Multi-Physics Modeling for Identification of Critical Factors in Solid Oxide CO₂-Steam Co-Electrolysis System Performances and Durability

Dewei Wang, Jie Bao, Christopher Coyle, Olga Marina

Abstract

A multi-physics modeling framework, which includes electrochemical and chemical reactions, mass transfer, and energy balance, has been developed and validated against experimental measurements to investigate the performance of solid oxide CO₂-steam co-electrolysis (SOEC) under various operating conditions and cell designs. A deep neural networks (DNN) algorithm was employed to construct reduced-order models (ROMs) according to multi-physics simulations for SOECs to systematically investigate the SOECs' electrochemical performance for both small button cell and large, 100-300 cm², planar cells. It was found that steam is electrolyzed with very high priority over CO₂, even if there was only small fraction of steam in the feed.

Methodology

Numerical model SOFC-MP was applied to simulate SOECs with quasi-two-dimensional assumptions, considering three major reactions:

- $2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$
- $CO_2 + H_2 \leftrightarrow CO + H_2O$
- $3H_2 + CO_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 + H_2O$
- Benchmarking with experimental measurements.

Figure 1: Comparison of voltage-current density relationships between experimental measurements and simulation results for six different fuel compositions.



	CO2-H2O=0/0.5=0 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0/0.5=0 Simu.
	CO2-H2O=0.268/0.233=1.15 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0.268/0.233=1.15 Simu.
	CO2-H2O=0.374/0.225=1.66 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0.374/0.225=1.66 Simu.
	CO2-H2O=0.503/0.197=2.55 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0.503/0.197=2.55 Simu.
	CO2-H2O=0.642/0.158=4.06 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0.642/0.158=4.06 Simu.
	CO2-H2O=0.811/0.089=9.11 Exp.
•	CO2-H2O=0.811/0.089=9.11 Simu.

Deep Neural Network (DNN) was implemented to construct reduced-order models (ROMs) as an alternative to the numerical model to reduce computational costs.



Figure 2: ROM prediction accuracies (95% confidence interval) for each electrochemical characteristics.



For additional information, contact: **Jie Bao** (509) 375-4459 jie.bao@pnnl.gov

PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy

Results

Sensitivity studies of operating parameters

- Operating parameters' contributions to each output parameters were evaluated by DNN-ROM.
- Current density's variation was mostly contributed by cell voltage and temperature, in percentages of 38.2% and 42.2%.
- $\Delta CO/\Delta H_2$ ratio was highly dependent on CO_2/H_2O ratio, with a percentage of 51.64%.

Cell Vo	Itage 🔳 Fuel Ratio 📕		C	02/H2O	Fuel Flow Rate		Air Flow	Rate	Temperature	Fuel Ut	ilization
ΔH2	33.64			9.94		17.79	4.29	31.81			
ΔCO	36.98			5.97 3.96 3.72				46.27			
ΔH2O		24.79		8.11	18.9	94	6.78		33.1		7.86
ΔCO2	ΔCO2 24.48			9.99	9.06 7.78		39.52			8.6	
∆CH4	14 29.48				20.63 12.31		3.75	17.57		15.6	
Current Density	38.2			7.34 4.77 4.26				42.17		3.23	
Efficiency	29.83				19.33 <mark>3.36</mark>				35.28		9.25
ΔCO/ΔH2	6.44	8.42			51.64					26.13	4.86
ΔTcell		19.29		14.32	<mark>4.15</mark> 3.6	6.03			45		7.63
Tmax, cell					96.41						
Tfuel, outlet							94.44				
Tair, outlet					93.24						
0	%	10%	20%	30%	40%	5	0% 6	0%	70% 80)% 90	0% 100 [°]

Figure 3: Influence of each operating parameter on each output in a percentage.

Sensitivity studies of cell size & thermal boundary

Internal temperature variation showed dependencies on fuel and air flow rates with larger-size planar cells.





Figure 6: Comparison of cell temperature variation ΔT_{cell} among different cell sizes and thermal boundary conditions.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from the U.S. Department of Energy, Fossil Energy and Carbon Management Office under Contract FWP 77108 and from EERE Hydrogen Fuel Cell Technology Office - Technology Acceleration under Contract 73526. Computational resources were provided by PNNL Research Computing. PNNL is a multi-program national laboratory operated for DOE by Battelle under Contract DOE-AC05-76RL01830.









Degradation & Boudouard reaction in SOECs Numerical model SOFC-MP has integrated several degradation mechanisms: Sr/Zr diffusion, Ni-coarsening, sulfur poisoning, and Boudouard reaction.

≈ 0.50 by 0.45 |Y| ♪ 0.40 0.35

Current density dependencies on temperature, cell voltage and fuel ratio



Figure 4: Current density versus temperature, cell voltage and fuel ratio.

Product ratio $\Delta CO/\Delta H_2$ dependency on CO₂/H₂O ratio, temperature, fuel ratio and cell - T: 630.3 [C]

Figure 5: Product ratio versus CO₂/H₂O ratio, temperature, cell voltage and fuel ratio



Figure 7: Different degradation mechanisms in SOECs.



Figure 8: Carbon deposition and conversation due to Boudouard reaction



www.pnnl.gov