Neeraj Gupta- Battelle Christopher Korose- University of Illinois

Midwest Regional Carbon Initiative - MRCI

(Regional Initiative to Accelerate CCUS Deployment in Midwestern and Northeastern USA)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

NE RECHNOLOGY BATTELLE ILLINOIS

DE-FE0031836

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory **CO₂ Storage Project Review Meeting** August 5-9, 2024



Outline

- Background and Program Goals
- Addressing Key Technical Challenges for CO₂ Storage
- Facilitating Data Collection, Sharing, and Analysis
- Enhancing Infrastructure Development
- Stakeholder Outreach
- The Future of CCS in the MRCI region



MRCI Program Goals

- Implement a collaborative Regional Initiative to accelerate CCUS deployment across Midwestern and Northeastern US.
- Build on more than 20 years of CCUS experience in the region by combining expertise of two RCSPs (MRCSP & MGSC).
- Engage national/international stakeholders, including state geological surveys, universities, industry, fossil fuel production and utilization companies, and NGOs.
- Advanced CCUS research through four tasks:
 - Addressing key technical challenges.
 - Obtaining and sharing data to support CCUS.
 - Facilitating regional infrastructure planning.
 - Performing regional technology transfer.
- Period of Performance 2019-2024







MRCI – Collaboration between Researchers, Industry, and Government, and non-Governmental Organizations





Addressing Key Technical Challenges for CO₂ Storage



The columns identify potential storage targets and confining layers. •



Grenville Province

Shavingar Terrate

Grenville Province

South One

Grenville Province Atered Grante Physite Tenare

Paleo-proter-

Grenville Province Atered Crante Shyster Terrane

Grenville Province

Online Interactive Map (IMap) MRCI GeoTeam Collaboration

- Total of 818 map layers compiled into a single online ArcMap.
- Central repository for structure, thickness, and property maps for storage and caprock formations throughout the region.
 - Cross-sections, oil, gas, and storage field locations, and stratigraphic columns among other items accessible through IMap.
 - Well data inventory was compiled, which shows data available in wells that penetrate 29 key storage reservoirs in the region.



MRCI Sites for CO₂ Injectivity Feasibility Analysis using 3D Site-Scale Models

- Eight site-specific model sites which had static and/or dynamic models developed.
- Models spanning Illinois to West Virginia showcase the variability in reservoir quality throughout the region.



Model #	Model Location	Carbon Storage System	Storage Formations of Interest	Storage Resource Estimate ¹ (Tonnes/mi²)	Previous Model Reference
Model #1	Pickaway County, Ohio	Cambrian- Ordovician	Maryville, Upper Conasauga	90,501 (p10), 298,798 (p50), 713,955 (p90)	Battelle (2017)
Model #2 Model #2	Antrim and Otsego Counties, Michigan Tri-State Area (Gilmer, Bitchia, Daddridga	Silurian- Mississippian Silurian-	Bass Islands Oriskany	78,998 (p10), 109,120 (p50), 196,942 (p90) 30,395 (p10), 121,181 (p50), 355,945 (p90)	Battelle (2018) N/A
#3 Model #4	Counties, WV) Macon County, Illinois	Cambrian- Ordovician	Potosi	N/A (Only dynamic modeling results)	model] Adushita et. al., (2013 and
Model #5	Macon County, Illinois	Cambrian- Ordovician	St. Peter	N/A (Only dynamic modeling results)	2014) Will et al., (2014)
Model #6	Ottawa County, Michigan	Cambrian Mount Simon	Mount Simon	109,792 (1% SEF) 548,964 (5% SEF)	N/A [New model]
Model #7	Kanawha and Putnam Counties, West Virginia	Silurian- Mississippian	Oriskany, Newburg	8,662 (p10), 54,693 (p50), 100,692 (p90)	N/A [New model]
Model #8	Cass, Fulton, Pulaski, and White Counties, Indiana	Cambrian Mount Simon	Mount Simon	544,739 (1% SEF), 2,727,140 (5% SEF),	N/A [New Model]

^[1] Storage resource estimates are based on the volumetric storage capacity over the model area.



