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• **Project Goal** - Blockchain empowered provenance platform for identity management and process integrity for sensors in Fossil-based Power Plants (FPP).

• **Strategic alignment with DOE** - Improving electric grid reliability, resilience and availability

• **DOE-NETL** – Dr. Sydni Credle and Maria Reidpath

• **TEAM**
  
  - Old Dominion University – Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Center
  
  - University of Texas at El Paso – Computer Science

• **Partners**
  
  - Accenture, Argonne National Lab, ReliabilityFirst, Wood PLC

• **Contract**
  
  - October 1, 2019 – September 30, 2022
Project Objectives

**Objective 1**: Sensor identity management via establishing a Peer-to-Peer (P2P) SCADA network

**Objective 2**: Networked Sensor Integrity and Scalable Process Integrity Assurance in FPPs

**Objective 3**: Prototype Development and Evaluation
Project Accomplishments

- Devised a behavior-based Runtime State Verification (RSV) protocol that enables process integrity assurance
- Implemented the RSV in an emulated Fossil Power Plant
- Published BloSPAI paper in Cluster Computing Journal
- Submitted MBRSV protocol to IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid
- Published research results for Tikiri, a lightweight and scalable Blockchain platform at Future Generation Computer Systems Journal
- Reliable PUF capability within Tikiri
Problem Statement:
Traditional SCADA systems were not designed to monitor and record control process flow at the granularity associate with state-of-art Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS).

IDEA:
Our approach leverages the pull-push based communication protocol to extract device’s behavior through non-intrusive monitoring services.
Proposed Approach

- Process integrity
- RSV protocol
- Mandatory Results Autonoma (MRAs)
Runtime State Verification (RSV) Protocol

Blockchain Node → Enrollment Contract → State Integrity Contract → Authentication Contract → SCADA device

YAML config
Process ID
Process Enrollment Phase

Verify InputEvent Device ID
Request sensor event
Process event, attach integrity/sate/identity proof
Verify OutputEvent Sensor ID
Verify runtime state integrity
Runtime State Verification Phase

State alarm to SCADA
RSV framework
Phase 1: Process Enrollment
Phase 2: Runtime State Verification

Behavior-based Authentication Process:

Algorithm 1: authEvent

1. **Input**: eventRequest
2. **Output**: validEvent(bool)

   **Require**: Access HLF network
   1. \( rq = readEventRequest() \)
   2. \( hlfEventID = ( rq.deviceID + rq.event ) \oplus scrtkey \)
   3. if \( rq.devicEventID! = hlfEventID \) then
   4. \( sendNotification() \)
   5. exit
   6. end if
   7. return eventValid

State Integrity Process:

Algorithm 2: verifyState

1. **Input**: ModbusPacket.event
2. **Output**: verifyEvent(bool)

   **Require**: Access to enrollment smart contract
   1. \( rq = readEventRequest() \)
   2. \( deviceID = rq.deviceID \)
   3. \( event = rq.event \)
   4. \( D = event.getDependency() // get dependencies from physical process \)
   5. \( prevState = getPreviousState(deviceID) \)
   6. \( currState = event \)
   7. if \( ! validEvent(event, deviceID) \) then // is the event valid for the given device
   8. \( verifyEvent = false \)
   9. \( notifySCADA \)
   10. end if // check that dependency is satisfied
   11. dependency = \( D, find(currState) \)
   12. if \( ! notEqual(dependency, nxtEvent, currState) \) then
   13. \( verifyEvent = false \)
   14. \( notifySCADA \)
   15. end if
   16. if \( ! notEqual(dependency, prvEvent, prvState) \) then // runtime state is out of sequence
   17. \( verifyEvent = false \)
   18. \( notifySCADA \)
   19. end if
   20. return verifyEvent
Testbed setup

- Dell PowerEdge T440 Tower Server with a 28 cores Intel Xeon and 64GB of memory.
- Data stream size of 1Kb to 10Kb
Evaluation RSV protocol
HLF Evaluation

- Time to commit a transaction (in ms)
  - # Peers in HLF Network: 3, 6, 9, 12, 15
  - RSV Protocol vs. BioSPAI Protocol

- Log Size in MB
  - # Peers in HLF Network: 3, 6, 9, 12, 15
  - RSV Protocol vs. BioSPAI Protocol
• Support real-time transaction
• Concurrent execution of blockchain transactions
• Support sharding based data replication to reduce the communication overhead
• Apache kafka based consensus to increase the scalability and throughput
- Microservices based smart contract architecture saas (smart actors as a service)
- Tikiri-ca certificate authority for zero trust architecture based security and privacy in tikiri blockchain

