

## Safeguarding Amines from Oxidation by Enabling Technologies

#### DOE Contractors Meeting DE-FE0031861

Fred Closmann, Ph.D., The University of Texas at Austin

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Management Project Review Meeting August 15 - 19, 2022



# Outline

- Project objectives and structure
- Background on solvent oxidation and losses
- SRP pilot modifications
- SRP pilot data
- Dissolved oxygen stripping
- Plans for NCCC campaign (Q4 2022 Q1 2023)



# **Project objectives**

Develop technologies to safeguard scrubbing from solvent loss by oxidation. Project directly addresses safeguarding amine solvents against  $O_2$  and  $NO_2$ .



## **Performance dates**

<b>Budget Period</b>	Start Date	End Date
1	March 1, 2020	May 31, 2021
2	June 1, 2021	April 30, 2022
3	May 1, 2022	June 30, 2023



#### The University of Texas at Austin McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

# **Project budget**

Description	BP1 (\$)	BP2 (\$)	BP3 (\$)
Salaries (PI/staff/grad students/SRP)	342,316	416,116	278,123
Fringe	95,361	118,687	91,036
Travel	7,016	9,601	23,498
Equipment*	230,100	5,000	102,657*
Supplies	54,450	74,153	73,801
Tuition	38,658	39,435	40,260
Indirect/Overhead (56.5%)	282,015	349,766	263,549
Total by BP	1,049,915	1,012,759	872,924
Total cumulative	1,049,915	2,062,674	2,935,598
Total cost share	209,983	202,552	174,585

\*Reflects redirection of Equipment budget for purchase of amino acid identification/quantification system.



# Why is this project important?



#### Why this project is important

Solvent	Rate (kg/t CO <sub>2</sub> )	Flue gas	CO <sub>2</sub> (%)	O <sub>2</sub> (%)	NO <sub>x</sub> /NO <sub>2</sub>	Facility	Author
CASTOR1, CASTOR 2	2 1.4	coal	12	NA	<65 ppm NO <sub>x</sub>	Esbjergvaerket, Denmark	Knudsen, 2009
CESAR1	0.45	coal (lignite)	15.2	5	6-8 ppm NO <sub>2</sub> , 100-160 ppm NO <sub>x</sub>	Niederaussem	Moser, 2022
CDRMax	2	coal	14-16	NA	NA	CAER, UK	Frimpong, 2021
MEA	0.8-1.6	CHP (NGCC)	3.6-4	13-14	<5 ppmv NO <sub>x</sub>	ТСМ	Morken, 2019
PZAS™	0.3/0.75	NGCC	4	12-14	<1 ppm	NCCC, Wilsonville, AL	Wu, 2021
PZAS™	0.6	synth NGCC	4	20	1 ppm	SRP, UT	Closmann, 2022
Aker ACC S26	0.15-0.2	cement kiln	17.8	7.5	180-250 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> NO <sub>x</sub>	Norcem; Brevik, Norway	Knudsen, 2014



#### Why this project is important

Solvent	Rate (kg/t CO <sub>2</sub> )	Flue gas	CO <sub>2</sub> (%)	O <sub>2</sub> (%)	NO <sub>x</sub> /NO <sub>2</sub>	Facility	Author
CASTOR1, CASTOR 2	2 1.4	coal	12	NA	<65 ppm NO <sub>x</sub>	Esbjergvaerket, Denmark	Knudsen, 2009
CESAR1	0.45	coal (lignite)	15.2	5	6-8 ppm NO <sub>2</sub> , 100-160 ppm NO <sub>x</sub>	Niederaussem	Moser, 2022
CDRMax	2	coal	14-16	NA	NA	CAER, UK	Frimpong, 2021
MEA	0.8-1.6	CHP (NGCC)	3.6-4	13-14	<5 ppmv NO <sub>x</sub>	TCM	Morken, 2019
PZASTM	0.3/0.75	NGCC	4	12-14	<1 ppm	NCCC, Wilsonville, AL	Wu, 2021
PZASTM	0.6	synth NGCC	4	20	1 ppm	SRP, UT	Closmann, 2022
Aker ACC S26	0.15-0.2	cement kiln	17.8	7.5	180-250 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> NO <sub>x</sub>	Norcem; Brevik, Norway	Knudsen, 2014



- Absence of NO<sub>2</sub> at SRP and NCCC investigate role of NO<sub>2</sub> in oxidation
- PZAS<sup>™</sup> flashes dissolved/entrained O<sub>2</sub> before stripper sump
- Stripper sump  $\tau_{avg}$  at UT-SRP and NCCC <2 minutes, which may minimize oxidation of amine by Fe<sup>+3</sup>



#### **PZAS<sup>™</sup> process flowsheet**





# Three oxidation mechanisms of interest



#### **Amine oxidation mechanisms**





#### **Amine oxidation mechanisms**





#### **Amine oxidation mechanisms**





# **SRP pilot campaign modifications**



# **Strategies tested at SRP**

Modification	Purpose
Inject and measure NO <sub>2</sub> at 1 ppm	Create baseline oxidation similar to commercial flue gas
N <sub>2</sub> sparging and entrained bubble coalescence in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping and entrained bubble/oxygen removal
Increase τ on warm rich bypass (WRB) from <0.5 to >1 min	Confirm high-T degradation in rich amine
Add carbon bed in rich amine line to remove iron	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts



