

Task 19: Reactive Flow-Through Experiments: A Look at Foamed and CO₂ Resistant Cements

Project Number FE1022403 Rick Spaulding US DOE/ NETL

Team includes Thomas, R., Montross, S., Crandall, D., Moore, J., Goodman, A., Sanguinito, S., Brandi, M., Kutchko, B (TTC - PI)

> U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Management Project Review Meeting August 15 - 19, 2022

Technical Approach

Goal

• The objective of the effort is to investigate and evaluate the fracture opening or self-sealing of foamed cements and CO₂ resistant cements: Flow-through CO₂-saturated brine interactions at subsurface conditions typical in the Gulf of Mexico (GOM).

Research Questions

- Will foamed cements with a leak pathway (i.e. fracture) self-seal in a similar manner as ordinary Portland cement?
- When are CO₂-resistant cements needed?
 - Significantly more expensive than traditional Portland cements.
 - Not compatible with the traditional Portland cements used in other sections of the well.
- When can we use Portland cements and when should we use a specialized cement?
- These answers will improve safety, well integrity, and have significant economic benefits.

Approach

- It is unfeasible to run experiments on every single variable that exists in the subsurface. Therefore, the team needs to understand the fundamental mechanisms to make predictions.
- Flow-through experiments are being conducted on various cement formulations.

Current Research Scope

Samples

- 1. Generate foamed cement using API RP 10 B-4 procedures
 - Different foam qualities (20%, and 30% gas volume)
- 2. A commercially available CO₂-resistant cement
 - Fly Ash-modified Calcium
 Aluminate Phosphate Cement
- 3. Coal-derived Engineered Carbon
 - including graphene oxide, graphene flakes, coal-based graphene flakes, and coalbased carbon dots

Experiments

- 1.Cement cores fractured using the Brazilian method
- 2.Uniaxial Hasler cells with a confining pressure to create flow through the cement core
 - Predetermined flow rates for predetermined lengths of time.
 - Constant flow rate short core experiments
 - Constant pressure differential composite core experiments
- 3. CT- flow-through experiments

Current Research Scope

Analysis

- Multi-scale computed tomography (CT) scanning*
 - 1. Resolution of 17 μm
- Scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS)
- 3. ATR-FT-IR (Attenuated Total Reflectance-Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
- 4. Mechanical testing

Flow Through

- 1. Confining pressure = 1200 PSI
- 2. Pore pressure = 800 PSI
- 3. DI water at equilibrium with CO₂ as injected fluid (room temperature)
- 4. Flow rate of 0.1 and 0.5 ml/min

ImageJ Processing

- 1. Images were scaled by 50% (reduction to 0.5 in X/Y/Z)
 - a. Size management is critical to processing speed and efficiency
 - b. Images underwent bright outlier removal at 2-pixel radius
- Images were then filtered using 2x2 mean (3-D)
 - a. Processes facilitated easier segmentation& feature isolation

Project Schedule

- Milestone: Identify key mineral and chemical alterations of Class H foamed cement exposed to CO₂ and fluid. 12/2019 - Completed
- Milestone: Determine if fractured Class H foamed cement is capable of self-healing. 3/20/2020 Completed
- Milestone: Determine the effectiveness of CO₂resistant cements versus traditional Portland cements (Class H). In Progress
- Milestone: Fluid Dynamics numerical simulation of flow properties and cement behavior (see next slide)









RESULTS





6

Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)



5.6cm, 0.03-0.2mL/min, 60mL fluid flowed, 0.18md to 0.03md

Most reaction happens within 5cm Flow is channelized

Sample A1





10.3cm, 0.05mL/min, 50mL fluid flowed, 0.17md to 0.10md



OPC cross section - Example







- Calcium aluminate
- Sodium polyphosphate
- Fly ash
- Non-crystalline additives







Polished cross-section

Fracture surface/unpolished

A lot of fly ash (Type F)

CO2 Resistant Cement - unexposed



The voids are filled with an Aluminum-rich material – possibly Gibbsite

CO₂ Resistant Cement vs OPC: FT-IR



CO₂ Resistant Cement

10



CO₂ Resistant Cement - exposed



Slight alteration within yellow dotted lines

CO₂ Resistant Cement - exposed



HV det mag □ WD HFW 20.00 kV BSED 500 x 13.8 mm 512 µm

Calcium and Phosphorus show dissolution.







Summary

- Results showed that Portland Cements (both foamed cements and neat unfoamed cement) can self-heal with certain aperture sizes (milestones #1 and #2)
 - The extent of self-healing in foamed cements is comparable to that of neat cement.
 - This observation supports the hypothesis that applying foamed cement in deep water wells will not increase the risks of CO_2 leakage.
- CO₂-resistant cements do not show significant chemical alteration
 - We don't see the traditional dissolution and precipitation that we see in Portland Cements
 - Good for intact cement but might not be good for fractured cement where the chemical alteration is needed to ensure self-healing
- Waiting for flow data and mechanical measurements

Questions?

Additional Information



Ordinary Portland Cement



Photos of foamed cement sample (20% foam quality) exposed to variable flow of saturated CO_2 in the medical CT scanner







Previous Research



Unexposed foamed cement

Foamed cement exposed to SCCO₂ under static conditions (56 days)

Stitched CT Core montage on the XZ direction for neat, 10%, 20% and 30% cores exposed for 6 months. Stitched from approximately 9,000 2D images associated with the full scan of the core

The bubbles

Illustrates how carbonation alters pore space by precipitation