

# **SMART-CS** Initiative

<u>Science-informed</u> <u>Machine Learning to</u> <u>Accelerate</u> <u>Real</u> <u>Time</u> (SMART) Decisions in Subsurface Applications

Presentation to U.S. DOE Headquarters Phase I Accomplishments Session FWP Number: 1022462 Task 3: Imaging Pressure & Stress January 25, 2022



110011101100100111010000110

Radical improvement in imaging pressure and stress can be enabled with three key technologies:

- 1. Rapid and autonomous geophysical monitoring
  - o e.g. processing monitoring datasets 100x faster with minimal human intervention
- 2. Real-time modeling and data assimilation tools
  - o e.g. real-time seismic inversion to monitoring pressure / saturation plume migration
- 3. Visualization and decision-support frameworks
  - o e.g. dynamic seismicity risk forecasting





### **Enabling Technology 1: Rapid Geophysical Monitoring**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



## Passive Seismic Imaging

ML can provide better picks, locations, and tomography .... at orders of magnitude less cost.



**Figures:** Comparisons of ML picking vs. standard catalog generation methods in terms of numbers of events detected and processing time.

15x as many events detect. 460x faster analysis.







## **Passive Seismic Imaging**





**Figure:** Geo-spatial visualization of seismic hazard in critically-stressed regions of Oklahoma inferred from dynamically triggered seismicity.

Additional constraint on seismic hazard provided through data streams never used before in traditional reservoir monitoring workflows







#### Challenge:

4D seismic processing is time-consuming and very expensive

#### **Opportunity**:

Use trained CNNs as a rapid seismic processor to have

imaging results in hours, not months





## **Active Seismic Imaging**

1.6

1.4

1.2

(Wba) 1.0

0.8 6d ΔP<sub>P</sub> (

-0.6 <sup>1</sup>

0.4

0.2

0.5

0.4

ed ΔS<sub>C</sub>

0.1

#### **Methodology** CNN trained using 400 shot-Perturbation in Year 0 - pressure Ο gathers from year 0 and year 1 2 seismic surveys Pore Year

Years 2 to 5 predicted Ο

#### Implication

Could use rapid NN for real-time Ο monitoring while awaiting more time-intensive processing

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Saturation Perturbation in 0 Year I Year 2







### Enabling Technology 2: Real-Time Modeling & Data Assimilation







#### Challenge:

Workflows for determining rock properties and state-of-stress are often slow and clunky.

#### Proposed Approach:

Combine NNs, a physics-based finite element model, and a gradient-based inversion algorithm to rapidly estimate elastic properties from sparse strain measurements.







#### Challenge:

Workflows for determining rock properties and state-of-stress are often slow and clunky.

#### Proposed Approach:

Combine NNs, a physics-based finite element model, and a gradient-based inversion algorithm to rapidly estimate elastic properties from sparse strain measurements.









#### Implication

Rapid processing adds significant value to novel monitoring techniques:

- fiber optic strain sensing
- InSAR (onshore)
- ocean bottom pressure sensors (offshore)

Figure: Convergence of inversion model to true estimate

### **Enabling Technology 3: Visualization & Decision Support**







## Phase I Accomplishments

#### **Automated Monitoring & Characterization**

- Study 1A: Seismic event detection and source properties with machine learning
- Study 1B: Artificial intelligence enhanced body and surface wave tomography
- Study 1C: Using ambient noise to estimate stress orientation
- Study 1D: State of stress from triggered earthquakes
- *Study 1E:* Deep learning and anomaly detection applied to distributed acoustic sensing (DAS)
- *Study 1F:* Pre-injection characterization by transfer learning to identify features below active seismic resolution from induced events.
- Study 1G: Time-lapse quantitative monitoring of CO2 plume using supervised deep learning

#### **Real-Time Modeling & Data Assimilation**

- Study 2A: Predictive analysis of pressure and temperature in carbonate reservoirs
- Study 2B: State of stress modeling from geophysical joint inversion
- Study 2C: Autonomous inversion of in situ deformation data for CO<sub>2</sub> storage decision support

#### **Visualization & Decision Support**

• Study 3A: Operational Forecasting of Induced Seismicity

#### SMART Task 3: Pressure and Stress

Phase I Final Report



31-December-202







# Phase II Goals







# **Questions?**





# Thank you!

# Joshua White, <u>white230@llnl.gov</u>

# Sherilyn Williams-Stroud, <u>sherilyn@illinois.edu</u>



