# A Novel Reactive Separation Method for Carbon Dioxide Capture From Flue Gas

Fatemeh Sadat Zebarjad, Jingwen Gong, Kristian Jessen and Theodore T. Tsotsis

Mork Family Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-1211



UCFER Annual Review Meeting, October 5-6, 2021

# Talk Outline

- Introduction
- Experimental Approach
- Preliminary Results
- Conclusions and Future Work

## **Motivation and Project Goals**



## **Overcoming MeS Equilibrium Limitations**



## Lab-Scale MCR System



- Higher Process Synergy From combining reaction and separation in the same unit
- Overcoming Equilibrium Limitations Through in-situ product removal
- Higher Energy Efficiency The liquid sweep also serves as an effective coolant
- A More Compact and Flexible Design

# **Project Objectives**

- Investigate the performance of novel integrated process combining a RWGS reactor (RWGSR) and a MCR for efficient methanol synthesis from waste CO<sub>2</sub>. Study its performance for a broad range of pressure and temperature conditions.
- Use an experimentally-validated model to assess the full range of attainable conversions, aiming to obtain >90% carbon capture and utilization.
- Carry out a techno-economic analysis (TEA) of the proposed RWGSR/MCR process to compare performance with that of the conventional, absorption-based carbon separation and capture (CSC) technologies.

## **Project Tasks and Timeline**

		Quarters						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8
Task 1.0 - Design and Construction of the RWGS-MCR MeS System								
Milestones								
a) RWGSR component constructed	•							
b) WGSR and MCR-MeS components combined								
Task 2.0 - Testing of the Individual RWGSR and MCR Sub-Systems								
Milastanas								
a) Performance of the PWCSP determined								
		•						
d) Performance of the MCR -MeS subsystem studied			•					
Task 3.0 - Testing of the Proposed CO2 Separation/Capture Process in the								
RWGS-MCR MeS System								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Milestones								
e) Testing of the RWGSR-MCR system processing flue gas completed							•	
f) Analysis of the experimental data completed							•	
Task 4.0 - Mathematical Modeling and Process Optimization								
Milestones								
g) RWGSR-MCR model validated by experimental data					•			
h) Design of scaled-up system completed						•		
i)Optimization of scaled-up system completed							•	
Task 5.0 Proliminary Process TEA								
LASK S.U - I I CHIMINALY FLUCCSS IEA								
Milestones								
j) Preliminary system TEA completed							•	
k) Final report prepared and submitted								•

# **Membrane Modification**



H3CH2CO—SI—OCH2CH3

H<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO

′ОН ОН

OH OH

Hydrolysis

#### **Properties of the ceramic membrane**

(Å)

500

100

9

5.9

4.7

R R

Ó

Ó

ŚiH2 ŚiH2 ŚiH2ŚiH2

## **Sweep Liquid**



#### Ionic liquid advantages

- □ Highly polar compounds; MeOH has considerably higher solubility than CO and H<sub>2</sub> in them
- □ Typical IL's have extremely low vapor pressures (<10<sup>-9</sup> bar), which is advantageous when compared to other low boiling point (B.P.) organic solvents
- □ Significantly simplified downstream separation of the MeOH from the solvent
- Very high decomposition temperatures
- □ High thermal capacity (thermal energy storage)

## **CO<sub>2</sub>** Solubility in the IL



#### **MeOH Solubility in the IL and TGDE**



#### **MeS-MCR Performance**



Effect of liquid sweep flow rate on MR conversion. P = 32 bar,  $T = 220^{\circ}$ C, W/F = 47.2 g\*h/mol

## **IL Stability Under Reaction Conditions**

NMR studies show that the IL structure is stable and no irreversible bonds with methanol and water are created.



## Task 1.0 – Design and Construction of the RWGSR/MCR MeS System

### **Schematic of Experimental Set-up**



## Lab-Scale Experimental Set-Up



The combined RWGSR and MCR-MeS system.

## Task 2.0 – Testing of the Individual RWGSR and MCR MeS Subsystems

#### **MeS Kinetic Studies**

Packed-Bed Reactor Model

#### Rate Expressions

Van den Bussche et al. (1996)

$$\frac{dF_{CO}}{dW} = r_{RWGS}$$
$$\frac{dF_{CO2}}{dW} = -r_{MeOH} - r_{RWGS}$$
$$\frac{dF_{H2O}}{dW} = r_{MeOH} + r_{RWGS}$$
$$\frac{dF_{H2}}{dW} = -3r_{MeOH} - r_{RWGS}$$
$$\frac{dF_{H2}}{dW} = -3r_{MeOH} - r_{RWGS}$$

