# Hybrid Gas Coal Combustion System with Energy Storage

### DOE Phase I STTR Project (DE-SC0020863) May 11, 2021

Envergex LLC Sturbridge, MA



## Background

Work conducted as part of ongoing DOE Phase 1 STTR project

Team (Envergex LLC, University of North Dakota, Microbeam Technologies)

- Evaluate energy storage options
- Develop software tools utilizing the IDAES modeling platform that can evaluate multiple technologies, determine optimum size and integration w/ energy producer, operating strategy, capital and operating costs and net present value of option
- Variable load and power prices and future projections over life of asset
- Energy storage options presented today
  - Flexible CO<sub>2</sub> capture
  - Batteries





### Software Development Goals

- Development of software that describes
  - Functionality, operation and performance of energy storage systems (current and emerging)
  - Integration with energy generators and grid
  - Associated capital and operating costs
- Modeling impact of future demand profiles and pricing scenarios on viability of these capital investments
- Predictive models of Day-Ahead hourly energy prices



## Software Methodology

- Step 1: Inputs
  - Base plant design and process information (coal-fired boiler, gas turbine)
  - Hourly load/dispatch profile
  - Hourly Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP) data
- Step 2: Energy storage and CO<sub>2</sub> capture option process selection
- Step 3: Exercise process models of power plant, CO<sub>2</sub> capture, energy storage systems
  - Material balances
  - Energy balances
  - Sizing and capital costs
- Step 4: Establish integration options (material, energy-steam/power) between power plant, CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant, and energy storage systems
- Step 5: Hourly revenue and cost calculations
- Step 6: Pro forma economic evaluation
- Step 7: Identify key input variables, why, and quantify economic benefit



# Case Study: Energy Storage integration with Hybrid Gas Coal Combustion (HGCC) Concept

- Coal First concept combines a coal boiler and gas turbine
- Indirect Firing (pulverized coal storage) => Lower min. load
- Model in IDAES platform
- Model will be capable to study ES integration with coal only, gas only, and combined options





### IDAES

#### https://github.com/IDAES

- Process modeling tool
- Pyomo optimization capabilities
- Contains detailed coal boiler and steam turbine models
- Built a gas turbine model from IDAES generic unit operation models



#### IDAES Gas Turbine Model Output

			Compressed Air	Air Fuel Mix	Turbine Inlet	Turbine Outlet
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'co2')	1.0000e-07	0.0060561	0.31016	0.31016
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'water')	1.0000e-07	2.0000e-07	0.59580	0.59580
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'methane')	1.0000e-07	0.27930	1.0000e-08	1.0000e-08
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'nitrogen')	5.7800	5.7851	5.7851	5.7851
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'oxygen')	1.5560	1.5560	0.95400	0.95400
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'ethane')	1.0000e-07	0.012400	1.0000e-08	1.0000e-08
flow mol phase comp	('Vap',	'argon')	0.074100	0.074100	0.074100	0.074100
temperature			685.63	663.44	1560.1	930.69
pressure			1.6000e+06	1.6000e+06	1.6000e+06	1.0200e+05

Ambient Air Temperature = 288 K

Compressor Power = 88.0 MW

Turbine Power = 173.2 MW

Gas Turbine Power = 85.2 MW





#### CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Systems Under Evaluation

- Solvents/Sorbents flexible regeneration
  - Matched to expected capacity factor
  - Solvent/Sorbent storage could enable smaller CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant
  - Peak demand: store rich solvent/sorbent, decrease stripping duty, more steam to turbine
  - Low electrical demand: regenerate stored solvent/sorbent
  - Low electricity demand: increase stripping intensity lower lean loadings, increase capture
- Oxy-fired processes
- New ARPA-E project Flexible sorbent CO<sub>2</sub> capture







### Power plant Data for evaluation

Unit ID	UC-1	UC-2	UC-3	Units	
Unit Size (Gross)	450	470	687	MW	
Shut downs <6 hours	7	0	0	/year	
Shutdowns 6-11 hours	2	0	0	/year	
Shutdowns 23-72 hours	3	1	0	/year	
Extended Outages (>72 hours)	2	4	2	/year	
Extended Outage Hours	2610	1684	672	hours/year	
Total Hours Off	2811	1712	672	hours/year	
Hours at Low Load (<60%)	1265	164	3008	hours/year	
Hours at Medium Loads (60-90%)	1520	773	1980	hours/year	
Hours at High load (>90%)	3164	6111	3100	hours/year	
Total Hours	5949	7048	8088	hours/year	
Total Generation (Gross)	2183	3151	3694	GWh/year	
Capacity Factor	55.4%	76.5%	61.4%		
Capacity Factor (excl. Extended Outages)	78.9%	94.8%	66.5%		•.
CO2 Emissions	2.26	3.00	2.84	MMt/year	~

