## Adaptive Depth Neural Networks for Scale-Bridging Modeling of Multiphase Reacting Flows

Michael E. Mueller

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Princeton University Sankaran Sundaresan

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering Princeton University

Contributors: <u>G. D'Alessio</u>, C.E. Lacey



# Motivation





# **Program Overview**

## Overarching Problem

- Development of a general framework for scale-bridging modeling between full-fidelity simulations and coarse-grained simulations
- Emphasize industrially-relevant applications: multiphase chemically reacting flows (e.g., fluidized beds)

## Computational Investigation

- Inaccessible with DNS: too many scales, too many species<sup>1,2</sup>
- Requires filtered TFM and an appropriate closure model

### Program Outcome

Shift

Ctrfl

 An automated workflow for data-based scale-bridging modeling between DEM and filtered TFM

<sup>1</sup> S. Cant. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 360.1795 (2002), pp. 1211–1225.

<sup>2</sup> J.H. Chen. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* 33.1 (2011), pp. 99–123.

# Training Data



Shift

Ctrfl

 $(\mathbf{x})$ 

•  $\Delta = 2, 4, 8, 16$ 



# Numerical modeling for CFD

In combustion, the progress variable *C* is often defined to track the progress of reaction. As we are operating with a hydrogen flame, it is set equal to:

 $C = Y_{H_2O}$ 

Ctrfl

 $(\mathbf{x})$ 

For coarse-grain modeling, an unclosed quantity that is essential in CFD simulations is the filtered dissipation, which is defined as:



<sup>4</sup>C.D. Pierce, et al. *Phys. Fluids* 10 (12) (1998) 3041–3044

# Numerical modeling for CFD

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are non-linear universal approximators, consisting of a structure of interconnected layers of neurons.





# Numerical modeling for CFD

### Several questions are still open:



1) Can we pre-process the data to increase the accuracy of the mathematical model and also to accelerate the training time?

2) Can we automate the selection of the inputs, without prior knowledge of the physics?

3) How can we automatically select the best architecture and hyperparameters for an ANN? Can we enforce physical constraint?



# **Proposed pipeline**





# Proposed pipeline

Can we pre-process the data to increase the accuracy of the mathematical model and also to accelerate the training time? **Outlier removal** Input and data Data sampling Data pre-processing



# Data sampling

Having an input matrix accounting for a large number of statistical observations could be an issue in terms of computational cost, memory requirements and tendency to overfit.

Thus, for many practical applications it is a good strategy to sample the original matrix.





Ctrfl

# Data sampling



## Group 1: $G_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{X} \mid \alpha_i \in [b, c[$

All the rows of the input matrix X where alpha is bounded between a given interval are grouped together

A certain number of observations is then retained (randomly) from each group, G<sub>i</sub>



# Data sampling

**Full data size**: 4,000,000 statistical observations

Sampled data size: 750,000 statistical observations



# **Proposed** pipeline

Can we automate the selection of the inputs, without prior knowledge of the physics?







Input matrix accounting for 'p' features:  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{R}^{n imes p}$ 

different units and ranges

Before feeding the input matrix to any kind of statistical algorithm, it is necessary to preprocess the columns so that all the variables' units are coherent.

This operation is usually accomplished by scaling each variable with a statistical quantity, e.g., with its standard deviation



From the Buckingam Pi Theorem, it is known that k fundamental dimensions can be found: the input matrix can thus be expressed by using only (p-k) dimensionless groups



Alternatively, it is possible to find (p-k)+1 dimensionally consistent groups by forming an extra group accounting the k fundamental dimensions.

Considering the Pi groups, we can get dimensionally consistent input groups that match the dimension of the output. At the same time, we also reduce the number of input variables.