$$r_{MeOH} = \frac{k_{5a}K_{2}K_{3}K_{4}P_{CO_{2}}P_{H_{2}}[1 - (1/K_{1}^{*})(P_{CH_{3}OH}P_{H_{2}O}/P_{H_{2}}^{3}P_{CO_{2}})]}{(1 + (K_{H_{2}O}/K_{3}K_{4}K_{H_{2}})(P_{H_{2}O}/P_{H_{2}}) + \sqrt{K_{H_{2}}P_{H_{2}}} + K_{H_{2}O}P_{H_{2}O})^{3}}$$

$$r_{RWGS} = \frac{k_{1}P_{CO_{2}}[1 - (K_{3})(P_{CO}P_{H_{2}O}/P_{H_{2}}P_{CO_{2}})]}{(1 + (K_{H_{2}O}/K_{3}K_{4}K_{H_{2}})(P_{H_{2}O}/P_{H_{2}}) + \sqrt{K_{H_{2}}P_{H_{2}}} + K_{H_{2}O}P_{H_{2}O})}$$

$$\log_{10} K_{1}^{*} = \frac{3066}{T} - 10.592$$

$$\log_{10} 1/K_{3}^{*} = \frac{-2073}{T} + 2.029$$

$$k_{1} = k_{5a}K_{2}K_{3}K_{4} \quad k_{2} = K_{H_{2}O}/K_{3}K_{4}K_{H_{2}} \quad k_{3} = \sqrt{K_{H_{2}}} \quad k_{4} = K_{H_{2}O} \quad k_{5} = k_{1}$$

#### **Rate Parameter Estimation**

Temperature-Dependent Rate Constants

K = A(i)exp(-B(i)/RT)

	A(i)	B(i)		
k <sub>5</sub>	1.459928	31575.31		
k <sub>4</sub>	18766.2	-0.00451		
k <sub>3</sub>	7.79461E-7	91306.78		
k <sub>2</sub>	4.64815E-11	126110.78		
k <sub>1</sub>	153463133.88	-73698.32		



Comparison of experimental vs. calculated carbon conversions

#### **RWGSR** Performance at Equilibrium



CO<sub>2</sub> equilibrium conversion in the RWGSR

CF of gas mixture at the exit of the RWGSR at equilibrium.

#### **MeS-PBR Conversion at Various T and CF**



(a) P= 20 bar, (b) P=25 bar, (c) P=30 bar; dots are the experimental points and surfaces are model calculations

#### **MeS-PBR Conversion at Various P and CF**



(a) T= 200 °C, (b) T=220 °C, (c) T=240 °C; dots are the experimental points and surfaces are model calculations

#### **PBR and MCR Conversion vs. W/F, Varying T**



SN=2, P=30 bar, CF=0.37 (Left Side Plot), CF=0.502 (Right Side Plot)

### PBR and MCR Conversion vs. W/F, Varying P



SN=2, T=220 °C, CF=0.37 (Left Side Plot), CF=0.502 (Right Side Plot)

### **PBR and MCR Conversion vs. LF, Varying T**



SN=2, P=30 bar, CF=0.37, W/F=20 Kg\*s/mol

#### **PBR and MCR Conversion vs. LF, Varying P**



SN=2, T=220 °C CF=0.37, W/F=20 Kg\*s/mol

## **Progress To Date**

- RWGSR/MeS-MCR lab-scale system designed and constructed.
- Performance of the MeS-MCR subsystem studied and its ability to functions as a 2<sup>nd</sup> stage following the RWGSR validated.
- In-house MeS kinetic model validated with the additional MeS-PBR data generated under conditions relevant to the proposed application.
- RWGS catalyst prepared and characterized. Testing to evaluate its catalytic performance under relevant experimental conditions currently ongoing.

## **Future Work**

- Continue and complete the performance evaluation of the RWGSR subsystem. Initiate and complete the study of the integrated RWGSR/MeS-MCR system to evaluate its performance for a broad range of pressure and temperature conditions.
- Use the experimentally-validated model for process design and scaleup to assess the attainable region of conversions, aiming to obtain >90% carbon capture and utilization.
- Carry out a techno-economic analysis (TEA) of the proposed RWGSR/MeS-MCR process to compare performance with that of the conventional, absorption-based carbon separation and capture (CSC) technologies.

## **Potential Areas of Collaborative Work with NETL**

- Preparation and characterization of novel RWGS catalysts (ongoing).
- Optimizing engineering design and reaction conditions for the novel CSC process and evaluating their impact on the balance of plant.
- Evaluating the economics and environmental aspects of the CSC process, and comparing them with those of the absorption-based processes.

## Acknowledgements

The financial support of the US Department of Energy (through the UCFER cooperative agreement), and the technical guidance and assistance of Krista Hill (NETL Task Monitor) and Doug Kaufmann (NETL Technical Point of Contact) are gratefully acknowledged.