CO₂ Injectivity from Site-Scale Models

- Feasibility of select formations showing number of injection wells and Area of Review (CO₂ plume and pressure) required to accommodate the target injection rate.
- Best sites with sufficient data are in the Illinois Basin and Michigan Basin
- Deeper basins require more wells and exploration



Potosi Fm Illinois (1 well)

Mt Simon Fm Mich (1 well)

Marysville Fm Ohio (3 wells) Oriskany Fm only, WV (8 wells)



Adapting Oilfield Operational Strategies for Optimizing Injectivity in Complex CCS Systems

Horizontal Natural Fractu

Horizontal Unfractur

Vertical Natural Fractu

Vertical Unfra

• Many onshore regions of the U.S. comprise complex naturally fractured or 'lower' quality' reservoirs that could contain significant additional resource potential for CO_2 to support commercial CCS projects.

• Matrix permeabilities between 10-30 mD

- Oilfield strategies like horizontal wells and limited hydraulic stimulation for improving CO₂ injectivity and storage efficiency.
 - To inform feasibility, guide optimization of multi-well networks for commercial-scale CCS projects in complex reservoirs.
 - Connected natural fractures in reservoir zone contribute to improve storage efficiency and injection compared to unfractured systems.
 - Evaluate geomechanical impacts of sustained largescale injection on a site-specific basis.





Assessing Containment Risks for Different CS Systems

- Objective evaluate feasibility of DOE NRAP Reduced Order Models (ROMs) for assessing containment risks at CO₂ storage sites
- Methodology evaluate two primary leakage pathways:
 - Leakage along cemented wellbore (NRAP-OPEN-IAM)
 - Leakage across unfractured caprock (NRAP OPEN-IAM Seal Horizon component)

Note: The Seal Horizon mode did not produce valid results, so the 3D GEM model(s) were used to evaluate the caprock leakage pathway.



Conceptual diagram for leakage along cemented wells

Conceptual diagram for leakage across caprock



Selected MRCI Technical Challenge Publications

Carpenter, N.S., Schmidt, J.P., Kelley, M.E., Greb, S.F., Wang, Z.W., 2022. Developing a Baseline Seismicity Catalog in the North-Central and Northeastern U.S. to Assist with CCUS Deployment, *in* 2022 GSA Joint Northcentral – Southeastern Section, April 7-8, 2022, Cincinnati, OH: Geological Society of America Northcentral – Southeastern Section Annual Meeting, vol. 54, no. 4, p. 23. (Presentation)

Collie, A.J., Ravi-Ganesh, P., Haagsma, A., 2023, CO2 Storage and Injection Modeling of the Mt. Simon-Eau Claire Saline Reservoir System, Southwest Michigan. AAPG CCUS 2023, Theme 3.

Conner, A., Kelley, M., Ravi-Ganesh, P., Haagsma, A., Gupta, N., Greenburg, S., Leetaru, H., Greb, S., Moore, J., Carter, K., Harrison, W., Developing a Regional Framework to Define and Assess CO₂ Storage Systems in the Midwestern to Northeastern United States, Mar. 2022, AAPG CCUS 2022 Conference Houston, Texas (Poster)

Conner, A., Kelley, M., Ravi-Ganesh, P., Haagsma, A., Gupta, N., Greenberg, S., Leetaru, H., Greb, S., Moore, J., Carter, K., Harrison, W., Assessing Multi-State CO₂ Storage Systems in the Midwestern to Northeastern United States -Southeastern Section, April 7-8, 2022, Cincinnati, OH: Geological Society of America Northcentral – Southeastern Section Annual Meeting, vol. 54, no. 4, p. 23. (Presentation)

Conner, A., Kelley, M., Haagsma, A., Ravi-Ganesh, P., Gupta, N., Greenberg, S., Leetaru, H., Greb, S., Moore, J., Carter, K., Harrison, W., Assessment of Storage Systems in the Midwest-Northeastern United States for Large-Scale CCUS Projects - 16th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies GHGT-16. 23-27th October 2022, Lyon, France (Poster)

Haagsma, A., Skopec, S., Conner, A., Ravi Ganesh, P., Kelley, M., Developing 3D Static Earth Models to Represent CO₂ Storage Systems in the Midwestern United States, Apr. 2022, GSA 2022 Joint North-Central & Southeastern Section Meeting (Presentation)

Hulett, Samuel, and McDonald, James, 2022, **CO2** solubility in the Silurian "Clinton/Medina" Sandstone – Multi-element modeling and implications for carbon storage, *in* 2022 GSA Joint Northcentral – Southeastern Section, April 7-8, 2022, Cincinnati, OH: Geological Society of America Northcentral – Southeastern Section Annual Meeting, vol. 54, no. 4, p. 23. (Presentation)

Mawalkar, S., James, D., Skopec, S., Hershberger, J., Kelley, M., Ravi Ganesh, P., Gupta, N., Evaluation of Wellbore Leaks and Impacts Using the NRAP OPEN-IAM Model. Jun. 2023, IEAGHG Risk Management Network Meeting, Edinburgh, Scotland.

