PARTICLE SEPARATOR FOR IMPROVED FLAMELESS PRESSURIZED OXY-COMBUSTION (FPO)
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What are the objectives of the proposed project?

- Select a design capable of separating FPO particles
- Perform a detailed design and integration with test facility
- Achieve particle removal with a low pressure loss
- Evaluate material properties of particles and impact on separator surfaces
- Assess economic potential of the separator technology
Background on FPO

- Pressurized atmosphere of water and CO$_2$ under “volume expanded combustion”
  - FPO combustion is more locally controllable with more uniform temperatures
  - Pressurized firing with oxy-combustion also improves cycle efficiency

- Chemical balance in combustion is near stoichiometric
  - Achieved through CO$_2$ recycle, water, and oxygen balance control

- Almost zero carbon content in incombustible products
  - Traditional: flying and falling ash particles
    - Must be filtered and collected from gas stream
  - FPO: slag with near-zero carbon content
    - Drains out the bottom of the combustor
    - Particulate still exists in exhaust but at reduced quantities and sizes

Traditional Combustion with Flame Front

Flameless Pressurized Combustion

Traditional Combustor Products: Particulate

FPO Combustor Products: Near-zero carbon, neutral slag
What is the FPO Cycle?

- Slurry of milled coal and water combusted under pressure
- Hot combustor gas is quenched through mixing
- Enters OTSG
- Portion of flow leaves the process with energy before the OTSG and is expanded
- A large percentage of combustion products are recycled
  - Some recycled flow used for quenching
  - The remainder of recycled flow is mixed with pressurized oxygen and injected into the combustor
What is the State of the Technology?

• 5 MWth plant in Italy
  – Capable of 5 bar pressure
  – Over 18,000 hours of testing experience
  – Technology proven with high and low rank coals
  – Test location for the particle separator

• Techno-economic assessment at the commercial scale
  – In process under another DOE FPO development process
  – Continued assessment will be developed by the same team at EPRI and SwRI
Why Particle Separation?

- Demonstrated improved performance of pressurized cycle with recovered energy
- Applicable to technologies other than FPO
- Limits of the turbo-expander inlet temperature could be improved to the red line
  - Requires demonstrated ability to withstand high temperatures
- Goal to minimize pressure drop in order to maximize pressure ratio of expander
The Stokes Number indicates how well a particle moves along a local flow streamline within a suspending fluid.

- For a small Stokes Number ($<<1$) particles tend to follow a local streamline.
- For a large Stokes Number ($>>1$) particles travel like a ballistic object, and their trajectory crosses flow streamlines.

\[
\text{Stk} = \frac{t_0 u_0}{l_0} \quad t_0 = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2}{18 \mu_g}
\]
Figure 6.4 Dust collected in the downstream tube quencher, at the PTS sampling probe, at the end of the run. The corresponding EDXS results are reported in Table 6-6
Project Status: Particle Properties

Table 6-6: EXDS analysis results on a sample of dust collected in the downstream quencher tube, at the PTS sampling probe, at the end of the run. The letters (a-d) correspond to the areas delimited by the purple rectangles in Figure 6.4.
Project Status: Design of Commercial Turbo-expander

• Baker Hughes/GE was contracted to develop a turbo-expander for FPO

• Design based on Baker Hughes/GE line of flue gas expanders that are derived from modular reaction steam turbines

• Custom design developed from the template to match FPO Commercial conditions

• Scale based on Techno-economic analysis done under NETL projects
Project Status: Pilot Expander Particle Protection

- 25 MWth Pilot design expander by Baker Hughes/GE
- Pilot may install a separator based on the success of this project
- 975 kW shaft power
- 11,000 rpm
- 14 stages of expansion
- 14,400 kg/h of flue gas flow
- Inlet: 520°C and 12.2 bara
- Outlet 340°C and 2.47 bara
Project Status: Pilot Expander Particle Protection

- 25 MWth Pilot design expander by Baker Hughes/GE
- First stator airfoil: Inconel 718
- First rotor airfoil and stages 2 and 3: Stainless steel coated with chromium carbide for erosion resistance
- Stages 4 through 14: Stainless steel with no coating
- Coating is expensive and costly to replace
Advanced Cyclone Systems approached for their ReCyclone system in this application.

Electrostatic concentrator option not available due to the higher temperature of the flue gas.

No alloy restrictions, allowing high temperatures for the mechanical recirculator.

Typical pressure drop 150-230 mm w.g.

Numerically optimized for the application.
Project Status: Cyclonic Separator Modification

- Several proposed modifications to enable improved separation
- Allowance of up to 650°C
- Cooling of metal walls to protect metal and create cool fluid near wall
- Cool flue gas near wall creates a gradient of viscosity
- Lower viscosity near the wall could increase the stokes number and improve separation efficiency
Project Status: Flue Gas Test Conditions

- Pressure: 3.10 to 4.48 barg
- Temperature: 500°C to 650°C
- Flue gas flow rate:
  - Min: 141.6 N l/s
  - Avg: 339.8 N l/s
  - Max: 424.8 N l/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas Composition (% by mass)</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>89.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>3.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>1.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>2.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>3.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Status: Particle Characterization

- Particle concentration: 70 to 120 mg/Nm$^3$
- Size (based on mean weight): 2-3 µm
- SEM character: round shape
- Particle Density: 2.24 g/cm$^3$
Project Status: Updated Test Setup
Project Status: Updated Test Setup

• Main flow rate: Metered and regulated by purge valve of pressure controller

• Admixed temperature control: Hot gas quencher outlet and blower outlet combine prior to cyclone

• Cooler for main flow

• Particle characterization: ELPI (electrical low pressure impactor) continuous analyzer by Dekati

• Return to FGD line
Project Status: Proposed Installation (Side)

- Piping from gas in boiler structure
- New structure to support cyclone, piping, cooler, etc ...
- Cyclone with necessary valves
- Cooler with flue gas on shell side and warm feed water on tube side
- Pressure valves, instrumentation, etc...
Project Status: Proposed Installation (Top)
Project Status: Path Forward

- Original Planned Test: Summer 2020 in Gioia del Colle, Italy
- Coronavirus shut down all activity
- Test facility in Italy is one-of-a-kind FPO pilot
- Project extension granted
- New estimated time frame for test: Fall 2021
- Test campaign: 2 days of testing, 8 hours each day
Future Technology Steps: Market Report

• OVERVIEW
• PARTICLE SEPARATOR TECHNOLOGY SELECTION
  – Operating Conditions
  – Technologies Reviewed
  – Identify Vendors
• APPLICATIONS FOR HIGH-TEMPERATURE PARTICLE SEPARATION IN FOSSIL POWER PLANTS
  – Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)
  – Syngas Cleanup
  – Fluidized Bed Combustion
  – Circulating Fluidized Bed Combustion (CFBC)
  – Waste-to-Energy Boilers
  – Biomass Boilers
• PERFORMANCE AND COST DATA
  – Performance Data
  – Capital and O&M Cost Data
• SUMMARY
Conclusion

• Particle Separation within FPO
• Vendor identified and engaged
• Test parameters developed
• Preliminary plan for pilot plant modifications
• Evaluation of Technology Implementation and Market Impact Underway
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