EERC. UND UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA.

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)

SOFC PERFORMANCE AND DURABILITY USING COMMERCIALLY VIABLE COAL-DERIVED SYNGAS AT THE EERC

Zhien Liu 23rd Annual Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Project Review Meeting October 25, 2022

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DOE DISCLAIMER

Acknowledgments:

- Special thanks to U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Project Managers Shailesh Vora, Patcharin (Rin) Burke, Joseph Giove III, Dr. Jai-Woh Kim and the entire SOFC program management team.
- This material is based upon work supported by DOE NETL (National Energy Technology Laboratory) under Award Nos. DE-FE0024233-5.1 and DE-FE0031977.

Disclaimer:

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Project 1: Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Development and Demonstration Test Center
- Award No.: DE-FE0024233-5.1
- DOE/NETL Project Manager: Dr. Patcharin (Rin) Burke
- Objectives:
 - Establish a SOFC Development and Demonstration Test Center at the EERC.
 - Provide fuel storage and delivery system to meet the need to evaluate SOFCs performance and long-term durability.
 - Build and validate SOFC test stands and data acquisition system.
 - Perform component- and system-level SOFC testing using variety of fuels.



PROJECT OBJECTIVES

- Project 2: Coal Syngas Cleanup for Commercially Viable SOFC Performance
- Award No. DE-FE0031977
- DOE/NETL Project Managers: Drs. Jai-Woh Kim and Joseph Giove III
- Objectives:
 - Design, configure, optimize, and demonstrate long-term operational viability of a syngas cleanup train.
 - Produce coal syngas sufficiently clean as a fuel for SOFC operation achieving performance equivalent to that of natural gas fuel.
 - Perform thermodynamic simulation and postmortem analysis to understand coal trace contaminants interaction with anode materials
 - Create multiphysics model to simulate SOFC performance and degradation with coal trace contaminants
 - Conduct a techno-economic analysis (TEA) of the integrated syngas production system.
- Team: National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), Worcester Polytechnic Institute (WPI), Ohio Fuel Cell Coalition (FCC), and Special Power Sources (SPS)



FUEL PRODUCTION AND CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY - FLOWCHART



EERC GASIFICATION CAPABILITY – THREE GASIFIERS

Produce syngas with low level of trace contaminants to meet SOFC operation.

All Gasifiers

- Wide range of feedstocks: coal, biomass, other solid or liquid feedstocks
- Bench-scale warm-gas cleanup train
- Gas-sweetening absorption system
 - Additional gas cleanup and acid gas removal
- Produce up to 120 scfh of syngas
- Syngas storage and delivery system
- Wide range of H₂/CO ratio
- Low contaminant level



Pressurized Fluidized-Bed Gasification (PFB)





Entrained-Flow Gasification (EFG)

EERC GASIFICATION CAPABILITY - CARBON CAPTURE

Produce syngas to operate SOFC system with low CO₂ emission

- 12-day PFB gasification run to generate and store coalderived syngas
 - Produced approximately 17,000 scf/2000 psi syngas
 - Stored syngas to be utilized for SOFC operation and testing

Syngas Gas Component	Mole Percent		
Hydrogen	59.5		
Carbon Dioxide	0.9		
Ethane	0.0		
Argon	0.4		
Nitrogen	32.5		
Methane	5.2		
Carbon Monoxide	1.7		



CO₂ Capture Sub-System

SYNGAS STORAGE AND DELIVERY (SSD)

All three SOFC test stands can be operated simultaneously with up to four different fuels.



Fuel Delivery System

Storage Tank Capacity:

• 20,900 scf at 2600 psi

Fuel Options:

- Syngas from EERC gasifier (coal, biomass, waste, blend)
- Natural gas, renewable natural gas, ammonia
- Bottled gas (single or blends of H₂, CO, CH₄, CO₂, N₂, other)
- Added contaminants



HIGH QUALITY COAL SYNGAS PRODUCED AT THE EERC

- EERC syngas production and cleanup system capable of producing ultraclean syngas.
 - Tailored syngas quality possible.

EEDC

- Can be used as fuel to directly feed to SOFC stacks/systems for long-term operation.
 - Completed 1000-hr durability test with lower degradation rate.

