSAFE Strat Test #001 Core

- Core description
 - Facies descriptions (bedding, grain size, sorting, color, bioturbation, etc.)
 - Fractures and other compactional features
 - Identify locations for subsampling

- Petrographic analyses
 - Original mineralogy
 - Fabrics
 - Diagenesis vs. injection
- Core analyses
 - XRD analysis
 - Porosity & permeability analyses

SJB CarbonSAFE Strat Test #001

- 450 ft of core
- CT scans of the entire core
- 120 standard petrographic thin sections (Carmel to Brushy Basin)
- Routine core analysis for ~170 samples
- XRD data for 49 depths



Fracture Distribution in SJB CS Strat Test #001

- Out of ~450 ft of core, only 95 fractures were identified
- Fracture types and density vary by formation

ANALYSIS OF NATURAL FRACTURES IN CORE FROM THE SJB CARBON SAFE STRAT TEST #001 WELL, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

> April 24, 2023 Scott Cooper and John Lorenz FractureStudies LLC www.fracturestudies.com



Two views of the high-angle extension fracture at \$193 ft in the Summerville Formation. The core has broken open along much of the fracture exposing the incomplete calcite mineralization (left), which narrows and extends upward into the unbroken finer-grained rock, terminating at a redder, muddier layer (right).



Cooper & Lorenz, 2023

Fracture Distribution in SJB CS Strat Test #001



	Formation (#)	Formation (%)
Brushy Basin	6	6.3
Salt Wash	17	17.9
Bluff	ŝ	3.2
Summerville	51	53.7
Todilto	0	0.0
Entrada	5	5.3
Carmel	13	13.7

Fracture distribution by formation (n = 95), by percentage of the total fracture population (all fracture types) in the Carbon Safe core

Cooper & Lorenz, 2023



Importance of Diagenesis in the Entrada Ss.

- Quartz overgrowths stabilized pore structure and preserve porosity
- Anhydrite was an early cement and filled some primary porosity, but later dissolution created secondary porosity
- Calcite and minor dolomite has partially replaced evaporites, feldspars, and rock fragments
- Clay cements (chlorite, illite, smectite) appear to have had minimal impacts on P & P within the dune facies due to relatively low abundance
- Fracturing was minimal
- Bitumen partially fills the porosity in the uppermost Entrada Ss.
- Compaction, grain size, grain angularity and sorting are the major destroyers of porosity in the lower interdune-dominated Entrada

Flow-through testing for the Entrada Sandstone is completed for primary reservoir strata (Ent1, 8317 ft bgs; pictured) and is ongoing for tighter strata above and below that strata (8310 ft bgs and 8375 ft bgs).

Using same synthetic brine as in relative permeability testing, with two tests with ~77% CO2 saturation and one control test with brine only.

94°C, 3500 psi pore pressure and 7130 confining pressure.

Ent 1 is macroporous with long grain contacts and quartz overgrowths – low susceptibility to loss of strength. Uncommon reactive minerals that are not load-bearing.



Dissolution of calcite and Fe-rich minerals are rapid and ongoing during tests, but these cements are not dominant or load-bearing. CO2-enriched tests remained undersaturated with respect to carbonate minerals.



Permeability **decreased and became mean stress independent** after flow-through experiments. This is evidence of pore clogging from fines migration or precipitation of Fe-oxides.

Relatively uncommon reactive phases indicate that solution and capillary trapping may be long-term storage mechanisms, over carbonation.

Mechanical parameters (consolidation strain and Young's modulus) are being analyzed.





Our Approach to Earth Modeling



	Seismic, Wellbore images	Triple-combo, Sonic, Core	Wellbore images, Sonic, Core	Petrophysics, Sonic, Core
Intrinsic roperties	Framework Structure Faults Horizons	Petrophysics Lithology, Vcl Porosity, Sw Matrix Perm Elastic Moduli	Mechanical Strat Column Facies Support Fracture Attributes	Rock Strength Compressive & Tensile Strength Friction Angle
Extrinsic properties	Vertical Stress Overburden	Pore Pressure Pore Pressure	Stress Direction Maximum Horizontal Stress Direction	Stress Magnitude Minimum & Maximum Horizontal Stress
	Density log, Petrophysics	Formation testing, Petrophysics, Mud logs	Wellbore images, Sonic, 4-Arm calipers	In-situ stress tests, Sonic
Brie and Brat	ton, 1994		•	

A petrophysical analysis has been completed on 14 wells and a geomechanical analysis has been completed on a single well.

