



Modeling and Validation of Heat Transfer for Indirect SCO2 Coal-Fired Boilers

Spectral Energies, LLC Christopher J. Ruscher (PI) and Sivaram P. Gogineni

University of Central Florida

Jayanta Kapat, Ladislav Vesely, and Akshay Khadse

24 May 2021

2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

Disclaimer: "This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."

Acknowledgement: This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy Award Number DE-SC0020796. Special thanks to Dr. Mark Freeman (NETL) who was the program manager.

Problem and Opportunity



- Require novel technology to meet future energy demands
- Indirect fired Supercritical Carbon Dioxide (sCO2) is a promising technology
 - sCO2 cycles can have higher thermodynamics efficiencies than traditional approaches
 - Capture more energy from the same source
 - Increased energy production + reduced emissions
 - sCO2 power cycle is fuel and source agnostics
 - Biomass (e.g. sugarcane bagasse)
 - Municipal solid waste

- Clean coal
- etc.
- Careful design of the heat exchangers is required to obtain optimal efficiency
- Need accurate modeling or a lot of energy is lost through the stack
- Need a well validated sCO2 design tool



Current Challenges



- Heat input over a narrow temperature range in sCO2 cycle
 - Inefficient heat transfer results in high stack temperatures and energy/exergy loss
- Higher pressure for sCO2 power cycles require careful cost optimization for higher TIT applications
- sCO2 heat transfer Potential buoyancy effects
 - Depending on operational conditions inside the boiler
 - Possible 4x difference between accepted heat transfer correlations
 - 2.5x variation in heat transfer coefficient circumferentially
- sCO2 heat transfer Size effects
 - Impact of buoyancy makes selection of tube size very critical
- sCO2 heat transfer Inclination/Orientation effects
 - Impact of buoyancy changes heat transfer performance depending on flow orientation
- Non-uniformity in heat flux
 - External radiation and convective conditions cause strong differences in heat flux
 - Significant impact on fatigue life for tubes and weldings

2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

christopher.ruscher@spectralenergies.com



Technical Objectives



- Develop a design tool for indirect fired sCO2 (at TRL 4 to 5)
- Fully validate the tool against realistic conditions
- Design tool will
 - provide techno-economic optimization
 - provide design optimized for minimal exergy loss and increased tube life
 - provide life estimate for the entire PC-boiler under prescribed operational transients
 - Can be extended to other heat exchange components of indirect fired sCO2 power cycle (air cooler, recuperative heat exchanger)
- Demonstrate the sCO2 design tool for a coal fired boiler
 - Coal is a critical source of energy in the United States and world
 - Accounts for ~30% of energy
 - Secure, abundant, and domestic energy source
 - Need better and more efficient ways to extract energy from coal that can also lend to carbon capture







- Aid in the development of future high-efficiency power generation plants
- Provide the industry with a design tool for sCO2
- Enhance the capabilities of IDAES
- Provide a design approach for an optimized pulverized coal boiler
- Support DOE Coal FIRST (Flexible, Innovative, Resilient, Small, Transformative)
- Better utilization of coal
- Reduction of emissions







- Task 1: Validation of heat transfer correlations for internal CO2 flow at boiler conditions
 - Milestone 1 Experiments for internal sCO2 flow HTC at boiler conditions (Month 7)
 - Milestone 2 HTC/Nu correlations development at the boiler conditions (Month 10)
- Task 2: Radiative-convective heat transfer model of heater external flow path
 - Milestone 1 Extraction of convective and effective radiative heat transfer coefficients (Month 7)
- Task 3: Integrated Model & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle
 - Milestone 1 Develop IDAES (or python/MATLAB) modules of heat transfer correlations for internal and external flow and pressure drop for internal flow (Month 8)
 - Milestone 2 Multi-objective optimization framework setup for of PC-boiler design using the developed 1-D design code (Month 10)



Task 1: Validation of heat transfer correlations for internal CO2 flow at boiler conditions



Experimental loop:



Internal flow heat transfer experiments with circular pipe geometry for horizontal, upward and downward flows:



