Beneficial Reuse of Drill Cuttings





NATIONAL

Christina Lopano

Research Geochemist, NETL-RIC

TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

October 25, 2022

Beneficial Reuse of Drill Cuttings

Objective

Develop an approach for identifying the most promising drill cutting chemistries (clay and organic content and metal distribution) for use as soil amendments. This work will develop novel treatments for <u>optimizing drill cuttings for use as soil</u> <u>amendments</u> and plant growth, and, as a secondary benefit, it will evaluate the potential for the <u>recovery of critical metals (e.g. Co, Ni, Zn, V, REE)</u> from the waste materials across U.S. basins.

Project Outline

- Identify characteristics of ideal soil amendment from potential drill cuttings (EY21).
- Explore novel treatments of drill cuttings optimized for soil carbon amendments (EY22).
- Test and optimize soil amendments as growth media (EY23–EY24).

Task Team Members

- Pls: Christina Lopano, Mengling Stuckman (LRST)
- Other Key Personnel: Maximilian Barczok (LRST), Meghan Brandi (LRST), Wei Xiong (LRST), Tom Paronish (LRST), Sean Sanguinito (LRST), Dustin Crandall







Approach & Goals

~ 3-4 year project, starting April 2021



- 1. Explore data collected on Marcellus Shale drill cuttings during <u>previous years</u> to identify geochemical characteristics that may make geological horizons more amenable and economical for use as soil amendments;
- 2. Apply geochemical trends noted in the first goal to determine <u>what/if any horizons</u> from different basins and DOE FLs (core-logged and described by NETL researchers in Task 22.0), might be ideal for testing as soil amendments;
- 3. Develop <u>novel method(s)</u> for treatment of key horizons drill cuttings to convert the cuttings to suitable soil ameliorants for carbon sequestration and soil health benefits;
- 4. Determine if <u>critical metals may be recovered</u> from the cuttings for additional economic benefit from what was previously, solely a waste material
- 5. Test treated drill cuttings as soil amendments in small batch or green-roof setting.

Evaluate environmental impacts of the treatment processes



Drill Cuttings from Unconventional Wells



- From 2004 to March 31, 2015: 16,078 unconventional wells are permitted in Pennsylvania and 9,324 unconventional wells were drilled.
- More than 2,000 tons of drill cuttings are produced from a typical well-drilling operation (per well). In 2020, state records show oil and gas drillers sent <u>244,000 tons of drill cuttings to landfills</u>.
- Drill cuttings contain both drilling fluids (water-/oil-/synthetic based) and shale rock cuttings



ATIONAL

Past Work: Informing Drill Cutting Waste Management



Geochemical Factors Controlling Metal Release under variable conditions







Bulk Solid Characterization

- 22 drill cuttings and core

- Elemental Composition: ICP-MS, C and S content -Mineralogy: XRD & XRF

Elemental **Distribution**

- Synchrotron micro-XRF mapping
- SEM- EDS
- BCR 4-step sequential extraction

Geochemical Leaching

- Regulatory Leaching (SPLP & TCLP):

Rain vs. Landfill

- Wet vs. Dry
- pH titration
- Long-term Effect: USEPA 1320 Multiple Extraction

Soil supplement and CM recovery (2021 - 2024)

- Green-roof soil amendment potential
- Explore selective extraction of CM

Stuckman M.Y., et al. (2019) Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 68: 102922. Stuckman M., et al. (2018), proceeding of Unconventional Resources Technology and Exposition Conference, Houston, TX, 23⁵25, July 2018

Environmental impacts of drill cutting disposal



Leaching characteristics under different disposal scenarios

On-Site Burial & Road Fill

Release by rainwater

 USEPA 1311: Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure (SPLP): Synthetic acid rain at <u>pH 4.2</u>, DI water adjusted by sulfuric/nitric acid

Landfill

Release by landfill leachate

- USEPA1312: Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Protocol (TCLP): Acetate-based synthetic leachate at <u>pH 4.9</u>
- USEPA 1320: Multiple Extractions

Framework
Leaching

Parallel Batch Extraction for broader disposal scenarios (pH, time, L:S)

- USEPA 1313: As a function of extract pH
- Bioavailability Screening Test (Kosson, 2002): 50mM EDTA









Past work: Trace metal distribution



bulk and microscopic analysis



Fe in red, Ca in blue, Ba in green



Trace metals with pyrite (e.g., As, Pb, Cu, U)
Trace metals with calcite (e.g., U, Cu)



Stuckman, M. Y., et al. (2019). Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 68: 102922.

