Changes in Seal Integrity Induced by CO, Injection and Leakage in a **Hydromechanically Reactivated Fault** (FSC: Fault Slip and Chemistry) (FWP-FP00013650, FY22-FY24) Yves Guglielmi, Jens Birkholzer (LBNL) Plus many FSC Team Members from LBNL, Rice University, and Mt Terri Partners

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Management Project Review Meeting August 15 - 19, 2022

Overall Project Objectives

Key questions

- How easy CO₂ can leak into a caprock fault?
- How does CO₂ change the coupling between fault rupture and leakage at the tens of meter scale?
- Can we improve the monitoring? Through the development of DCS optical fibers

Concept

Field scale controlled CO_2 leak in a slipping fault affecting a caprock analogue

End Product

Relating DCS and other monitoring Signals to CO_2 leak, fault slip and seismicity



MtTerri Fault Injection Experiments in a Caprock Analog - Summary

18 Publications so far





FSC Project

DOE funded follow-up project to FSB

- Started August 1, 2021 - End September 30, 2024

- Experiments of fault reactivation with CO₂ fluids
- DCS (Distributed Chemical Sensing) Fiber Development
- Advanced modeling of fault leakage and induced seismicity

Project Participants

- Y. Guglielmi, PI and J. Birkholzer, Co-PI
- LBNL Team J.Hammonds, Admin Asst; Chet Hopp Research Engineer; J. Rutqvist, Research Scientist; Paul Cook, Research Engineer; Florian Soom, Research Engineer; T. Wood, Scientific Engineering Associate; Michelle Robertson, Program Manager; Yuxin Wu, Research Scientist; ...
- Partnering with RICE University (Jonathan Ajo-Franklin, T. Shadoan)
 And with Helmholtz Centre Potsdam German Research Center (Veronica Rodrigues Tribaldos)
- Integrated into Mt Terri consortium project and including support/participation of multiple Mt Terri partners

New Monitoring Techniques

Different monitoring techniques are deployed to hydromechanically and chemically characterize a leakage pathway created in an initially very low permeable fault zone



Time lapse imaging Of leakage flow path Active seismic

Passive induced seismicity Pore pressures Fluid chemistry (DCS-DTS fibers, continuous gas analyses)

Partitioning of strain Within the fault zone Local slip monitoring (SIMFIP, DORSA) Distributed bulk strain (DSS, DAS and RFS-DSS optical fibers)







DCS Fibers

LBNL developed a Distributed Chemical Sensor (DCS) + Interrogator coupled with a borehole fault 3D displacement monitoring





- Based on dye-based absorption claddingless optical fiber.
- The difference of absorption of the selected wavelength of light and reference light are used to indicate the CO_2 concentration change.
- DCS prototypes were first extensively tested at lab. scale before deployment in the field experiment



Characteristics of the 2023 CO₂ Injection



Injection of CO₂g dissolved in water Injection depth = 370m Downhole temperature ~ 16.5 ± 0.1 °C Maximum pressure = $[6.8 \pm 0.2$ MPa] Injection flowrate = $[5.3 \pm 0.1$ l/min] Estimated total amount of injected CO₂ ~ 34kg



Injection Protocol

Injection of CO₂ dissolved in water at high pressure (6 MPa) and high flowrate (5 1/Min) to activate the fault



Asymmetric Growth of a Leakage flow path

Effect of the fault stress heterogeneity





DCS Response to CO₂ injection

DCS signals looks affected by:

- **Temperature and humidity during the water injection cycle** (*This is also observed in the laboratory and can be corrected*)
- Apparently correlates well with CO₂ partial pressure during the dissolved CO₂ injection cycle



Fault Hydro-Chemical Mechanical Response

Significant decrease of fault slip when CO_2 gets in the fault, while fault is still opening



Partitioning of strain within the fault zone during fault activation

- 1 Estimating "bulk" fault thickness variation from p-waves velocity and DSS fibers strains
- Fault figured as a layer of spheres under poroelastic stress (contact theory used to estimate compliance)
 Equivalent media theory used to estimate
 - Equivalent media theory used to estimate Variations in Vp velocities vs fault thickness





Receivers

Thickness

 2 - Fault thickness variation versus fault slip

Local direct slip measurements with SIMFIP and DORSA probes

Estimating fault thickness variation from p-waves velocity and DSS fibers strains



Fault thickness variation vs Slip

Most of fault dilation occurs at low slip magnitude (slip<0.0003m) 0.0003m is close to the friction slip weakening distance observed at lab. Scale!

AB - Fault dilation dominates

BC - Fault slip dominates

CO₂ penetrating the fault "apparently" kills fault slip Potentially related to the change in fluid viscosity?