Wells used for Petrophysical analysis

Google Earth

Entrada petrophysics



Summerville petrophysics



Mechanical model – Entrada formation





Entrada Salinity Estimation



- Pickett plot
- A=1
- M=1.8
- N=2.0
- Rw = 0.12
- Temp = 164 degF
- Salinity = 24,102 ppm



Lowest Most USDW's

- 6 unique lowest most USDWs exist in various regions of the model domain
 - 1. Ojo Alamo Sandstone NM
 - 2. Kirtland/Fruitland NM/CO
 - 3. Menefee Formation NM/CO
 - 4. Mancos Shale CO
 - 5. Upper Manco Shale NM
 - 6. Morrison Formation NM
- The Ojo Alamo, Menefee, Mancos, and Morrison
 - Determined by existing water wells in each
- The Kirtland-Fruitland and Upper Mancos (Gallup)
 - Determined by produced water data



Areal extent of all USDW's within the project model domain from well data

Performing AoR modeling and delineation

- 146.82(a)(2)"A map showing the injection well for which a permit is sought and the applicable area of review consistent with § 146.84."
- 1. Model Development
 - Area encompasses proposed injection site
 - Determination of physical processes
 - Model design
 - Computational Code Determination
 - Model Spatial Extent, Discretization, and Boundary Conditions
 - Model Timeframe
 - Parameterization, etc ...
- 2. Multiphase Numerical modeling
 - CO₂ saturation and pressure plume size thru time
- 3. Identify Area of Review
 - Area around injection zone where pressures are high enough to force fluid through open conduits into the overlying USDWs
 - Identify potential leaky well-bores
 - Identify potential open/high permeable faults
- 4. NRAP Tools to characterize endangerment of USDW due to well leakage



Implementation of the Numerical Model: From the Geological Conceptual Model to the Numerical Model

San Juan Basin Geological Modeling

• More than 2200 well tops so far





CO₂ Storage Estimation



 $S = Ah\phi\rho E_A E_h E_\phi E_V E_d,$

where *A* is the area of the storage formation, *h* is the thickness of the storage formation, ϕ is the porosity of the storage formation, ρ is the density of the CO₂ (which depends on the pressure and temperature), *E*_A is the Net-to-total-area efficiency factor, *E*_h is the net-to-gross-thickness efficiency factor, *E*_{ϕ} is the effective-to-total porosity efficiency factor, *E*_V is the volumetric displacement efficiency factor, and *E*_d is the microscopic displacement efficiency factor.

Storage Formation	Entrada		Bluff		Saltwash	
Area (km ²)	9,571	0	9,571	0	9,571	0
Thickness (m)	47.4	4.74	55.7	5.57	103.5	10.35
Porosity (%)	10.9	0.4	9.7	0.3	7.9	0.2
Pressure (MPa)	17.2	1.72	15.0	1.50	15.3	1.53
Temperature (°C)	71.5	7.15	64.1	6.41	62.1	6.21

Entrada 1,690 2,441 3,434 2,542 Bluff 1,688 2,492 3,547 2,592 Satlwash 2,708 3,969 5,547 4,125 Total 6,086 8,901 12,527 9,259

 P_{50}

 P_{90}

Mean

Input Parameters

Storage Estimation millions of metric tons of CO₂

P₁₀

Storage Formation

Model Description

	Eti	Transmissivity		
ayer No.	Formation	Permeability ((ml)		
1	1 Dakota	- 10.000		An advanced multi-phase compositional simulator :
2		- 1.000 - 5.100	lark #4-1.5 Baines WDW #001	CMG
5 4	Brushy Basin	- 5010	PSO 7 Source #07 WDW Source #07 WDW SOUTHERN UTE WDW 32-10(EPA) #7-5	19 \square Using well loss well injection data and 2D sciencia
5			LIND GAS COM SWD #001	Using well logs, well injection data, and 3D seismic
6			1 Test 600 #0	data.
7			CENTERPART SWD #001	
8	Cole Work	ALL TO THE ALL AND	WASALY SWD #002	
9	Salt wash	PATHFRIDE WAFF BOR SW	D #091 TTY LADY 3PT 134 #001	
10		CONTRACTOR DOG SWE	D #006 ADMASTE DISPS & AL#ADILL #002	
11		CENTRAL BASI	A DANGEL PLAK B #022	
12		and the second	345 COM #001	
13	13			
14	BIUTT			
15		CWEST BIST	T SWD #001	
10			CARSON LINIT #113.17	
18			ABARSON ON A VOID #242	
19	Summerville	Reservoir Parameter	Value	Remarks
20		Dimonsion Dynamic model	$2/1 \times 2/2 \times 20$	60 miles by 60 miles 1000x1000 ft 1 601 338 grid block
21	Todilto	Not to Groce rotic (NTC)	241 × 242 × 25	Full basis apple grid model
22	iounto	Net-to-Gross ratio (NTG)		Full basin scale grid model
23		Initial water saturation (Swi)	100%	Saline-Aquiter with 50,000 ppm salinity assumption
24	Entrada	Relative permeability	2 RT	2 rock type
25			34 Injection Water	·
26		Injection wells	and 1 Injection Gas	Three wells dominated 50% Cumulative volume injection
27		Initial Pressure at Entrada	3500 psia	
29	29 Camel	Geological zones	5	Summerville Todilto Entrada Camel and Wingate
30		Fluid compositions	3	CO HO CH (tracing component)
31	Wingate	Poundary Model		Edge recerveir pere Volume multiplier
		Boundary woder	500 PV	i ⊏uge reservoir pore volume multiplier

