

FEAA150 Steamside Oxidation Issues in Current Coal-Fired Boilers

R. Pillai, B.A. Pint R&D Staff, Corrosion Science and Technology Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

NETL Program manager: Sarah Nathan Collaborators: Steven Kung, EPRI

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

Research was sponsored by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy with support from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)



Acknowledgements

- DOE Office of Fossil Energy Funding
- B. Johnston, M. Stephens, A. Willoughby oxidation experiments
- T. Lowe SEM, image analysis
- V. Cox, T. Jordan metallography
- S. Raiman Design of water loop
- Materials EPRI, TENARIS

Need to test materials in realistic USC conditions motivated development of the water loop at ORNL



Tube furnace: 1 bar 500-h cycles











"Keiser" rig: 500-h cycles 1-43 bar

Water loop Autoclave: 275 bar water 500-h cycles

Controlled water chemistry pH and O₂

What is the continued importance of steamside oxidation studies?

Thick Fe-rich (fast growing) scales



Spalled oxide scales cause pipe blockage



Source: EPR

Oxide exfoliation is still a concern

- Pipe blockages
- Downstream corrosion of steam turbines due to exfoliated scales
- Employing high Cr austenitic steels does not completely alleviate the problem (CTE mismatch)
- Need to understand role of film-forming products (oxygen scavengers) on oxide growth and adhesion
- Lack of predictive models that will incorporate important real-world parameters such as
 - water chemistry,
 - oxide growth and exfoliation and
 - quantitative description of oxide scale adhesion for current coal-fired boiler systems,

Overall goal of FEAA150 (in cooperation with EPRI)

Evaluate the effect of additives (ammonia, hydrazine, filming chemicals) on growth, adhesion and microstructure of oxide scales grown on representative ferritic-martensitic and austenitic steels during exposure in ultra-supercritical steam conditions

Experimental program (prioritized based on EPRI's guidance and reduced funding)

| Temperature | 650 °C |
|-------------|--|
| Pressure | 276 bar |
| Atmosphere | 100% steam |
| Treatment | Oxygenated Treatment, OT (200 ppb dissolved O₂) - completed All Volatile Treatment-Reducing, AVT-R (<5 ppb dissolved O₂) - completed |
| Additions | Ammonia, Hydrazine, film forming products (amines) |
| Time | up to 1000h (500h-cycle) |
| Specimens | 20 x 12 x 1.5mm coupons |
| Materials | Ferritic-martensitic, austenitic, advanced austenitic |

Focus of this presentation

- Comparison of oxidation behavior of representative ferritic-martensitic and austenitic steels exposed in three different water chemistries
 - Phase I: Oxygenated Treatment, OT (Dissolved oxygen ~100 ppb): Ammonia additions
 - Phase I: Oxidizing All Volatile Treatment, AVT-O (Dissolved oxygen <10 ppb): Ammonia additions
 - Current phase: Reducing All Volatile Treatment, AVT-R (Dissolved oxygen <5 ppb): Ammonia + hydrazine additions
- Key approaches
 - Gravimetry:
 - Thicknesses of oxide layers:
 - Oxide morphology:
 - Oxide compositions:
 - Oxide structure:

measured mass change automated image analyses of micrographs light optical and electron microscopy electron and wavelength dispersive spectroscopy Raman spectroscopy

Combined additions of ammonia and hydrazine to emulate reducing all volatile treatment (AVT-R) conditions

Investigated materials (Measured composition in wt.%, C and Ni in wppm)

| Alloy | Fe | Cr | Ni | Мо | W | Mn | Si | Сυ | С | Ν |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| G91 | 88.8 | 8.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | - | 0.5 | 0.35 | 0.1 | 990 | 450 |
| VM12 | 83.3 | 11.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 1200 | 360 |
| THOR | 87.6 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | - | 0.4 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 980 | 420 |
| 304H | 70.4 | 18.4 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 1.6 | 0.26 | 0.4 | 600 | 660 |
| Su304H | 68.0 | 19.0 | 8.9 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 0.4 | 0.13 | 2.9 | 760 | 1090 |
| 347HFG | 66.0 | 18.6 | 11.8 | 0.2 | 0.02 | 1.5 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 910 | 550 |
| HR3C | 51.0 | 25.7 | 20.4 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 1.2 | 0.36 | 0.07 | 650 | 2530 |