# **Pilot campaign results**





The University of Texas at Austin

McKetta Department

of Chemical Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering



#### Mononitrosopiperazine (MNPZ) and NO<sub>2</sub> absorption at SRP



Time (Hours)

#### Piperazinone (PZone) & MNPZ at SRP



The University of Texas at Austin

McKetta Department

of Chemical Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

#### **Piperazinol (PZOH) and total aldehydes at SRP**



The University of Texas at Austin

McKetta Department

of Chemical Engineering

Time (Hours)



#### Amine oxidation in PZ – NO<sub>2</sub> pathway





# Dissolved oxygen stripping through N<sub>2</sub> sparging



#### The University of Texas at Austin McKetta Department of Chemical Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

#### **DO and entrained oxygen removal**



#### Wire mesh mist eliminator

Mist eliminator and sparge pipe installed in absorber





## DO and entrained oxygen removal

- Three tests conducted in absorber sump at N<sub>2</sub> sparging rates of 6, 10, and 20 SCFM
- Test at 6 SCFM N<sub>2</sub>
  - Flue gas rate of 600 SCFM;  $N_2 \sim 1\%$  of total gas traffic in abs column
  - Solvent rate of 4 gpm  $\rightarrow \tau_{abs} \sim 15$  minutes
  - Rich amine DO decreased from 7.4 ppm to 1.0 ppm
  - ~2.3 NTUs; abs sump level ~29 inches, so HTU ~ 12 inches
  - Sump level then increased from 29 to 35 inches -> increased NTU & lowered DO
- Foaming in absorber sump
  - As foam developed mass transfer improved & DO decreased
  - Operational issues managing abs sump liquid level added anti-foam
  - Foam disappeared  $\rightarrow$  DO increased, but N<sub>2</sub> sparging still effective



- Best evidence in NH<sub>3</sub> data
- NH<sub>3</sub> experienced a drop during the 6 SCFM N<sub>2</sub> sparging test, followed by increase
- 6 SCFM N<sub>2</sub> sparge test coincided with WRB res time increase being brought online
- Possible test outcome: N<sub>2</sub> stripped NH<sub>3</sub> from solvent (apparent at abs knock-out)





## SRP campaign conclusions

- PZone detected at greatest conc. (~85 mmol/kg) among products and likely evidence of catalytic reactions
- Observed 42% NO<sub>2</sub> absorption rate with each pass of flue gas through absorber
- MNPZ formed at 1:1 ratio w/ NO<sub>2</sub> absorbed (not catalytic)
- $\Delta PZone/\Delta MNPZ \sim 40$
- N<sub>2</sub> sparging in abs sump at 1% of column gas traffic reduced DO by >85%; unclear how much oxidation reduction occurred



# **NCCC** campaign overview



- Currently scheduled for Q4 2022 -> Q1 2023
- NGCC flue gas (4% CO<sub>2</sub>, 8% H<sub>2</sub>0) at ~110  $^{\circ}$ C from gas boiler
- Reduce NO<sub>2</sub> concentration to  $\leq$ 1 ppm with thiosulfate/sulfite
- Test N<sub>2</sub> sparging in absorber sump for DO removal
- Test carbon bed at slipstream rates of 1 5 gpm
- Use acid wash loop for NH<sub>3</sub> control
- Bottom packed section pump-around intercooling
- Multiple corrosion coupon locations



#### SRP and NCCC pilot plants

Parameter	SRP (UT Austin)	NCCC (Southern Co.)
Size equivalent (MW <sub>eq</sub> )	0.1	0.6
Solvent inventory (gal)	~350(a)	1300(b)
Abs diameter (inches)	16.8	25.3
Abs packing height (feet)	20	40
Abs sump residence time (min)	15(c)	8(d)
Flue gas source	Synthetic	Commercial - natural gas boiler or coal
Flue gas rate (lb/hr)	3,000	8,000
Flue gas (NO <sub>2</sub> ) ppm	0 (blended to 1 ppm)	1 – 2

(a) Lean amine tank bypassed; (b) includes carbon bed loop; (c) at L = 4 gpm; (d) calc at L ~ 12 gpm (~3.5 gpm/ft<sup>2</sup>) & 3.5 ft liquid height.