Forecasting CO2 Sequestration Case



Injection strategy in the full-scale field after HM period:

- 1. Maintaining the history water injection rate in the prediction stage
- 2. Primary Group Control: Group constraint of 1 to 3 wells with a maximum of 2 MMton/y CO₂
- 3. Primary Well Control: BHP as the fracture pressure gradient of each well (0.9 X 0.63 psi/ft X TVD)

Scenario Injections

Parameters	Minimum	Maximum			
Gas Inj Group Target, MMscfd	103	120			
BHP, psia	4100	4500			
Well Placement Sinj1, CM1, SJB: I,	Seismic Line Boundary				
J, K		-			
Perforation on Entrada					



Rock Type at San Juan Basin



- Injection Target:
- Entrada have avg 21 mD and max 982 mD and avg porosity of 13%,

Cappilary Pressure

MICP Test lab

Well Placement Optimization Workflow

Objective Function: Optimization the Parameters using PSO 1. AoR delineation minimum from Well placement (WP) 1, WP2, WP3, 2. Gas Injection 50 Mton BHP, Cum Gas Inj Design Multi Layer Network add sampling number to optimize NN Risk Map Optimization NN with GA, Derivative flow method Uncertainty Analysis for selected case

Pareto Front – Multi Objective Function

- 300 sampling number from PSO
- 50% validation number & 50% test
- Match to Risk map
- Select & Uncertainty the potential candidate

Probabilistic : 18, 155, 134, 132, 254,



A sample of Optimized Case (Case 18)



- Storage CO₂ volume of 52 MMton
- the green circle line indicates AoR within a 17-mile diameter encircling the gas plume saturation.



voxv model info: Reduced Linear + Quadratic (alpha=0.1) (R2-training=0.973. R2-verification=0.000

Well Injection Profile- Sample case





ABOUT THE PROJECT

The San Juan Basin CarbonSAFE Phase III project, led by the New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, aims to facilitate the safe subsurface storage of CO2 in saline reservoirs as part of carbon capture and storage (CCS) efforts. By conducting comprehensive commercial-scale site characterization in northwest New Mexico, the project seeks to accelerate the deployment of integrated CCS technology at the San Juan Generating Station, a significant coal-fired electricity generation plant in the region.



Project Facts Sheet

Project Objectives

Carbon Capture and Storage

Site Characterization Conducting thorough investigations to understand the geological conditions of the storage complex in northwest New Mexico. This involves assessing the suitability of saline reservoirs for CO2 storage and identifying potential risks and challenges associated with the process. Regulatory Compliance Preparing, submitting, and attaining a Class VI permit from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the construction of CO2 injection wells. This regulatory approval is crucial for ensuring compliance with environmental standards and guidelines for geologic sequestration.

Capturing approximately 6 to 7 St million metric tons of CO2 per tec year from the San Juan Mitsul Generating Station, with a CDR portion of it (2 million metric feasib

portion of it (2 million metric tons per year) being stored at a site located around 20 miles away. The remainder will be sent to the Cortez pipeline for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) usage in the Permian Basin. enter the context of the p efficiency of these to in capturing CO2 from the powe

Studying CO2 capture technologies, particularly Misubishi Heavy Industry's KM CDR Process, to assess their feasibility and effectiveness in the context of the project. This involves evaluating the efficiency of these technologies in capturing CO2 emissions from the power plant.

Technology Evaluation





Overall, the project represents a significant step towards addressing climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions from coal-fired power plants through the implementation of CCS technology. It underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, regulatory compliance, and technological innovation in achieving carbon neutrality and mitigating the impacts of climate change.

Connect with us on http://www.prrc.nmt.edu

Community Engagement

Commissioners approve carbon management agreement

New Mexico Tech will move ahead with carbon capture projects in the region

BY DAVID EDWARD ALBRIGHT TRI-CITY RECORD

The San Juan County Commission on Tuesday unanimously approved a memorandum of agreement between New Mexico Tech and the San Juan County.

The agreement states that the county will provide support and engagement with the communities in the county.

William Ampomah, a research engineer from New Mexico Tech, gave a detailed slide presentation seeking cooperation from the county for its car-

According to the county staff management report. summary report, the funding ble manner."

safety.