McDonald, James, Waid, C.B.T., Solis, M.P., Hulett, S.R.W., and Danielsen, E.M., 2022, Regional characterization of the Utica Shale/Point Pleasant Formation for enhanced oil recovery, *in* 2022 GSA Joint Northcentral – Southeastern Section, April 7-8, 2022, Cincinnati, OH: Geological Society of America Northcentral – Southeastern Section Annual Meeting, vol. 54, no. 4, p. 16. (Presentation)

Ravi Ganesh, P., Hershberger J., Vance, T., Skopec, S., Chundur, S., Kelley, M., Gupta, N., Adapting Oilfield Operation Strategies for Optimizing Injectivity in Complex CCS Systems - A Scoping Study. 2024. SPE-218919-MS.

Skopec, S., Haagsma, A., Ravi Ganesh, P., Kelley, M., Conner, A., Mawalkar, S., Screening Assessment of the Oriskany Sandstone in Northern West Virginia for Hosting a Commercial-Scale CO₂ Injection Site, Aug. 2022, AAPG/SEG IMAGE Conference, Houston, TX.

Skopec, S., Mawalkar, S., Vasylkivska, V., Ravi Ganesh, P., Haagsma, A., Kelley, M., Risk Assessment of Carbon Storage at Potential Midwest Regional Carbon Initiative (MRCI) Sites Using NRAP Open-IAM Component Models, Aug. 2022, AAPG/SEG IMAGE Conference, Houston, TX.

Skopec, S., Mawalkar, S., Kelley, M., Conner, A., Chundur, S., Pool, S., Generating a Regional Data Inventory to Accelerate CCUS Deployment in the Midwestern and Eastern United States, CCUS 2024 Conference, Houston, TX.

Wong, I., Carpenter, S., Kelley, M., Bubeck, A., Schmidt, P., Wu, Q. Wang, Z., Greb, S., Sparks, T. and N. Lewandowski (2022). Towards large-scale characterization of induced seismicity potential and its impacts for CCUS in the central and eastern U.S. 16th International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies GHGT-16. 23-27th October 2022, Lyon, France



Facilitating Data Collection, Sharing, and Analysis

MRCI Task 3 Impact: Previous Testing and Research in the MRCI- <u>A foundation for CCS Development</u>

- Providing confidence & practical examples for CCS development from previous DOE/State CCS efforts, legacy seismic, risk analysis, CO₂ injection tests, datasets.
- Providing clarity on key topics (carbonates in Illinois & Appalachian Basin, realistic injectivity rates for CO₂ wells, ethanol & NG CCS)

Previous Testing & Research

- Accelerating 10-20 CCS projects in the MRCI
- Supporting feasibility studies at 50+ sites in MRCI

Sharing Key Research & Datasets





14

MRCI- Additional Data Analyses

Additional analysis completed with existing CCS datasets for MRCI:

- Class I & II Underground Injection Control well injectivity analysis
- Central MRCI Ethanol Plant CCS Screening Study
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions Life Cycle Analysis for MRCI Sources
- ACT collaboration for micro-seismicity
- Machine learning for downhole pressure/temperature prediction
- CT scan for carbonate porosity zones
- NRAP tool validation with field data in MRCI
- Ethanol Plant with CS (82-90%)
- Direct Air Capture Plant (59-90%) (depending on energy source for capture)
- Petroleum refinery (NA)
- Fertilizer/Ammonia Plant (87-88%)

GHG LCA Net CO₂ Storage

- Natural Gas Power Plant (71-76%) (accounting for displaced electricity)
 - Hydrogen Plant (88-90%)
 - Cement Plant (90-91%)
 - CO2-EOR (59-66%)



<u>Effective monitoring of long-term site</u> <u>s</u>tability for transparent carbon capt<u>ure</u> and storage haza<u>r</u>d ass<u>e</u>ssment (ENSURE)





Central MRCI Ethanol Plant CCS Screening



Machine Learning for

Bottomhole Pressure/Temp





^{0 100000 200000 300000 40000} SCALE (METERS)

MRCI – Data Compilation/Sharing Accomplishments



Rethinking infrastructure for Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage (CCUS)

Researching the infrastructure of CCUS is more than just the physical equipment that enables CCUS; it also includes the policy, economics, and people that make CCUS work.

