

Hydrogen Fuel Effects on Stability and Operation of Lean-Premixed and Staged Gas Turbine Combustors

Jeffrey A. Sutton, Ohio State University Venkat Raman, University of Michigan Michael Hughes, Hasan Karim, GE Power (Collaborators)

University Turbine Systems Research Kickoff Meeting October 19, 2021 Awarded under DE–FOA-0002397 (Project number FE0032076)



- Background
- Project Objectives
- Technical Approach
- Year 1 Goals and Summary

Presentation Outline



The focus of this work is on core flow physics and flame processes that control combustor operation using hydrogen and hydrogen-containing (HC) fuels



GE 9HA and 7HA machines with premixer/primary combustor and axial fuel staging

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



- Many modern designs use both a lean, premixed primary burner and an axially staged secondary burner
- Many core physics governing flameholding, flashback (primary burner) and axial fuel staging are similar
- We contend a controlling factor is the interaction of stratified fuel-air mixtures with a propagating turbulent flame (stratified combustion in highly turbulent crossflow!)

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory



GE 9HA and 7HA machines with multi-tube burner and axial fuel staging



The Ohio State University

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



Experiment (Lucht, Purdue) and LES (co-I Raman, UM) of AFS



- Example AFS shows JICF (fuel/air into combustion products)
- Structure/stabilization location depends on jet-to-crossflow momentum ratio and thermo-chemical states
- Primary burner (i.e., premixer) flameholding physics can be cast similarly-fuel jets into high-pressure air from compressor - JICF
- Flame stabilization, including unwanted flameholding in premixing section, is likely the limiting design constraint for low-to-medium hydrogen operation

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory

Background (Key Physics – flame stabilization)



- For higher hydrogen content flashback (through boundary layer) becomes very important and limiting design constraint
- Flashback is heavily influenced by flow at exit of premixer
- Near-wall flow and heat transfer adds challenges



Simulation of flashback along a centerbody in swirling flow using LES (co-I Raman and co-workers)

Background (Key Physics - flashback)



<u>Technical Objectives:</u> (1) investigate physics governing flame stabilization and flashback (2) develop predictive computational tools for simulating gas turbine combustion when burning hydrogen-containing (HC) fuels

Project Objectives:

- Objective 1 use hierarchy of experiments/laser diagnostics to quantify rate-limiting physics; what physics need to be captured in models?
- Objective 2 develop suite of validated, multi-regime computational models
- Objective 3 characterize operability and operational limits (exploration of parameter space) for burner and AFS systems through coupled expt-CFD discovery/design approach

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory

Project Objectives



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory

Project Structure



The Ohio State University

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



- Configurations model important flow and geometric features of multi-tube premixer burner and AFS systems under enginerelevant conditions
- NOT a duplication captures key features that influence flameholding and flashback and should be modeled

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory

Technical Approach (Experimental)



The Ohio State University

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



- Partially premixed injection into thermally/compositionally stratified streams
- Significant confinement effects can affect jet trajectories when jet-to-crossflow momentum is low
- High pressure (up to 30 bar); air temperature up to 700K; fuel mixtures of 50% to 100% H₂ (balance CH_4); NH₃ considered
- Variations in injection angle, # of injection sites, and inflow conditions

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory

Technical Approach (Operating Conditions)





The controlling processes are transient – time-resolved measurements are critical to describe interactions









sequence

(Left) Time-resolved image sequence of mixture fraction and scalar dissipation rate during ignition of a co-axial configuration. (Right) Timeresolved image sequence of flow field during same type of ignition





New velocimetry approach offers ultra-high spatial resolution



Comparison between wOFV (OSU developed) and PIV in (Left) 5-atm, swirl-stabilized combustor (at DLR Germany) and (Right) 1-atm turbulent premixed flame. wOFV allows resolution of smallest flow scales!

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory



Simultaneous time-resolved measurements of mixing, velocity, and flame front

- Flame stabilization (primary premixer and AFS):
 - > Mixing measurements used to understand the degree of partial premixing (unmixedness) at stabilization point (recirculation of products or through the shear layer)
 - Mixing sensitivity what unmixedness leads to detachment or blowout? Effect of multiple injection points?
 - > Fluid velocity ahead of the flame front and at the stabilization point is critical. Used to infer characteristic residence times and size of re-circulation zone (affects) partial premixing)
 - > What is the role of flame-induced turbulence suppression?





- Example: for AFS, structure and flame location affect NOx output – determined by mixing composition and method of stabilization
 - does it stabilize in shear layer, core flow, or near the wall?
 - Is it auto-ignition or flame propagation?



Example flame stabilization sequence for different vitiated stream temperatures in co-axial configuration



Simultaneous time-resolved measurements of mixing, velocity, and flame front

- Flashback dynamics:
 - Monitor time history of mixing and velocity upstream of flame and at flame front during flashback
 - > Ensemble statistics of mixture fraction, velocity, and turbulence stats along flame front and at leading edge as flame propagates
 - Most probable conditions ahead and at flame promoting flashback
 - Characterization of flame-boundary layer interaction
 - Hydrogen enrichment role of thermo-diffusive instabilities?

Turbulence and Combustion Research Laboratory



Project Plan for Computations

Venkat Raman

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Department of Aerospace Engineering





• Understand limits of stability

- → Flameholding
- At low crossflow velocities, recirculation becomes dominant
- → Flashback
- At high fuel injection velocity, flashback can become important
- → Flame blowout
- At lean conditions, flame stabilization may be affected













- High momentum ratio to lift jet
- Strong recirculation on left-side stabilizes flame















- How to describe ignition and flame holding?
- How to describe flashback?
 - → Prior DOE NETL project
 - → Modeling solution available
- Statistical approach to estimate probability of events
 - → How to describe probability of ignition/flameholding/flashback?
 - →What are the tools required to do this?



0.0064 0.01





- We have outlined a joint experimental-CFD program targeting flame stabilization and flashback under hydrogen-enriched fueling conditions
- State-of-the art time-resolved laser diagnostics and multiregime modeling will be used
- Experiments and CFD are coupled throughout program
- We will use industry collaboration and expertise to guide pathway and decision points
- Design of experimental configurations is in review
- Baseline computations have started