It also states that New Mexico ing water. plinary team with expertise in zone, epipelagic zone, or sun- to store CO2."



bon capture and storage efforts. William Ampomah, a New Mexico Tech research engineer, presents a carbon

from the U.S. Department of En- assessment, project manage- sunlight, allowing phytoplank- area, based on "diversity, inclu- pipeline, will create jobs in the ergy will be used to "accelerate ment, monitoring, reporting ton to perform photosynthesis, sion and accessibility." "Even community, the deployment of carbon cap- and verification for CCS proj- It undergoes a series of phys- though the budget hasn't startture and storage projects in the ects, CO2 pressure management ical, chemical, and biological ed, I'm hiring people from the also asked about jobs, and Am-San Juan Basin in an equitable and optimization, legal, regula- processes that supply nutrients area," Amporah said. "We real- pomah replied that he would and environmentally responsi- tory, CO2 transportation and into the upper water column," Is want you to be engaged in this have to look up the numbers, according to Wikipedia.

Ampomah, describing the Ampomah, emphasizing the He asked the commissioners volved in the first well and that geological features in the San safety considerations, said, "and to participate in their outreach the majority of jobs are of a Juan Basin, said there is a "salt that is a big deal for the EPA," he programs and conferences and three-month duration during water invested formation" that said, adding that "for us as sci- to hold them accountable. will serve as the storage com- entists to make sure that we can Beckstead asked for clarifica- a number of other long-term plex. He said there is a cap rock store it successfully and safely." tion on the scale - the storage of maintenance and process conthat will "seal that will more or Ampomah said they drilled 50 million tons of CO2 - of the trol jobs are also created. less maintain the CO2 that has an 8,800-foot-deep well in the project and the number of jobs The question of water conbeen injected" so that will pre- San Juan Basin to collect more that will be provided and what it sumption was posed by commiss vent CO2 from leaking into the than 459 core samples and done would be worth to the commu-sioner Commissioner Steve Launderground source of drink- a lot of experimental work to nity,

Tech will "engage a "multidisci-"The photic zone, euphotic area is a "strong basin to be able they drilled was a \$12 million but he would have to cross education, community engage- light zone is the uppermost layer Though not specific with timated to be about \$9 million of gallons that was used on the ment, carbon storage resource of a body of water that receives amounts of funding from the each.

U.S. Department of Energy, Ampomah said, it would allow "them to put in fiber in the well that will "record potential microseismic events that can hap-

pen as a result of injection." It will measure temperature that will reveal movement of the CO2 and if it's coming up, he said.

work on three sites to prove they can store CO2 in the San Juan Basin. They plan to store 50 million metric tons of CO2 within 10 or 15 years, he said. Ampomah said they cannot do the project without support and must engage the community and are "mandated" to look at quality jobs and how many jobs will be created. He was unclear on how many jobs would be created when asked by the commisioners.

He said they are looking for

support, including technical, from San Juan County as they

particular process."

Tech's multiclisciplinary team. a. Support engagement with communities to implement the community benefit plan. New Mexico Tech plans to b. Provide training for various organizations, including universities, community colleges, and trade pro-

The San Juan County

agreement

The county acknowledges the expertise and capabilities of New Mexico

fessionals. c. Reevaluate and share CO2 storage resource and hazard assessment for the San Juan community.

d. Identify crosscutting opportunities for supporting the development of CO2 storage projects. e. Offer technical assistance to both project developers and the commurity to ensure equitable deployment. of multiple carbon storage projects in the San Juan Basin.

He said that all three projects, plan to hire people from the including a carbon transport

Commissioner Teri Fortner

but there were 20 entities in the drilling phase. He said that

nier. Ampomah said they work "support the analysis" that this Ampomah said the first well very hard to use "reused water project and the next two are es- check to get the actual number first well project.





Lessons Learned from Strat Well Drilling



Summary- Next Steps



- Drilled stratigraphic well and completed to UIC Class VI standard.
- Successfully installed Silixa fiber optic behind casing
- Submitted first part of UIC Class VI Permit documentation to EPA.
- Commence NEPA documentation after DOE-NEPA determination
- Performed seismic inversion for reservoir properties to enhance property distribution into our geological model
- Completed core analysis and advancing petrophysical and mechanical modeling
- Continue environmental justice analysis unto completion and ensure inputs are appropriately aligned with economic assessment inputs and analysis
- Complete injectivity test
- Submit additional permits to meet program goals
- Obtaining UIC Class VI permit for submitted permit



Acknowledgements

The project would like to thank DOE for the award opportunity through DE-FE0031890 and our partners.



Project Objectives



- Perform a comprehensive site characterization of a storage complex located in northwest New Mexico to accelerate the deployment of CCS technology in the San Juan Basin
- The data and analysis performed will be used to prepare, submit and obtain UIC Class VI permit from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
- Public awareness of CCS technology and its benefits
- Collaborate with regional partnerships and regional initiative projects to accelerate CCS technology deployment in the region