#### **Fundamental dimensions:**

Mass of product, Mass of mixture, time, distance.

$$\widetilde{\chi}_{CC} = 2D_c \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i}$$



	Inputs	Output
Original Inputs	$\widetilde{C}, C_{v}, \left  \frac{\partial \widetilde{C}}{\partial x_{i}} \right , \left  \widetilde{S_{ij}} \right , \Delta_{L}, \widetilde{D}_{C}, ln(\overline{m}_{C}), \overline{\rho}$	$ln(\widetilde{\chi_{CC}})$
Dimensionally consistent inputs	$\frac{\widetilde{C}^{2}\widetilde{D}_{C}}{\Delta_{L}^{2}}, \frac{C_{\nu}\widetilde{D}_{C}}{\Delta_{L}^{2}}, \frac{\widetilde{C}\left \frac{\partial\widetilde{C}}{\partial x_{i}}\right \widetilde{D}_{C}}{\Delta_{L}}, \widetilde{C}^{2} \widetilde{S_{ij}} , \left(\frac{\widetilde{C}\overline{m}_{C}}{\overline{\rho}}\right)$	$ln(\widetilde{\chi_{CC}})$





- With fewer features: smaller network, lower testing error, lower training time
- For large *p* and small *k*, (*p*-*k*)+1 is still large, so may need to further reduce dimensionality of the feature space



# Dimensionality reduction via PCA

### Original input matrix

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \dots & \omega_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \dots & \omega_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_n & \beta_n & \dots & \omega_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Input matrix: 'n' statistical observations of 'p' variables

Shift

Ctrfl

 $(\mathbf{x})$ 

Covariance matrix computation and decomposition





**Principal Components**: eigenvectors obtained from the decomposition of the matrix **C** 

**Eigenvalues**: portion of information (original data variance) accounted by each PC



# Dimensionality reduction via PCA

The application of the PCA algorithm is appropriate for this framework as it is possible to *automatically* determine the optimal dimensionality of the reduced manifold by examining the eigenvalues' magnitude distribution<sup>5,6</sup>



In this case, from the analysis of the eigenvalues' distribution, a 5-dimensional manifold is enough to explain almost the 100% of the original data variance. **This is the same dimensionality obtained from the Pi-group analysis** (dimensionally-consistent inputs).

<sup>5</sup> I. Jolliffe. *Principal component analysis*. Springer, New York, NY, 1986. 129-155.

Shift

Ctrfl

<sup>6</sup> G. D'Alessio, et al. Data Analysis for Direct Numerical Simulations of Turbulent Combustion. Springer, 2020. 233-251.

# Proposed pipeline

How the can we automatically select the best architecture and hyperparameters for an ANN? Can we enforce physical constraint? Artificial Variable(s) Neural of Networks interest Numerical Modeling



# Iterative ANN training algorithm

An iterative algorithm is now used to select the best architecture in an unsupervised fashion







# Short to medium period actions

# 1) Finding a way to enforce physical constraint into the mathematical model, to increase the regression accuracy

Many physical variables are strictly positive or non-negative, by definition (e.g., chemical species mass fractions). Ideally, it is possible to force the network to predict a positive variable by considering a log space. <u>Realistically, it might be complicated to find a solution to automate the enforcing</u>.





# Short to medium period actions

### 2) Integrate on-the-fly learning curves diagnostics to avoid overfitting





# Short to medium period actions

### 3) Extend the framework to multiphase chemically reacting flows

#### Image credits: Sundaresan et al. [8]



#### Two-fluid model Filtered two-fluid model

Volume-averaged hydrodynamic models for fluid/solid phases and kinetic theory-based solid stress models

#### MP-PIC Filtered MP-PIC

Volume-averaged hydrodynamic model for fluid phase and Lagrangian tracking of particles with solid stress models The main objective is to train the framework on massive CFD-DEM dataset or Two-Fluid Model (TFM) numerical simulations, to then automatically get the reduced model (i.e., a closure model) for the corresponding Filtered-TFM<sup>7</sup>

Moreover, we are also interested in performing data analysis on the CFD-DEM or TFM training set to discover how to optimally select the best input variables, in an unsupervised fashion

Shift Ctrfl

<sup>7</sup> Y. Jiang, et al. *Chemical Engineering Science* 230 (2021): 116235

<sup>8</sup> S. Sundaresan et al. Annual review of chemical and biomolecular engineering 9 (2018): 61-81

# **Project Schedule**

## • Year One

- Identification of target applications and closure models
- Framework development and a priori testing
- Year Two
  - A posteriori validation of the proposed framework using selected target applications and closure models
  - Scale-bridging modeling implementation
    - The optimized framework for the automated training will be included into Nodeworks workflow environment software.
    - The software will be tested for data-based scale-bridging modeling between DEM and filtered TFM

### Close collaboration with NETL researchers will be required for these tasks



## Thank you for your attention