Past Work: Trace metal distribution

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

Laboratory sequential analysis



Trace metals enriched in 5HB with **high pyrite** and **organic carbon**.

70% Co, Ni, Zn, Cu extracted from "Oxidizable" – pyrite and organic phases from drill cuttings



Stuckman, M. Y., et al. (2019). Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 68: 102922.

Past Work: Evaluating leaching over time

 "Simulate leaching that a waste will undergo repetitive precipitation of acid rain on an improperly designed sanitary landfill" "Reveal the highest concentration that is likely to leach in a natural environment" (EPA1320)



- Acetic Acid @ pH5 + 9 times synthetic rain @ pH 3
 - Potential long-term Ba release
 - Cumulative release of oxyanions (e.g., As, Sb, V, and Mo), due to high pH buffer capacity (pH@ 7-8)
 - 5HD had long-term release concern for Ni, Cd, Zn and Cr, due to low buffer capacity (3% Calcite)





Stuckman M., et al. (2018), proceeding of Unconventional Resources Technology and Exposition Conference (URTEC), Houston, TX, 23-25, July 2018 https://stateimpact.npr.org/pennsylvania/2019/09/11/how-didfracking-contaminants-end-up-in-the-monongahela-river-a-loophole-inthe-law-might-be-to-blame/

- <u>Drill cuttings</u> consist of about 40% of solid wastes in the *Belle Vernon Municipal Authority* landfill, which can contain naturally occurring radioactive materials, salts, and metals (e.g., Ba)
- "They were killing off our bugs. Our bugs are what treats the water," said Kruppa from Kruppa Sewage System
- "We were discharging...into the Mon River higher than drinking water standards,"

The Westmoreland Sanitary Landfill, which accepts solid fracking waste, is shown in September 2019. Photo: Reid R. F Reid R. Frazier / StateImpact

> How did fracking contaminants end up in the Monongahela River? A loophole in the law might be to blame

Reid Frazier 🕀

SEPTEMBER 11, 2019 | 5:00 AM





Novel Waste Management: Soil Supplements



2016 Summer Project: Use of waste as green roof substrate for plant growth







Preliminary Findings

- Preliminary evidence suggests that drill cutting serves a good growth substrate once NaCl is leached, but may result in high concentrations of <u>Ba in plant biomass</u>
- All drill cutting amended soils supported sedum growth over 16 months

Lettuce seed germination was completely

NaCl.

inhibited at 50% cuttings/soil (v/v), due to high



UF: unfertilized; F: fertilized



Reimagining Cutting Use: Soils & REE/CM

JATIONAL TECHNOLOGY

Pending NETL characterization informed CM recovery:

- Barite
- Transition metals (Co, Ni, Zn)
- Vanadium
- Rare Earth Elements (REE)
- Clay & Org matter

Tentative schematic of drill cutting conversion





Year 1 (2021-2022) Reviewing Data

Identify characteristics of drill cuttings amenable to amendments

Data from previous RIC projects will be leveraged to determine geochemical trends of interest.

- Marcellus Shale Drill cuttings work (Stuckman et al 2018, 2019) & in prep
- Core-logging activities (Task 22) were used to determine high potential cuttings for additional testing
 - Marcellus Shale
 - Bakken Shale
 - Wolfcamp Shale



Stuckman M.Y., et al. (2019) *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering* 68: 102922. Stuckman M., et al. (2018), proceeding of Unconventional Resources Technology and Exposition Conference, Houston, TX, 23-25, July 2018

https://edx.netl.doe.gov/group/core-characterization





Studying drill cutting and core library at NETL

16 drill cutting and shale samples selected from Marcellus, Bakken and Wolfcamp Shale library



Major elements as metal oxides and TOC High TOC High calcite High guartz 100% 90% 80% 70% 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% BAX-300 BAX25A WC310 VISISHC NS-5HD NS-ATO NS-505 8At 22 MSSHA NSSHB NS:540 NS:961 WC.400 NC-4608 WC-460D WC-480 ■Al2O3 ■BaO ■MgO ■SiO2 ■K2O ■CaO ■Fe2O3 ■TOC Quartz + Feldspar

High pyrite and total organic carbon samples (TOC, 10-19 wt. %): **MS-5HB**, MS-548, MS-967 and BAK-229

- High clay samples (MS-5HA and MS-476)
- <u>High quartz</u>: WC samples, Bak-300
- High calcite: MS-5HC and Bak-254
- Only MS drill cuttings have high barite