Natural convection effects perpendicular to primary flow

- Milestone 1 Experiments for internal sCO2 flow HTC at boiler conditions (Month 7) 12/1/2020
 - Actual deliverable conditions: Tests with T<420K P<100bar without R-HEX (recuperative heat exchanger)
 - Actual deliverable date: January 2021
- Milestone 2 HTC/Nu correlations development at the boiler conditions (Month 9) 3/1/2021
 - Actual deliverable conditions: Tests with T<800K P<100bar with R-HEX
 - Actual deliverable date: March 2021

2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting





Task 1: Validation of heat transfer correlations for internal CO2 flow at boiler conditions

٠



- Nusselt numbers are plotted at several axial locations and at 4 circumferential locations
- Even away from the critical point, there are effects of buoyancy on heat transfer
- Higher heat transfer at bottom surface and lower heat transfer at top surface
- Currently studying at which temperature away from critical point the effects of buoyancy start to diminish



- Nusselt numbers are plotted at several axial locations and at 4 circumferential locations
- For three cases with similar inlet conditions and with different angle and flow direction
- Downward flow shows highest heat transfer
- Buoyancy forces opposite to primary flow direction creating more turbulence hence increasing the heat transfer
- Upward flow has the lowest heat transfer



Average Nu for inclination cases

2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

Center for Advanced Turbomach and Energy Research University of Central Florida



Radiative-convection model

- Implemented into the IDAES model
- Source: Ma, J., Eason, J.P., Dowling, A.W., Biegler, L.T. and Miller, D.C., 2016. Development of a first-principles hybrid boiler model for oxy-combustion power generation system. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, *46*, pp.136-157.

Convective Model

 For large-scale utility boilers, Reynolds number is typically greater than 10⁴ and the correlation for fully developed turbulent flow is used to calculate the Nusselt number

$$Nu_{zone,j} = 0.026 Re_{zone,j}^{0.8} Pr_{zone,j}^{0.333} \left[\frac{\mu_{gas,j}(T_{zone,j})}{\mu_{gas,j}(\bar{T}_{wall})} \right]^{0.14}$$

If Reynolds number is less than 10⁴, a constant Nusselt number for fully developed laminar flow at uniform surface temperature is used

- Coal flow rate as a function of load
- Coal HHV is fixed
- Heat duty split from fire side to water wall
- Platen superheater is fixed

$$Nu_{zone,j} = 3.66$$



Task 3 Integrated Modeling & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle (Use Case)



co,;

 $(\mathbf{1})$

Flow = 5088 kg/sec

Flue Gas

 \bigcirc

T = 415.2°C

T = 370.2°C

- Selected the EPRI pulverized coal fired boiler as a demonstration/use case that will be used for Tasks 3
 - Recompression sCO2 cycle
 - Continued work will be focused on the simple sCO2 cycle with *Fuel Agnostic* boiler



2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

593.0

24.19

11 – Heater Exit (to Turbine)

For a nominal 550 MWe design



Task 3 Integrated Modeling & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle (Use Case)





SUPERCRITICAL CO2 BOILER HX NETWORK FLOWSHEET

2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting



Task 3 Integrated Modeling & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle (Waterwall - Setup)

m



| Number of burner levels | 4 |
|---|---------|
| Number of overfire levels | 1 |
| Number of superheater panels | 11 |
| Number of vertices of superheater polygon | 4 |
| Furnace depth (X) | 15.5448 |
| Furnace height (Y) | 51.816 |
| Furnace width (Z) | 15.8496 |
| X of hopper bottom of front wall | 6.7056 |
| X of hopper bottom of rear wall | 8.8392 |
| X of nose tip | 10.668 |
| Y of hopper knuckle | 9.144 |
| Y of the bottom of nose slope | 33.6804 |
| Y of the nose tip | 36.8237 |
| X of superheater vertex 1 | 3.048 |
| X of superheater vertex 2 | 9.144 |
| X of superheater vertex 3 | 9.144 |
| X of superheater vertex 4 | 3.048 |
| Y of superheater vertex 1 | 38.7096 |
| Y of superheater vertex 2 | 38.7096 |
| Y of superheater vertex 3 | 51.816 |
| Y of superheater vertex 4 | 51.816 |
| Y of burner level 1 | 12.192 |
| Y of burner level 2 | 14.9352 |
| Y of burner level 3 | 19.2024 |
| Y of burner level 4 | 21.9456 |
| Y of overfire port level 1 | 25.1206 |

