### **Thermal Batteries** – Concept, Economics and Progress to Date

Asegun Henry Robert Noyce Career Development Chair Associate Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology



### Thermal Batteries

Electricity  $\rightarrow$  Heat  $\rightarrow$  Electricity

Water Cooled MPV with Integrated Mirror



# <u>Storing heat is 10-100X cheaper</u> than storing electricity electrochemically in a normal battery.

### Simple estimate:



### Why is the cost so low?

### Even after we add the cost of everything else i.e., other components + construction etc. The cost still comes out < \$10/kWh

Inert Containment

Construction

Transfer Fluid

Medium

Graphite Insulation

Pumps and Piping
Cooling for Base
Tank Base
Fiberglass Insulation
Aluming Insulation

With other system costs added < **\$10/kWh** 



### Economics vs. scale: The bigger the better



### Pumping



### Why Multi-Junction Photovoltaics?

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Thermophotovoltaic Cells

- Photovoltaic cells that convert light from a terrestrial heat source are also called thermophotovoltaic (TPV) cells
- TPV has not been used much for power generation because the efficiency has been lower than a turbine
- The world record efficiency for TPV was 29% for 40 years
- Record was broken last year at 32%
- We set a new record 41%

![](_page_7_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Technological Breakthrough: MPV Cells

- Our new record = 41%
- RTE for thermal batteries = MPV efficiency
  - < 1% charging loss in converting electricity to heat
  - < 1% per day heat loss to environment</p>
- > 35% RTE is needed for arbitrage
- 40% is high enough to commercialize
- 40% is higher than average turbine
- Target is to reach 50%
  - Improve mirror reflectivity from 94% to 98%
  - 98% reflectivity in TPV was recently demonstrated
  - Use 4 terminal devices to exceed 50%

![](_page_8_Figure_12.jpeg)

New Record 41%

### Why Use MPV Instead of a Turbine?

- Turbine
  - Doesn't currently exist
  - Large barrier to new turbine deployment
  - > \$100M of R&D
  - New materials + New HXs
  - Min-Hour response time to full load
- MPV
  - Much lower barrier to deployment
  - Lower cost < \$0.5/W-e
  - Similar efficiency (50-55%)
  - Fast response time (seconds)
  - Fundamentally new cost/learning curve
  - Lower maintenance

Turbomachinery

VS.

MPV

### What will the full system look like?

### Full scale system mockup: 1 GWh = 100 MW x 10 hrs of storage

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

116F

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

НF

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

H F

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

1167

### Sweeping Noble Gas Curtain (SNGC)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Sweeping Noble Gas Curtain (SNGC)

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Tungsten Liner**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Assembled Cavity and Entry Tube

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Exploded View Showing Layers

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **SNGC** Demonstration

 IV curves taken with bulb concentrator before and after >6 hours of testing in the cavity

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Without deposition prevention

#### 2150C without W liner or SNGC protection

1167

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

### What's Next?

- Build a prototype 1-10 kWh
- Pumping
- Full 1900-2400°C cycles
- Emitter Deposition Protection
- TPV modules
- Long term testing

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

### What's Next?

- 1 MWh pilot demonstration
- Single repeat unit
- Same dimensions as large scale
- 4 graphite blocks
   (0.6 m x 0.6 m x 2 m)
- 200 kW TPV modules ~ 2 m<sup>2</sup> → 20,000 cells
- Long term cycle testing

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Questions?

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Pumping Silicon at 2000°C

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

ttiji-

### MPV Cell Development

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

### MPV Cell Development

- Back reflectance measured ~ 92%
- Peak cell efficiency ~40%
- Limited by series resistance (high current)
- More optimization of series resistance
- Thinning and reduction of highly doped layers

![](_page_26_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

### What's Next?

- Build a prototype
- Pumping
- 2500°C Heaters
- Emitter Deposition
- High current density
- High reflectivity (> 98%)
- High efficiency ( $\geq$  50%)
- Long term testing

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

### The Problem: Climate Change

- Climate change is the most important problem and an imminent threat to our species' survival
- Cost of renewables has dropped, but penetration onto the grid is limited by the lack of storage

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

Year

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Energy Storage is the Key

- The studies listed below all reach the same conclusions
- Renewable penetration onto the grid is limited without storage
- Current penetration (~25%) —
- Need order of magnitude cost reductions to achieve a > 80-90% renewable grid
- The current state of the art (Li-ion) will never get there

Estimates based on:

- N. Sepulveda et al., Nat. Energy DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00796-8
- P. Albertus et al., Joule DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.11.009
- M. Ziegler et al., Joule DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.06.012</u>

![](_page_29_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Energy Storage is the Key

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

M. Ziegler et al., Joule - DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.06.012</u>

### We need lots of storage!

- How much storage do we need?
- Storage needed  $\cong$  generation capacity
- Global electricity production ~ 9TW
- California's load is ~ 30 GW

- > 30 GW of storage is needed to even reach 50% penetration
- We need as much storage as generation for decarbonization

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

### Current state of the art

Li-ion Batteries [\$150-350/kWh] Pumped Hydro [\$50-100/kWh]

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

Too expensive Target < \$20/kWh Too expensive & Geographically limited Not cheap enough Slow ramp

Pumped Heat Storage

[\$35-50/kWh]

1

### Current state of the art

Any technology that relies on a turbine will have a response time on the order of minutes to 1 hr

When the sun goes down, fossil turbines – have to turn on quickly to compensate for solar turning off

To achieve high penetration and stabilize the grid we need storage that can respond in seconds

### Thermal batteries can respond in seconds!

![](_page_33_Figure_5.jpeg)