EERC		industrial Gasiner		
Syngas Gas Contaminant	Concentration	Syngas Gas Contaminant	Concentration ¹⁾	
Antimony (Sb)	< 1 ppbv	Antimony (Sb)	25 ppbv	
Cadmium (Cd)	< 0.5 ppbv	Cadmium (Cd)	N/A	
Arsine (AsH ₃)	< 5 ppbv	Arsine (AsH ₃)	150–580 ppbv	
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	< 5 ppbv	Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	~500 ppbv	
Phosphine (PH ₃)	< 0.5 ppbv	Phosphine (PH ₃)	1900 ppbv	
Selenium (Se)	< 0.5 ppbv	Selenium (Se)	150 ppbv	
Hydrochloric Acid (HCI)	< 100 ppbv	Hydrochloric Acid (HCI)	< 1000 ppbv	
Silicon (Si)	< 1 ppbv	Zinc (Zn)	9000 ppbv	
Zinc (Zn)	2.5 ppbv	Chromium (Cr)	25 ppbv	
Benzene (C_6H_6)	< 15 ppmv	Mercury (Hg)	25 ppbv	
Xylene (C ₈ H ₁₀)	< 10 ppmv	1) Eastman Chemical Company's system at Kingsport.		

Inductrial Coolfian

SOFC DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION TEST **CENTER AT THE EERC**

SOFC Testing System Installation and Commissioning



1-kW Stack Test Station





Electronic Load and EIS

	1-kW Stack Test Station	Multicell Test Station	Fiaxell Test Station	Button Cell Test Stand
Test Rig Configuration	Single cell or stack	Multiple single cells or stack	Single cell or short stack	Button cell
Cell Type	Planar	Planar or tubular	Planar	Planar
Fuel Type	Any fuel from gas storage and bottle gas	Any fuel from gas storage and bottle gas	Any fuel from gas storage and bottle gas	H ₂ , natural gas

SOFC PERFORMANCE VS. TEMPERATURE AND FUEL COMPOSITIONS

Commercially available SOFC cells show comparable performance in syngas gas and H₂ fuel.



SOFC LONG-TERM DURABILITY TEST – SPS TUBULAR CELL

Cell performance is stable under natural gas during more than 500 hours duration.

- Baseline durability test
- Testing conditions
 - Deodorized natural gas
 - 750°C
 - 230 mA/cm²
 - FU: 75%
 - Methane reformer
- Two events at ~200 and ~500 hours, respectively, resulted in fuel shutoff
- Stable performance during
 more than 500 hours testing

NORTH DAKOTA



Long-Term Durability Test Using Natural Gas Fuel

SOFC LONG-TERM DURABILITY TEST USING COAL-DERIVED SYNGAS

Syngas Composition: 59.5% H₂, 5.2% CH₄, 1.7% CO, 32.5% N₂, 0.9% CO₂, 0.4% Ar

- Operating conditions:
 - 750°C, 230 mA/cm²
 - 75% of FU
 - 1100 hr with load
- Two pairs of V-taps to monitor voltage of top and bottom cell section, respectively
- Two events occurred
 - Power outage
 - Fuel shutoff
- Voltage degradation rate:
 - 0.90%/1000 hr for top cell
 - 1.63%/1000 hr for bottom cell



Long-Term Durability Test under Constant Current at 750°C.

POSTMORTEM ANALYSIS - COAL SYNGAS DURABILITY TEST

Postmortem analysis indicates possible Si and AI contaminants on anode support surface.



Fuel InletFuel Outlet1000-hour Duration in Coal-Derived Syngas

Anode Surface

Anode Cross-Section

EERC. | UND NORTH DAKOTA.

As-Fired Cell

POSTMORTEM ANALYSIS - COAL SYNGAS DURABILITY TEST

Nanophase Formation on Anode YSZ Surface after 1000-hour Duration in Coal Syngas



Anode of As-Fired Cell, ×15,000

1000-hour Duration in Coal-Derived Syngas, ×15,000



POSTMORTEM ANALYSIS – COAL SYNGAS DURABILITY TEST

SEM EDS analysis of anode materials did not show existence of coal syngas contaminants. □ Statistical EDS data analysis indicated noticeable carbon increase on anode support surface.



Syngas – 1000-hr Durability

Statistical Data Analysis of Carbon on Anode Surface



THERMODYNAMIC SIMULATION OF COAL SYNGAS TRACE CONTAMINANTS INTERACTIONS WITH ANODE MATERIALS

- Phosphine and Arsine tend to interact with Ni at SOFC operating conditions to form Ni compound to cover Ni metal surface at ppb, ppm range.
- Interaction diagrams of S, Sb, Se, and CI with Ni are on-going.