Collaboration with BLOSEM

• Discussions with BLOSEM resulting in following planned developments
• Improve resilience of continuous verification of devices using consensus
• Supporting Legacy devices who may not be compatible with SRAM based PUF
• Integrate with our Blockchain based supply chain design to allow onboarding of devices from vendors to the identity management system without severely compromising the minimum security threshold,
• Develop economic model for shared responsibility of smart contract execution cost.
Machine Learning Framework for Enhancing PUF Reliability - Collaboration with BLOSEM

• **Benchmark:** Machine Learning Technology for PUF Authentication
  • PUF parameter learning
    • Train a machine learning model using a subset of Challenge-Response pairs to model the PUF parameter
  • Challenge selection
    • Select challenges that can produce insensitive responses

• **Proposal:** Transfer Learning Technology for PUF Authentication
  • Capture the correlation between two sets of PUF's parameters and then control the level of transfer
Machine Learning Framework for Enhancing PUF Reliability—Collaboration with BLOSEM
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Physically Unclonable Functions (PUFs)

- Integrated Circuit
  - Chip 1
  - Chip 2
  - Chip 3

- Store

- Unknown chip

- Authentic

- Fake
Problem Statement:

• Given PUFs challenge-response pairs (CRPs).
• Can we predict the future response for any incoming challenge and create transferability between PUFs for future prediction?

IDEA:

• Quantify the correlation between PUFs parameters and determine the transfer level.
Arbiter PUFs
Modeling Attack on PUFs

**Authentication**
- Authentic IC
- Challenge → Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01101110</td>
<td>01000101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01010010</td>
<td>10100101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01101010</td>
<td>10001010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10111101</td>
<td>10010010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01010101</td>
<td>10101010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collecting CRPs**
- Server

**Building ML attacking model**
- Database
- Build Training Model
- Predict
PUF Modeling Attack Evaluation

PUF # A:
• Bit: 129
• Features: 128
• Response: 1/-1
• XOR: 5
• Training: 5 million
• Test: 1 million
• Optimizer: ADAM
• Accuracy:
  Training: 92%
  Validation: 89%

PUF # B:
• Bit: 65
• Features: 64
• Response: 1/-1
• XOR: 6
• Training: 2 million
• Test: 400k
• Optimizer: ADAM
• Accuracy:
  Training: 98%
  Validation: 96%
Concluding Remarks

• We proposed an RSV protocol that aims to provide continuous runtime state verification assurance of constrained field devices in traditional SCADA ecosystems.
• Besides achieving process integrity assurance in traditional SCADA ecosystems, the RSV protocol also addresses the shortcomings of the BloSPAI protocol.
• The preliminary experiments demonstrated that the RSV protocol provides continuous runtime state verification and integrity assurance while achieving operational constraints in an emulated water-tube boiler.
• We aim to develop triage PUF authentication, where we improve the performances of training and prediction of PUF response. We focus on the reducing the computing delay, while still guaranteeing the accuracy performance.
Future Work

• Leverage reinforcement learning mechanisms to achieve autonomous control process integrity assurance.

• We will employ transfer learning to measure the similarity between the source and target for the case of multi-group of PUFs.

• We use CNN to extract the silent features and compute the correlation coefficient as well as determine the exchanging level accordingly.
Scalable data and process integrity assurance in FPP would help plant managers to better maintain the components
  ○ Reduce operational cost over long-run

Establishment of overlay Blockchain for SCADA environment can also be applicable for achieving access control and accountability
  ○ Large and multi-site energy companies have many independent contractors, whose access to the infrastructure must be vetted

Supply-chain provenance in energy delivery systems is critical and the proposed platform has potential to enable this service
Market Benefits/Assessment

• The project addresses the need for an infrastructure based identity management and provenance solution that can provide early detection of rogue devices.

• The proposed technology would realize a low cost security solution that would provide protection to large number of sensors in the power plant and lead to cost savings

Technology-to-Market Path

• The Blockchain platform will be integrated into state-of-practice security monitoring solutions

• Ensuring the ability to provide desired benefits at lower cost

• Integration with AI solutions to also provide trusted source of ground truth

• Collaborating with Accenture, ReliabilityFirst, WoodPLC