| Fuel data | | Input parameters | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--|----------------|-----------------------|------|
| Mass % of C | 64.49 | | Air-fired case | Oxy-fired case | |
| Mass % of H | 4.44 | Total coal flow rate | 50 | .28 | ka/a |
| Mass % of O | 9.25 | Total air/O2 flow rate | 512.2104 | 102.44 | Kg/S |
| Mass % of N | 1.18 | Primary stream temperature | 338.71 | 355.37 | K |
| Mass % of S | 0.64 | Secondary stream temperature | 548.71 | 533.15 | ĸ |
| Mass % of moisture | 8.86 | Coal flow rate per burner level | 12.5 | 5714 | |
| Mass % of ash | 11.14 | Primary stream flow per burner level | 24.7658 | 22.6285 | ka/c |
| Mass % of volatiles | 40 | Secondary stream flow per burner level | 72.8268 | 83.1027 | Kg/S |
| High heating value (kJ/kg) | 26,360 | Overfire stream flow rate | 121.84 | 83.7886 | |
| | | Furnace pressure | 86 | 126 | Pa |



2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

Task 3 Integrated Modeling & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle (HX - Setup)



Input Parameters for the heat exchangers

| | Final Bank | Int. Bank 2 | Int. Bank 1 | Pendant Bank | Units |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Inner diameter | 0.041/ 1.624 | 0.041/ 1.624 | 0.041/ 1.624 | 0.041/ 1.624 | |
| Outer diameter | 0.0508/ 2 | 0.0508/2 | 0.0508/2 | 0.0508/2 | |
| Thickness | 0.0047 / 0.188 | 0.0047 / 0.188 | 0.0047 / 0.188 | 0.0047 / 0.188 | m/in |
| Pitch of tubes between two neighboring columns (in y direction) | 0.127/5 | 0.127/5 | 0.127/5 | 0.127/5 | |
| Pitch of tubes between two neighboring rows (in x direction) | 0.076/3 | 0.076/3 | 0.076/3 | 0.076/3 | |
| Tube length | 16.27/ 640.92 | 16.27/ 640.92 | 16.27/ 640.92 | 16.27/ 640.92 | m / ft |
| Number of tube rows in the direction of shell side | 22 | 20 | 12 | 16 | |
| Number of tube columns in the cross section plane | 80 | 80 | 150 | 250 | - |
| Number of inlet tube rows | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | |
| Elevation difference (outlet - inlet) for static pressure calculation | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | m |



2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting



Task 3 Integrated Modeling & 1-D Optimization of PC-boiler for sCO2 cycle (Results)



<u></u>

14

Techno-economic calculation framework setup for IDAES

Weiland, N.T., Lance, B.W. and Pidaparti, S.R., 2019, June. SCO2 power cycle component cost correlations from DOE data spanning multiple scales and applications. In ASME Turbo Expo 2019: Turbomachinery Technical Conference and Exposition. American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{T,PHX} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & if \ T_{max} < 550 \ ^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 1 + 5.4 \times 10^{-5} (T_{max} - 550 \ ^{\circ}\text{C})^2 \ if \ T_{max} \geq 550 \ ^{\circ}\text{C} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$C_{CF,PHX} = 820,800 \ Q^{0.7327} \times f_{T,PHX}$$

$$C_{CF,PHX} = 1,248 \ UA^{0.8071} \times f_{T,PHX}$$

Q [MWth] - the thermal heat duty

 $f_{\scriptscriptstyle t, {\scriptscriptstyle phxthe}}$ - temperature correction factor