Evaluating Regional Infrastructure

GOAL: Evaluate current infrastructure and future needs to accelerate CCUS deployment

- Conduct a screening level **infrastructure assessment** of surface and subsurface infrastructure
- Assess site readiness to rank areas
- Conduct analysis of social, economic, and workforce development factors
- Analyze current **regulatory, pore space issues**, gaps, policy, and tax incentives







Infrastructure Assessment

- The expansion of the 45Q tax credits have unlocked the potential for capture of an additional 84.1 MMt/yr at 1167 facilities.
- Scenarios have been created to connect these sources with sinks.
- Intermodal transport must be considered next.





Site Readiness

- An assessment of the amount of completed work to advance a project site toward commercial subsurface carbon storage.
- Four categories for integrated projects rated on a six-point scale





Regulations / Permitting – Analyzing Class VI Permits

- Goal of this analysis: Assess Class VI submissions to analyze key approaches of the project parameters, subsurface characterization, and monitoring plans.
- In addition, MRCI supporting states in their CCS regulatory (Class VI, pore space, stewardship) development:
 - WV Primacy in application stage,
 - PA CCS primacy, pore space bill signed
 - OH in consideration





Infrastructure Accomplishments

The task 4 team accomplished several things during the MRCI project.

- Established several *source/sink-transport* case studies and completed routing
- Outlined an *infrastructure security* assessment framework
- Conducted a stakeholder assessment using DOE's / WH Council on Environmental Quality's mapping tools
- Completed a *jobs and economic impact* analysis for a realistic hub scenario in the Appalachian Basin
- Demonstrated an in-depth *pipeline routing assessment* for the Michigan Basin, which included identifying sensitive areas, determining impacted communities, and discussing mitigation efforts
- Completed an assessment of publicly available Class VI permits



Promoting Regional Technology Transfer

MRCI Outreach and Engagement

The Appalachian Basin

What's under the grass?

Underneath your feet are layers of rock that are hundreds to thousands of feet thick. The different colors show the different layers of rock, or **strata**, that go down over 9,000 feet below the surface. Each layer of rock was deposited on top of each other spanning millions of years. Learn **more by checking out the information about each layer below**.



Resource Development

- Fact Sheets
- Hands-on CCS Demonstration Items
- Banner stands and Graphic Displays
- General CCS 101 Slide Decks

The Appalachian Basin

What is a basin?

Different regions are split up into different basins. A basin, or sedimentary basin, is a regional depression where sediments have accumulated over time through erosion of mountains by wind and water, rising and failing sale levels. The and rivers transporting and depositing sediments: The Applahchian Basin covers nine states containing rocks that are between 12 billion and 300 million years old. The basin has played an important role in the United States' energy history through coal mining, all and gas production, and now carbon cature and storase. Check out the mad of the basin to the right!

Geology of the Appalachian Basin







Map showing the range of the Appalachian Basin in the Eastern United States

The Basics of Underground Carbon Dioxide Storage Carbon dioxide (Co)) is all around us it is part of the air we exhele and a search lie bank life and the Earth's natural carbon cycle. To much carbon dioxide. like that produced by industrial activities, however, can cause catastrophic impacts to the Earth's climat. Therefore, efforts are underway to reduce the amount of CO, in the atmosphere. Carbon Capture and Storage (CS) is a method by which CO,

ever, can cause catarophic impacts to time series climitate. Interology Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is a method by which CO, emissions are removed from a point-source emitter, such as a factory molestack, before entering the atmosphere and transferred to an appropriate site where it is pumped into specific rock formations under the Earth's surface for permanent storage.

Where do you store carbon dioxide?

The location underground where the captured carbon dioxide (CO₂) is stored is called a storage reservor, which is located under a confining layer, or cap rock, and is selected based on specific geologic and geographic requirements. Learn more about the cap rocks and reservoir rocks by reading the information on the display. Finding this ter requires a detailed study of the ubsubsrice - the layers of rock under the ground - and understanding the properties such as porosity and permeability.

introoms INTY | Devicity is the amount of empty space in a rock. meability | Permeability is how convencted the empty spaces in a rock are and the ability with them

How far down is CO2 stored?

