# Characterizing Impacts of Dry Coal Feeding in High Pressure Oxy-Coal Combustion Systems

**Department of Energy under Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FE0029162** 



REACTION ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL

#### **Transformative Power Generation**

#### **Project Review Meeting**

May 11, 2021

Andrew Chiodo, Kevin Davis

**Reaction Engineering International** 

Kevin Whitty, Xiaolong Li, Yueming Wang, Jost O.L. Wendt University of Utah

# No proprietary information is included in this presentation



### Motivation

#### Relevance

- Key second generation candidates for CO<sub>2</sub> capture include high temperature and pressurized oxy-firing of coal
- Application of these technologies to steam generation have potential to increase efficiency, reduce capital costs, avoid air ingress and reduce oxygen requirements
- Compared to first-generation oxy-coal combustion, high pressure oxy-coal is thermodynamically more efficient and reduces equipment size requirements
- Fuel feeding and firing system flexibility are challenges for high pressure coal and biomass fed combustion and gasification equipment
- Slurry-fed systems often have atomization and burnout problems exacerbated at high pressure
- Slurry atomization processes may be difficult to scale up
- Dry feeding has the potential to yield efficiency gains, provide better control over flame aerodynamics, improve flexibility and facilitate scale up

#### **Program Objective**

 Develop data and validate mechanisms describing heat transfer, ash deposition and corrosion in a high temperature, high pressure oxy-coal combustion system with dry coal feeding



# **Technical Approach**

- 1. Design, construction and installation of a pressurized feeding system for dry pulverized coal in an entrained flow pressurized combustor
- 2. CFD-based guidance of burner design and pilot-scale operation of pressurized oxy-coal combustion with a dry feed system
- 3. Detailed measurements of heat flux and flame and material temperatures at high temperatures while firing at 300 kW and 17 bar
- 4. Ash aerosol measurements at 17 bar pressure experimental conditions to determine slagging and fouling propensity of the ash, and its deposition rates as a function of high pressure
- 5. Characterize corrosion propensity under high temperature and high pressure conditions using real time corrosion sensors
- 6. Refinement of CFD modeling tools to ensure accurate prediction of the impacts of high temperature and high pressure oxy-coal combustion on heat flux, ash deposition and corrosion in a commercial boiler implementation



#### **Program Overview**

#### Enabling Technologies for Advanced Oxy-Coal Combustion Systems

Characterizing Impacts of Dry Coal Feeding in High Pressure Oxy-Coal Combustion Systems (DFHP)

October, 2016 – September 2021





### **Program Elements**



# 300 kW Entrained Flow Pressurized Reactor (EFPR)

- Converted from an entrained flow gasifier
- 300 kW (rated) pilot scale
- Originally coal-water slurry feeding with pure O<sub>2</sub>
- Down-fired, selfsustained with no external heating
- Operation pressure up to 30 bar





UNIVERSITY of UTAH

### **Integration of Dry Feed System**







# **Testing Coal Transport at High Pressure**

Non-reacting Test Apparatus at the University of Utah





### **Testing Coal Transport at High Pressure**

**Coal Flow Measurement and System Pressurization** 

#### **Dry Feed Hopper Mounted to Load Cells**



#### **Candle Filter Assembly**





#### **Dry Feed System Pressure Measurement Locations**





Electronically actuated control valve used to control the pressure of the reactor and enabling better control over the hopper/reactor pressure drop

#### **Testing Coal Transport at High Pressure** *Coal Flow Rate as a Function of CO*<sub>2</sub> *Flow Rates*



### **Pulverized Coal Fluidization in the Dry Feed Hopper**

Tests to calibrate CO<sub>2</sub> stream flows to achieve target coal flow indicated an improvement with low fluidization flow





Leakage around the distributor cup was identified as the cause for poor fluidization





### **Achieving Target Coal Flow Rates**



Welded cup flange assembly

Improved fluidization above cup Coal flow rate control is a function of the feed hopper to reactor pressure difference





# **High Pressure Aerosol Sampling System**







### **Ash Aerosol Research Objectives**

- Investigate sub-micron ash aerosol formation under high-temperature and highpressure combustion conditions
- Develop ash aerosol sampling techniques for high temperature oxy-coal combustion at atmospheric pressure and pressurized oxy-coal combustion
- Determine the effect of temperature and pressure on ash aerosol elemental partitioning
- Describe the impacts of temperature and pressure on ash particle size distributions for particles smaller than 1 micron
- Develop numerical approaches suitable for inclusion in CFD-based analyses for predicting ash aerosol behavior in 2<sup>nd</sup> generation oxy-coal combustion systems