Nickel Phosphide Formation vs. Phosphine Partial Pressure

Nickel Arsenide Formation vs. Arsine Partial Pressure



SOFC MULTIPHYSICS PERFORMANCE SIMULATION

3D Planar Cell Model Was Modified for Tubular Cell Geometry

1.5

- Capable of coal syngas studies:
 - Includes methane reforming and watergas shift reactions
- Currently coded degradation modes:
 - Ni coarsening

1.2 🖂

1.1

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

Potential [V]

- PH_3 , AsH₃, H₂S, H₂Se contamination

0.5

Current [A]



TECHNOLOG

Electrochemical performance simulation using 3D planar cell model*

*H. Sezer et al., International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 46(9), pp 6803-16, 2021

SOFC DEGRADATION MODEL WITH SINGLE AND MULTI-COMPONENT **TRACE CONTAMINANTS**



100

Poisoned phase covers Ni surface, blocks H₂ adsorption sites, and changes local properties.



Simple Multiplication $i_{0,\mathrm{H}_{2}} = i_{0,ref} (1 - \theta_{X}^{m})^{n} \left(1 - \theta_{Y}^{k}\right)^{\iota}$ Harmonic Average $i_{0,H_2} = i_{0,ref} \left[\frac{(\theta_X + \theta_Y)(1 - \theta_X^m)^n (1 - \theta_Y^k)^l}{\theta_Y (1 - \theta_Y^k)^l + \theta_Y (1 - \theta_Y^k)^l} \right]$ Weighted Average of Powers $m^* = \frac{m\theta_X + k\theta_Y}{\theta_X + \theta_Y}, \ n^* = \frac{n\theta_X + l\theta_Y}{\theta_X + \theta_Y}, \ \theta^* = \theta_X + \theta_Y$ $i_{0,H_2} = i_{0,ref} (1 - \theta^{*m*})^{n*}$



*Fatma N. Cayan, A degradation model for solid oxide fuel cell anodes due to impurities in coal syngas, PHD Dissertation, West Virginia University, 2010.



3-D model for single & multi-component simulation

PRELIMINARY TECHNO-ECONOMIC ANALYSIS (TEA) OF COAL SYNGAS CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY (SCT)

- Preliminary analysis was performed based on previous DOE report by WorleyParsons as baseline.¹
- Analyzed pressure swing adsorption (PSA) technology and cost in DOE report by Air Products.²
- Syngas cleanup is scalable to plant output requirements (600-450-300-100 MW).
- PSA provides potential savings (~30% reduction) for SCT.
- CO₂ capture adds significant investment and operational expenses.



"Updated Costs (June 2011 Basis) for Selected Bituminous Baseline Cases," DOE/NETL-341/082312 report, WorleyParsons & Booz Allen Hamilton.
 "Advanced Acid Gas Separation Technology for Clean Power and Syngas Applications," DOE/NETL report (DE-0013363), Air Products and Chemicals.



SUMMARY

DE-FE0024233-5.1: SOFC

Development and Demonstration Test Center

Designed/acquired and installed three SOFC testing stands

Completed control and electrochemical data acquisition system to meet SOFC testing needs

Designed and installed syngas storage and fuel delivery system



DE-FE0031977: Coal Syngas Cleanup for Commercially Viable SOFC Performance

- Completed 12-day gasification run and produced ~17,000 scf/2000 psi
- syngas
 - Performed extensive syngas



characterization showing low level of trace contaminants

- Completed initial TEA of coal syngas cleanup
- Tested commercially available SOFCs using natural gas and coal-derived syngas, meeting degradation target

NEXT STEPS

Understand Further Syngas Contaminant Interaction with Anode Materials and Effect on SOFC Degradation

- Thermodynamic simulation of trace contaminant interaction with anode materials
- Multiphysics model to simulate performance degradation with syngas contaminants
- Syngas trace contaminant tests for model verification and syngas cleanup simplification

Continue Long-Term Durability Test Using Coal Syngas, and other fuels of interest

- Generate long-term durability database
- Understand SOFC degradation mechanism using alternative fuels

Initiate Innovative SOFC Materials/Technology Development Targeting Zero-Carbon Emission

- Materials optimization
- Establish SOFC development capability
- Test low-temperature SOFC cells

EERC. UN NORTH DAKOTA.

Chad Wocken 701.777.5273 (phone) 701.777.5181 (fax) cwocken@undeerc.org Zhien Liu 701.777.5476 (phone) 701.777.5181 (fax) zliu@undeerc.org Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota 15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018 Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

www.undeerc.org 701.777.5000 (phone) 701.777.5181 (fax)