Accomplishments To Date

- We successfully performed one of the first field scale CO₂ fault activation experiment *Representative of CO₂ leakage in a fault affecting the overburden at a depth<800m*
- A scenario where pressurized formation fluids "pre-opened" the caprock fault creating a flowpath for CO₂ leakage was observed at very high resolution
- Injected CO₂ "apparently" alters ("killed!") fault slip
- DCS prototype seems sensitive to CO₂ leak in a monitoring borehole
- One paper published about induced microseismicity observed during the MtTerri shale fault activations



< Previous Next >

JOURNAL ARTICLE

Induced microseismicity and tremor signatures illuminate different slip behaviours in a natural shale fault reactivated by a fluid pressure stimulation (Mont Terri)

Get access

Louis De Barros ⊠, Yves Guglielmi, Frédéric Cappa, Christophe Nussbaum, Jens Birkholzer

Geophysical Journal International, Volume 235, Issue 1, October 2023, Pages 531–541, https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggad231
Published: 07 June 2023 Article history ▼

Synergy Opportunities: Inform LBNL Project on Basin-Scale Storage Optimization

Using Geomechanical Studies



Experiments show how important it is to relate dilatancy/contractance with fault zone strain softening/hardening and slip



Objective is to apply such poro-plastic fault zone models deduced from MtTerri experiments to explore different modes of fault leakage and aseismic-seismic response in the LBNL Project On Basin-Scale Storage Optimization

Backup Slides

Second injection cycle – May 9th, 2023 A



Appendix

Organization Chart

_ Team members and their role:¶

 $Task \cdot 1 : \cdot \underline{Yuxin} \cdot Wu, \cdot Research \cdot Scientist \cdot and \cdot a \cdot \underline{postdoctorant} \cdot 1 / SEA \cdot researcher. \P$

 $Task \cdot 2: \cdot Y \cdot Guglielmi, \cdot PI \cdot and \cdot Research \cdot Scientist; \cdot P. \cdot Cook, \cdot Scientific \cdot Engineering \cdot Associate; \cdot Postdoctorant \cdot 2; \cdot Yuxin \cdot Wu, \cdot Research \cdot Scientist \cdot and \cdot a \cdot postdoctorant \cdot 1/SEA \cdot researcher$

 $Task \cdot 3: \cdot \underline{Y}.Guglielmi, \cdot PI \cdot and \cdot Research \cdot Scientist; \cdot Veronica \cdot Rodriguez-Tribaldos \cdot Research \cdot Scientist, \cdot Chet \cdot \underline{Hopp} \cdot Postdoc; \cdot P. \cdot Cook, \cdot Scientific \cdot Engineering \cdot Associate; \cdot F. \cdot \underline{Soom}, \cdot Scientific \cdot Engineering \cdot Associate; \cdot T. \cdot Wood, \cdot Scientific \cdot Engineering \cdot Associate; \cdot Michelle \cdot Robertson, \cdot Program \cdot Manager.$

Task·4:·Y.·Guglielmi, ·PI·and·Research·Scientist; ·J.·Birkholzer, ·PI·and·Research·Scientist; ·Chet· Hopp· postdoc; · J.· Rutqvist, · Research· Scientist; · Julia· Correa, · Research· Scientist; · Veronica· Rodrigues·Tribaldos, ·Posdoc ·1·and·2.¶

Task 5: Y. Guglielmi, PI and Research Scientist; J. Birkholzer, PI and Research Scientist; H. Prieto, Admin Asst¶

Gantt Chart

FS-C∙experiment₌	×															
Steps:	α	Q4∙ FY21¤	Q1· FY22¤	Q2¤	Q3¤	Q4¤	Q1· FY23¤	Q2¤	Q3¤	Q4¤	Q1· FY24¤	Q2¤	Q3¤	Q4¤	Q1· FY25¤	Q2¤
Task·1 DCS·Fiber·Design· and Production¤	¤	¤	α	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤
Sub-Task $\cdot 1.1 - \cdot OTDR \cdot design \cdot and \cdot construction^{\square}$	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤
Sub-Task·1.2—Laboratory validation¤	¤	¤	α	¤	¤	¤	¤	α	¤	α	α	α	¤	¤	¤	α
Task·2 Field Test Preparation for Water Chemical Monitoring at Mt Terri¤	α	n	12	n	π	n	n	121	n	n	n	n	ю	12	n	12
Task-2.1 — Installation of water- and gas chemical monitoring ^{II}	n	n	n	Ω	Ω	α	n	α	n	α	n	α	n	n	n	α
Task 2.2 – DCS installation at Mt Terri¤	α	α	α	α	α	α	n	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
Task·3 – Experiments of Fault Reactivation with CO ₂ fluids [¤]	n	n	ш	n	n	n	n	12	n	ш	ш	ш	n	n	n	12
Task-3.1 Perturbations of leakage water chemistry related to fault deformation	α	α	n	n	α	α	α	12	α	n	n	n	α	α	α	n
Task·3.2 Cyclic injection of CO2-brine and CO2-gas¤	n	α	α	n	n	n	n	12	n	n	12	n	α	α	α	121
Task·4 – Data Analyses, Geomechanical and Chemical Modeling¤	n	n	121	n	n	n	n	121	n	121	121	121	α	n	121	121