# **Oxy-Fuel Combustor (OFC)**



#### Specifications

- 100 kW (0.25 MMBtu/hr) Firing Rate
- Main Burner Zone 0.5m (20 in) x 1.2m (48 in)
- Quartz Windows for Optical Access of Flame
- Vertical Height 3.8 m (12.5 ft)
- Horizontal Convective Section 3.7 m (12 ft)

Blower Recirc Valve-

High Pressure/

Blower

Low Temperature

#### Research

- Ash Formation
  - Aerosols
  - Deposition
  - Trace Elements
- Sorbent Development
- Optical Diagnostics
  - Flame, Radiation & Flow Field





# **Comparison of OFC and EFPR**

#### **Gas Temperature Profiles**

OFC



#### **EFPR**



### **Combustion Conditions**

Combustor	EFPR (pressurized)		OFC (atmospheric pressure)	
Coal	Sufco		Sufco	
Feeding	slurry	slurry	dry powder	
Coal feeding rate (kg/hr)	13.22	38.60	6.80	
Firing rate (kW)	100	293	52	
Oxidation condition	oxy-combustion	oxy-combustion	oxy-combustion (OXY70)	air-combution (AIR)
Pressure (bar)	8	15	1	1
Peak temperature (K)	1698	1910	1866	1489
Flue gas at standard state (standard_m <sup>3</sup> /hr)	78.82	209.88	18.09	55.82

#### Combustion conditions



### Particle size distributions 1, 8 and 15 bar



Sub-micron particle size concentration in flue gas (a) and sub-micron particle yield per g-ash (b) are both diminished at elevated pressures



#### **Impact of Pressure on Metal Volatilization** *Equilibrium Calculations*





#### Raw data on size-segregated sub-micron particle compositions





Size-segregated elemental yields



Little effect of pressure on semi-volatile metals (K and Na) and S. Large reduction in yield at high pressure for non-volatile metals (Fe, Si, Al, Ca and Mg). Suggests small fraction of refractory metals are organically bound and are "orphaned" rather than vaporized during char oxidation.

### **Next Steps**

#### **Market Benefits/Assessment**

- Demand for the proposed technology has significant promise in the U.S. as power producers seek technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> capture, utilization (e.g., enhanced oil recovery) and storage
- Certain U.S. utilities are actively pursuing collaboration with academia and industry experts to explore strategic alternatives for effective utilization of coal
- Immense demand for coal overseas along with viable technologies to address CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

#### Technology-to-Market Path

- Efficiency gains, fuel flexibility, and opportunities for scale up present a great value proposition for U.S. utilities
- REI is seeking to leverage its relationships with U.S. utilities, international clients, project partners, and industry stakeholders to actively pursue commercial opportunities within the U.S. and internationally
- Pilot-scale experiments will seek to demonstrate the technical feasibility of integrating a batch dry feed system into a pressurized oxy-coal system
- Continued research is necessary to address challenges associated with:
  - 1. Continuous steady operation vs. batch
  - 2. Design of a steam generator incorporated into a pressurized oxy-coal combustor with dry feed
  - 3. Application at larger scales



### **Summary**

- Design and fabrication of the pilot-scale dry feeding system has been completed
- Non-reacting coal transport test have been carried out to address the key technical challenge of consistently feeding dry coal in a pressurized reactor
- Maldistribution of fluidization CO<sub>2</sub> identified as the cause for coal flow rates above target values; and subsequently corrected
- The coal flow rate is highly dependent on dry feed hopper/reactor pressure drop.
- A series of tests showed success in reaching the target coal flow rate, but the relatively low hopper/reactor
  pressure drop continues to present operational challenges for consistent coal feeding
- Advanced aerosol characterization in EFPR successfully applied in preceding coal slurry-fed operation and readied for dry-fed experiments
- While the dry feed system has been calibrated, continued research on impacts of pressure on ash aerosol formation have been carried out and show measurement data did not follow equilibrium predictions on effect of pressure on metal volatilization
- Elevated pressure, at comparable peak temperatures, greatly diminished the sub-micron portion of the total fly ash – from 1.8% at 1 bar to 0.09% at 15 bar.
- Results suggest metal release mechanisms for Na and K differ from those of the more refractory metals in the second group of the periodic table
- Future work on the effects of pressure on semi-volatile metals and on organic forms of refractory metals, such as in
  petroleum coke, might yield additional useful insights on sub-micron aerosol formation mechanisms in general



### **Acknowledgment & Disclaimer**

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0029162 under Program Manager Steven Markovich.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



# **Thank You**