\*Source EPA Air Markets Program <a href="https://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/">https://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/</a>





### Effect of Capture Plant Size



- High capacity factor case, and credit of \$50 / ton  $CO_2$
- Increased capture (95% vs 90%) at low loads increases NPV and shifts maximum to larger  $CO_2$  capture plant size





### Effect of Capture Plant Size



- Full Size CO<sub>2</sub> capture not economical for 60% capacity factor power plant (\$50/ ton CO<sub>2</sub>)
- Increased capture at low loads increases NPV



### Example CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Plant Evaluation Result



- Highest capacity factor offers best economic case (2019 vs 2020)
- Access to financing increases economic viability





### **Optimized Solvent Storage Capacity**



- 20,000 30,000 m<sup>3</sup> optimum value for UC-3
- CO<sub>2</sub> plant size balance: need opportunities to accumulate and deplete rich solvent
- More frequent load changes has greater benefit of solvent storage (more opportunities)



### **Battery Evaluation**

- Battery specification inputs: efficiencies, capacity, discharge limit
- Grid data inputs: day-ahead electricity and frequency regulation services hourly pricing
- Considered Capacity to power ratio of 4 and 2 (1 MW / 4 MWh and 1 MW / 2MWh batteries)
- Method: IDAES model of Pyomo variables and constraints
- Monte Carlo simulation for comparison
- Output: Best case battery dispatch and profits (full knowledge of upcoming prices)





## Battery Profits Calculation Method Comparison Totals



- IDAES captures additional profit from earlier discharge during peak pricing (+\$15,400)
- Monte-Carlo CPU time: ~20 minutes
- IDAES CPU time: ~5 seconds





### **Battery Profits at Different Locations**



- Locational dependence
- Battery is more profitable in areas with highly variable prices
- ERCOT has substantially higher profits in 2019 due to several peak demand/supply constraint events





### **Battery Profitability Estimate**

- Li-ion Battery on ERCOT grid in 2019
- Capital investment assumption of \$300/kWh
- Assumed 10 year lifetime (~5000 cycles) and same profits per year
- Energy arbitrage alone has negative NPV
- Participation in ancillary services markets case has positive NPV (frequency regulation)

	DA-only	DA-only	DA-AS	DA-AS
	2 MWh	4 MWh	2 MWh	4 MWh
Capital Investment	\$0.60	\$1.2	\$0.60	\$1.2
Annual Profit	\$0.07	\$0.11	\$0.31	\$0.44
NPV@10% 10 year	-\$0.16	-\$0.50	\$1.3	\$1.5

(million dollars)





## Grid Forecasting – Work in Progress

- Goal is to predict the Day Ahead Energy Market hourly prices of the up coming days (~ next 7 days)
- Experimenting with machine learning approaches to produce hourly price predictions
- Incorporate expected changes to supply side (e.g. wind power) over time horizon on electricity pricing
- Provide real time information to best operate units
- Improve algorithm to project future prices given historical data and weather forecasts
- Long term (5-10 years) forecast scenarios to be included in Phase II work

Time-frame	Data Set Used
Very short term	Last 24 hours prices
Short term	Previous 24-48 hours prices
Weekday/Month/Year	One-hot encoded
- Regional Load - Renewable Generation - Ancillary Services Requirements - Outage Schedule - Weather	Latest forecast data released by ISO and weather forecasts





## LSTM

- Long Short Term Memory(LSTM) a recurrent neural network (RNN) well suited for sequence learning
- 2019 Day-ahead LMP data 70% to train, 20% to validate, 10% to test
- Features
  - LMP
  - Weekday/weekend
  - Hour
- Sliding window using previous 168 hours to predict the next 24 hours



### **Day Ahead Market Forecasting Results**



Results from three of our predictions of day ahead prices on the CAISO grid



### Summary

- Flexible CO<sub>2</sub> Capture Assessment
  - Capital cost largest influence
  - Size CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant to match power plant capacity factor
  - Cycling plants can benefit from solvent storage
- Batteries Assessment
  - Arbitrage alone not economically viable
  - Inclusion of ancillary services may make a battery economically viable
- Day-Ahead Market Forecasting
  - Needed for energy storage decision making (when to charge/discharge)
  - Investigating machine learning approaches





### Next Steps

- Day-ahead forecasting
  - Incorporate weather data into the model.
- Investigate Liquid air energy storage
  - Provides battery-like storage and an oxygen source
  - Integrate with oxy-combustion generators





### **Contact Information**

Srivats Srinivasachar Envergex LLC

Email: <u>srivats.srinivasachar@envergex.com</u> Phone: (508) 347-2933 Mobile: (508) 479-3784





### Acknowledgement and Disclaimer

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy Award Number DE-SC0020863

#### **Disclaimer**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

