COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE SOFC PERFORMANCE AND DURABILITY USING COAL-DERIVED SYNGAS



GOAL

- 1) DESIGN, CONFIGURE, OPTIMIZE, AND DEMONSTRATE SYNGAS CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY (SCT) capable of supplying sufficiently cleaned/decontaminated coal syngas to yield solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) performance (defined as % performance degradation per 1000 hours) equivalent to baseline performance on deodorized natural gas.
- 2) **CONDUCT A TECHNO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS** of the integrated syngas production–syngas cleanup–SOFC power generation pathway.

COMPARED TO CONVENTIONAL CALCINATION:

- Design, configure, optimize, and demonstrate long-term operational viability of a syngas cleanup train.
- Produce coal-syngas sufficiently clean as a fuel for SOFC operation, achieving performance equivalent to that of natural gas fuel.
- Simulate thermodynamic and model multiphysics effect of syngas trace contaminants on SOFC performance and durability.
- Conduct a techno-economic analysis (TEA) of the integrated syngas production system.

FUEL PRODUCTION AND CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY



SOFC PERFORMANCE VS. TEMPERATURE AND FUEL COMPOSITIONS

Commercially available SOFC cells show comparable performance in syngas gas and H₂ fuel.

Integrate EERC SOFC test stands with syngas production, cleanup, storage, and fuel delivery system.



COAL-DERIVED SYNGAS QUALITY

EERC Syngas Composition		
Syngas Gas Component	Mole %	
Hydrogen	59.5%	
Carbon Dioxide	0.9%	
Ethane	0.0%	
Argon	0.4%	
Nitrogen	32.5%	
Methane	5.2%	
Carbon Monoxide	1.7%	

CONTAMINANT LEVEL EERC Syngas vs. Industrial Syngas					
RC Coal-Derived, Cleaned Syngas		Industrial Gasifier			
yngas Gas Contaminant	Concentration		Syngas Gas Contaminant	Concentrati	
Antimony (Sb)	< 1 ppbv		Antimony (Sb)	25 ppbv	
Cadmium (Cd)	< 0.5 ppbv		Cadmium (Cd)	N/A	
Arsine (AsH ₃)	< 5 ppbv		Arsine (AsH ₃)	150-580 pp	
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	< 5 ppbv		Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	~500 ppb	
Phosphine (PH ₃)	< 0.5 ppbv	\leftarrow	Phosphine (PH ₃)	1900 ppb	
Hydrochloric Acid (HCl)	< 100 ppbv		Hydrochloric Acid (HCl)	< 1000 pp	
Selenium (Se)	< 0.5 ppbv		Selenium (Se)	150 ppb	
Silicon (Si)	< 1 ppbv		Zinc (Zn)	9000 ppb	
Zinc (Zn)	2.5 ppbv		Chromium (Cr)	25 ppbv	
Benzene (C_6H_6)	< 15 ppmv		Mercury (Hg)	25 ppbv	
Xylene (C ₈ H ₁₀)	< 10 ppmv		*Eastman Chemical Company's system at Kingsr		

PRELIMINARY



- "Updated Costs (June 2011 basis) for Selected Bituminous Baseline Cases" DOE/NETL-341/082312 report, WorleyParsons & Booz Allen Hamilton - "Advanced Acid Gas Separation Technology for Clean Power and Syngas Applications," DOE/NETL report (DE-0013363), Air Products and Chemicals





SOFC LONG-TERM DURABILITY TESTS

OBJECTIVES

BENCHMARK DURABILITY TEST: Stable cell performance under coal-derived syngas fuel during 1000+ hr of testing

Test Conditions 750°C Constant current load at 230 mA/cm² 75% fuel utilization Two pairs of V-taps to monitor voltage of top and bottom cell section, respectively





THERMODYNAMIC SIMULATION OF ANODE MATERIAL'S INTERACTION WITH TRACE CONTAMINANTS IN SYNGAS



MULTICONTAMINANT EFFECT





SOFC MULTIPHYSICS PERFORMANCE

6 hr



UNDEERC.ORG/RESEARCH Jerry Mason^{2,3}, Ta

Jivan Thakare¹ | Principal Engineer | jthakare@undeerc.org | 701.777.5567 Alireza Karimaghaloo¹, Jasmine Oleksik¹, Chad Wocken¹*, Harry Abernathy², Jerry Mason^{2,3}, Tao Yang^{2,3}, and Yu Zhong^{2,4}

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) 701.777.5000 | www.undeerc.org



¹ Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)
 * Corresponding Author: cwocken@undeerc.org, (701) 777-5273
 ² National Energy Technology Laboratory, Morgantown, WV 26505,
 ³ NETL Support Contractor, Morgantown, WV 26505
 ⁴ Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA 01609

DOE Disclaimer

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Award Nos. DE-FE0024233-5.1 and DE-FE0031977. This was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or presents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Special thanks to DOE project managers Dr. Joseph Giove III, Jai-Woh Kim and the entire SOFC program management team.

© 2022 University of North Dakota Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)