While the depths vary depending upon location, CO: storage reservoirs are generally gratest than 2,600 feet below the surface, far below Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW) aquifers. For the Appalachian Basin, the storage reservoir is -7250 feet below the surface, and 6,500 feet below safe drinking water levels (located in the Shano Sandtsone layer).



What is Carbon Capture and Storage?

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is all around us - it is part of the air we exhale and is essential to plant life and the Earth's natural carbon cycle. Too much carbon dioxide, like that produced by industrial activities, however, can cause catastrophic impacts to the Earth's climate. Therefore, efforts are underway to reduce the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere. Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) is a method by which COv emissions are removed from a point-source, emitter, such as a factory smokestack, before entering the atmosphere and transferred to an appropriate site where it is pumped into specific rock formations under the Earth's surface for permanent storage The first mention of cepturing CO₄ from industrial processes and storing it in underground rock formations was in an article written in 1976 titled, "On Geoengineering and the COs Problem." Initially was used primarily for inj

3

to increase oil production

enhanced oil recovery (E)

is a shifting emphasis awa

towards permanent peolo

tool for combating climat

and is currently securate

produced from roughly 8

arows.



Carbon Capture and Storage Overview and Project Planning

ominent acilities y emissions vd funding



lies and indusity for Mid-Atlantic Offsho





MRCI Outreach and Engagement





CCS News/Updates

- MRCI Website
- MRCInfo Bi-monthly Newsletter

General Awareness CCS Examples:

- Neeraj Gupta Testimony, June 2024 Ohio House
- COSI Big Science Festival May 4, 2024
- Community Engagement Support DOE-funded 2799 PA/WV and SE MI CarbonSAFE



MRCI Outreach and Engagement



2023 Annual Partners and Stakeholders Meeting, Sept. 2023

2024 Meeting Planned Sept. 23-24, 2024 in Columbus

Additional Technical Transfer/Support

- SPE Western Regional Meeting
- AAPG
- Petro Technology Transfer
 Consortium
- ENSURE semi-annual meetings
- International Net Zero Workshop
- Illinois Oil and Gas Association (IOGA) 2024 Annual Convention and Trade Show
- Innovations in Climate Resilience







The Future of CCS in the MRCI region

Past Projects and CCUS References in the MRCI





Selected Carbon Reduction Projects in the MRCI

- Numerous private projects
- Regional Initiatives:
 - MRCI
 - FOA2799 States
 - FOA2799 Battelle
 - RITAP
- CarbonSAFE
- Industrial Decarbonization
- FEED studies
- Three H₂ hubs
- Three DAC hubs
- Transport $CO_2NECTION$





CCS Regulatory Advancement and Legislation In The Region

- CCUS Enabling legislation in PA signed recently
- The Illinois Senate passed a bill which establishes additional requirements for CO₂ pipeline development, permitting for sequestration projects, and protections for pore space owners.
 - In addition to federal Class VI regulations administered by the U. S. EPA, the Illinois EPA, IL-DNR, and Illinois Commerce Commission will develop and enforce the additional carbon storage and CO₂ pipeline permitting requirements and rules for Illinois.
- West Virginia is currently in the application phase for Class VI primacy.
- Several other states considering or developing regulatory roadmap

Pa. hopes to regulate carbon storage wells with new law



NEWS Senate Energy Committee approves

carbon dioxide sequestration bill

ENERGY WIRE 🔹

Illinois' Pritzker signs law to regulate CO2 storage, pipelines

By Jeffrey Tomich | 07/19/2024 06:49 AM

The measure includes a moratorium on CO2 pipeline approvals while federal regulators revamp regulations.



Illinois Gov. J.B. Pritzker (D) is pictured last year in Chicago. Charles Rex Arbogast/AP



MRCI Summary and Expected Outcome On Track to Achieve All Technical and Stakeholder Goals

- Established a broad-based consortium of researchers and stakeholders
- Addressed regional storage and infrastructure challenges
- Assessed of policy, economic, and social issues, including knowledge sharing materials and workforce development plans
- Continued education and public advocacy for CCS by respected researchers and agencies
- Provide support/partnership on technical and community engagement efforts for CarbonSAFE, Hydrogen, DAC, new Initiatives, and RITAP etc. to ensure consistent and public-forward approach
- Continue to address challenges in transport, basin-scale management, scale-up, and advanced deployment needs (hubs, well fields, multilaterals, regulation, etc.)
- MRCI is in final of current funding and will look for DOE's direction in continuing this important program – as we enter the CCS deployment phase!