Data Collection and Observations

Identify characteristics of drill cuttings amenable to amendments

- Characterization of cuttings (on-going)
 - SEM & Synchrotron Imaging
 - XRD/XRF, TOC
 - Laboratory Leaching tests (sequential extractions & regulatory tests)
- Key horizons/cuttings (preliminarily <u>clay</u>, <u>pyrite and organic rich horizons</u>) will be determined and collected where feasible for method validation and for future amendment experiments.
- Preliminary results with some novel extractions already show promise for removing REE and critical minerals



- 70% of Co can be extracted targeting sulfide and organic matter phases.
- Treatment designed to extract the CM; the rinsed solid safe for landfill disposal or green roof material





NETL Characterization Efforts - Inform CM & Soil Supplement potential

- Transition metals (Cr, Ni, Zn up to 2,500 mg/kg
- Vanadium (up to 1,500 mg/kg)
- Lithium
- Barite
- Rare Earth Elements (REE)



Spectrum 144 Wt% σ 2.0 0 30.120.7 Ce 1.7 11.5 1.3 11.2 0.8 P 7.2 1.2 3.7 0.3 2.4 0.3 AI 2.3 1.3 1.9 0.5 1.7 4.8 1.3 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.0 0.6 1.0 1.0 0.7 0.5 0.6 0.5

SEM-EDS

Marcellus Shale drill cutting:

Framboidal (a) and euhedral (b) pyrite with Co, Zn and REEs (c) Barite with heavy REE (3.5% Lu, 1.6%Tb)



Stuckman, M., et al. (In prep), "Beneficial Reuse of Drill Cuttings as Soil Supplements and as Critical Mineral Recovery Resources," NETL Technical Report Series

Novel Waste Management: Critical Mineral Recovery



Example: Vanadium

- Used in steel alloys and green applications, 50% supplied by China
- Vanadium up to 1,500 mg/kg in collected shale samples
- Often related to organic and sulfides in black shale resources
- Enriched in oil refinery wastes



https://www.ferro-alloy.com/en/vanadium/TTP%20Squared%20market%20summary%203%20April%202020.pdf



Org C correlates with V and Ni in shale





Stuckman, M., et al. (In prep), "Beneficial Reuse of Drill Cuttings as Soil Supplements and as Critical Mineral Recovery Resources," Technical Report Series

Rare Earth Element (REE) Use: Marcellus

- REE: Sc, Y, 15 lanthanides (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu)
- 80% global supply from China •



From Summers et al. (2020) IPCC

Source: USGS



Stuckman, M., et al. (In prep), "Beneficial Reuse of Drill Cuttings as Soil Supplements and as Critical Mineral Recovery Resources," Technical Report Series





characteristics of drill cuttings amenable to amendments

Findings

- Trace metals in drill cuttings are colocalized with <u>pyrite</u>, <u>organics</u>, <u>& calcite</u>; and become less mobile when pH is buffered by minerals in drill cuttings.
- When drill cuttings are disposed of after drying, release of Ba, V, Mo, Sr, and Sb become two-ten times greater compared to wet drill cuttings.
- Green roof plants were inhibited by high NaCl concentrations and accumulated Ba over time.

Management Suggestions

- Low content of pyrite and high content of calcite in drill cuttings are of low environmental concern; whereas <u>high</u> <u>pyrite and organic content</u> will host more CMs for potential recovery.
- Cuttings will need to be treated prior to use as soil growth substrates
- Novel treatments to break up carbon chains (more bio-available) and recover CMs
- **Barite** might be separated and purified from drill cuttings.



Up Next



Experimental work removing metals & optimizing carbon





Acknowledgements

Just keep swimming

- Hank Edenborn (RIC, ret) Green roof testing
- Christine Thomas (USGS, ORISE-NETL) intern drill cutting & green roof testing
- Samantha Berry (ORISE) Summer intern extraordinaire
- Dustin Crandall and Johnathan Moore core logging and MSEEL background
- Shikha Sharma WVU and MSEEL liaison
- Alexandra Hakala GES Senior Fellow, NETL-RIC
- Eilis Rosenbaum co-TPL Rem. Reuse Onshore Resources, NETL-RIC
- Burt Thomas TPL CM NETL-RIC

NETL-RIC projects are funded through the U.S. DOE's Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management.

The synchrotron work was conducted on beamlines 2-3, and 10-2 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL), a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, through the Structural Molecular Biology Program, supported by DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research and the National Institutes of Health.









NETL Resources

VISIT US AT: www.NETL.DOE.gov





@NationalEnergyTechnologyLaboratory



Disclaimer



This project was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, in part, through a site support contract. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor the support contractor, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