Water loop

Specimen holder



o Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) for Fe, Cr, Ni, Mo, W, Mn and Si

• Combustion analyses for C

o Inert gas fusion for N

| Temperature | Dissolved Oxygen | Additives | Pressure | рН | Time |
|-------------|---------------------|--|----------|---------|--------------------------|
| 650°C | max. 1 ppb | 1.5 ppm NH ₃ + 20 ppm N ₂ H ₄ | 276 bar | 9.3-9.5 | 1000h (2 500h-cycles) |

Lower mass gains under AVT-R conditions for the high Cr containing austenitic steels



• Significant mass loss observed for the austenitic steels 304H, Su304H, 347HFG under OT conditions after 500 and 1000h

Thicker outer oxide layers and significant spallation of the outer layers on the austenitic steels under OT conditions after <u>1000h at 650°C (276 bar)</u>





| Comparison of oxide | layer thickness |
|---------------------|-----------------|
|---------------------|-----------------|

| T91 THOR | OT > AVT-R > AVT-O | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 304H Su304H* 347HFG | AVT-O > AVT-R | | |

*Spalled outer layers on Su304H both under OT and AVT-O conditions Thicker inner oxide layers on the FM steels but thinner on the austenitic steels under AVT-R conditions after <u>1000h at 650°C (276 bar)</u>



Detailed characterization results will focus on T91, THOR and 347HFG

A less porous outer oxide layer was observed after 1000h under AVT-R conditions on T91 (Fe-8.6 wt.% Cr)



11

On the higher Cr-containing THOR (Fe-10.7 wt.% Cr):

Outer oxide layer spalled after 500h and almost detached from the inner oxide layer after 1000h under OT conditions



On the austenitic 347HFG (Fe-18.6Cr-11.8Ni wt.%):

- Outer oxide layer considerably less porous under AVT-R conditions
- Detached outer layer allows formation of hematite at the gas\oxide interface after 1000h



Additional characterization work focused on 347HFG

Lower O contents were measured (wavelength dispersive spectroscopy) in the inner oxide layer on 347HFG under AVT-R conditions (500h at at 650°C, 276 bar)



Qualitatively similar oxide structures were identified with Raman spectroscopy for 347HFG exposed for 500h in OT, AVT-O and AVT-R conditions



- Close proximity of the Raman spectra for Fe₃O₄ and Fe_xCr_{3-x}O4 spinel did not allow an unequivocal distinction between the different oxides
- An evident difference in the distribution of the different oxides in the inner oxide layers between OT, AVT-O and AVT-R could not be ascertained

Measured average compositions (EDS) and fractions of the oxide phases confirm the presence of an Fe-rich spinel primarily at the outer/inner oxide layer interface of 347HFG under AVT-R conditions (650 °C, 500h)





Measured (EDS) oxide compositions in at.%

| Fe | Cr | Ni | 0 |
|------|------|------|------|
| 44.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 53.1 |
| 21.4 | 18.8 | 11.3 | 44.7 |
| 20.9 | 20.4 | 5.8 | 49.9 |

| Fe | Cr | Ni | 0 |
|------|------|------|------|
| 46 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 52.7 |
| 23.1 | 19.4 | 11.1 | 43.4 |
| 20.8 | 20.6 | 6.9 | 48.7 |
| 26.2 | 16.2 | 6.8 | 49.3 |

Summary

- > Considerably less defective outer oxide layers under AVT-R conditions for all tested alloys
- > Minimal spallation of the outer oxide layers on austenitic steels under AVT-R conditions
- Qualitatively similar distribution of the oxides for 347HFG under OT, AVT-O and AVT-R test conditions
 - Outer layer: Magnetite
 - Inner layer: Magnetite + FeCrNi-spinel
 - Inner layer\alloy interface ; Cr-rich FeCrNi-spinel
- Fe-rich FeCrNi-spinel was observed at the outer/inner oxide layer interface and at oxide grain boundaries in the inner layer for 347HFG after 500h at 650 °C in AVT-R conditions

Disclaimer

• This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.