## **Project participants**

Party	Person	Role
NETL	Krista Hill	Project Manager
UT-Austin	Dr. Gary Rochelle	Principal Investigator
	Dr. Fred Closmann	Deputy PM
GRAs	Yuying Wu	HTOR, FTIR - pilot support
	Ching-Ting Liu	Corrosion, Titrations – pilot support
	Chih-I Chen	HGF - NO <sub>2</sub> studies
	Ariel Plantz	Iron studies
	Miguel Abreu	Pilot support
	Athreya Suresh	Pilot support
SRP Staff	Dr. Frank Seibert	Director SRP
	JR Campos	Operations technician
	Yee Lee Chen	Night shift operator, pilot data analysis



# **Questions?**



# Piperazinone (PZone) and other degradation products at SRP



Time (hrs)



- Best evidence in UV-Vis absorbance data
- Absorbance (A) dropped when carbon bed brought online
- Iron continued a decreasing trend; chelated metal ion species were being removed
- Possible test outcome: Iron solubility limit was changing with step changes





## Amine (PZ) oxidation – role of NO<sub>2</sub>

#### Total N in Products (EDA, PZ-ol, PZ-one, FPZ, MNPZ, NH<sub>3</sub>, AEP)





#### Where do we expect oxidation to occur?





#### Formate and formyl-amides at SRP



Time (hrs)



- Why investigate amino acids?
  - Ability of bicine to chelate metal ions (Lawson, 2003)
  - 2% mole N as amino acids in MDEA/PZ blends in gas conditioning plants (Thompsen, 2013)
  - Correlation to corrosion
- Ternary ligand complex formation stable at pH>7 (Mohamed, 2005)
- Amino acid analytical method history at UT (Closmann, 2011)
  - Dionex ICS-3000 with AminoPac PA10 column (Dionex AAA<sup>TM</sup>-Direct)
  - Gold-oxide catalyzed oxidation of amino acids
  - Pulsed electrochemical detector
  - Bicine, glycine, HEG and HES all identified in degraded MDEA/PZ
  - Glycine detected at 5 mmol/L in temperature cycled (125 °C) 8 m PZ



# **Modifications at NCCC**



#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce $NO_2$ to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



#### NO<sub>2</sub> reduction in flue gas prescrubber



- Chemistry in prescrubber (Selinger, 2018)
  - Sulfite (SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) added as Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> to achieve 400 ppm to react with  $NO_2$
  - Thiosulfate added as Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to achieve (180 mM) and inhibit sulfite oxidation
  - NaOH added to maintain pH > 8
  - Reactions occur in liquid boundary layer



#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce NO <sub>2</sub> to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



#### Dissolved oxygen stripping with N<sub>2</sub>

- Will use new Mott sparge pipe with more A<sub>XS</sub> than used in past to lower D<sub>bub</sub>
- Abs pump-around intercooling will increase L by 4X in abs sump; will this affect sparge effectiveness?
- At ~2,400 SCFM, N<sub>2</sub> rates of 24 and 50 SCFM will be tested (start at 1% of abs gas traffic)
- N<sub>2</sub> sparge rate will be adjusted to maintain 5 25 fpm at nozzle/sparge pipe and \*D<sub>bub</sub> < 1 mm</li>
- Will measure DO downstream and estimate NTU and HTU; expect ~2.5 NTU from SRP results

\*Design Guide & Part Selection, Mott Corporation (<u>www.mottcorp.com</u>); recommendations for water service.





#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce NO <sub>2</sub> to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



- Will use existing equipment that has been used in past campaign(s)
- Fourteen sets of two cannisters (28 total); offers flexibility - will run 6 cannisters
- Desired flow range (1 5 gpm) at nominal L of 12 gpm (8 to 40%)
- Adds ~300 gallons of solvent inventory
- Will run minimum four weeks; capture breakthrough as color change (UV-Vis)





#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce NO <sub>2</sub> to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



#### Test acid wash loop for ammonia control

- Water wash loop required for water balance
- Water wash does not remove ammonia if wash water is returned to the process; accumulates until emissions equal to rate of production
- Bleed of wash water or stripper condensate with high NH<sub>3</sub> can reduce emissions
- Acid wash (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) with bleed will be tested to remove NH<sub>3</sub>
- Challenge will be to operate long enough to obtain steady state ammonia concentrations with and without acid wash





#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce NO <sub>2</sub> to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



#### NCCC pilot campaign – planned strategies

Modification	Purpose
Reduce NO <sub>2</sub> to < 1 ppm and measure	Use sulfite/thiosulfate in pre-scrubber to remove NO <sub>2</sub>
N <sub>2</sub> sparging in the absorber sump	Test efficacy of DO stripping in the absorber sump
Remove iron (as chelates) with carbon bed	Test impact of removing oxidation catalysts over slipstream range 1 – 5 gpm
Test water wash configured as acid wash loop	Ammonia control
Perform pump-around intercooling of bottom packed section	Remove heat for process performance and lower emissions in stack
Adding corrosion coupons	Monitor corrosion simultaneous with oxidation



#### Proposed corrosion coupon locations





#### SRP corrosion coupon locations





#### SRP pilot campaign – add carbon bed in rich amine line

- Pass 5-10 % liquid rate slip-stream through carbon bed
- Single-pass removal sock design
- Size will limit time on stream due to break-through
- Using pressure of rich amine line downstream of pump to push liquid through carbon bed; return to absorber
- Same fresh carbon used at NCCC







#### SRP pilot campaign – formate and N-formyl amides



Time (hrs)

#### SRP pilot campaign – NH<sub>3</sub> in absorber knock-out drum (FTIR)



The University of Texas at Austin

McKetta Department

of Chemical Engineering

Time (hrs)



#### Oxygen measurement in CO<sub>2</sub> gas





#### DO and entrained oxygen removal