| Gen Flow - 49/A Kg/s Were malk AP = 0.43 MPa Codi: Flow = 83.8 kg/set Uccol: Flow = 83.8 kg/set Uccol: Flow = 83.8 kg/set Uccol: Flow = 83.8 kg/set Uccol: Flow = 83.8 kg/set | C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | © © © 1 5.4 1 100 1 5.5 3 5 For a n 550 MV | ominal Ve design |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|

| | Power | | PC Boiler Cost |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------|
| | [MW] | | [M\$] |
| | EPRI –CASE 1 | IDAES | |
| Reheater | 116.5 | 116.76 | 29.28 |
| Finishing Superheater | 499.7 | 480.19 | 77.94 |
| Primary Superheater | 94.8 | 98.5 | 23.7 |
| Waterwall | 618.3 | 618 | 91.03 |
| Economizer | 105.4 | 108.51 | 25.44 |
| Total | 1433 | 1421.96 | 247.39 |

| <u>sCO2</u> | | | | | | | | Flue ges | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------|----------|--------|
| | IDAES | EPRI | IDAES | EPRI | | IDAES | EPRI | IDAES | EPRI | | Flue gas | |
| | Р | in | Рc | out | m | Т | in | То | ut | T in | T out | m |
| | | [MPa] | | | [kg/s] | | | [k | (] | | | [kg/s] |
| Reheater | <u>25.28</u> | 24.69 | <u>24.86</u> | 24.26 | | <u>846.78</u> | 848.55 | <u>864.82</u> | 866.15 | 1164.91 | 1046.34 | |
| Finishing Superheater | <u>25.52</u> | 25.46 | <u>25.28</u> | 24.75 | | <u>771.64</u> | 770.95 | <u>846.78</u> | 848.55 | 1632.75 | 1164.91 | |
| Primary Superheater | <u>26.27</u> | 25.79 | <u>25.52</u> | 25.51 | 5088 | <u>756.63</u> | 756.12 | <u>771.64</u> | 770.95 | 1046.34 | 944.26 | 499.4 |
| Waterwall | <u>26.27</u> | 26.45 | <u>26.27</u> | 25.87 | | <u>659.63</u> | 659.45 | <u>756.63</u> | 756.15 | | 1632.75 | |
| Economizer | <u>26.95</u> | 26.95 | <u>26.27</u> | 26.52 | | <u>643.35</u> | 643.35 | <u>659.63</u> | 659.45 | 944.26 | 829.18 | |





- Product: Design/optimization tool for sCO2 power plants with initial focus on PC Boilers
 - ► Using IDAES as a starting framework
 - ► Incorporate necessary physics into the framework
 - Correlations/equations for sCO2 heat transfer coefficients
 - Radiative and convective heat flux
 - Validation data for heat transfer coefficients
 - Industry states that this is a critical roadblock
 - Needed to improve models
 - Needed to gain confidence in designs
 - Better data would allow companies to reduce their design margins and reduce cost
 - Transition to other components



Commercialization – Market Outlook



- Coal is the leading fuel for electricity world wide
 - ► Global coal consumption will increase in the foreseeable future
- Several pilot plants that use sCO2 are being constructed in the US
 - ► Use Natural Gas, Solar, Pulverized Coal
- Countries like China, India, and South Korea have plans to construct new coal-fired plants based on sCO2 technology

| Country | Current and Planned Capacity (Mwe) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country | Subcritical | Supercritical | Ultrasupercrtical | | | | | |
| Bangladesh | 561 | 680 | 3,320 | | | | | |
| Indonesia | 26,780 | 3,580 | 2,315 | | | | | |
| Malaysia | 8,529 | 0 | 4,160 | | | | | |
| Philippines | 9.901 | 1,336 | 0 | | | | | |
| Thailand | 5,181 | 1,300 | 0 | | | | | |
| Vietnam | 16,545 | 5,532 | 1,800 | | | | | |

Terry M. Jarrett, "The Case For U.S. Leadership On Advanced Coal Technology", Morning Journal, October 29, 2020, https://www.morningjournalnews.com/opinion/editorials/2020/02/the-case-for-u-s-leadership-on-advanced-coal-technology/, (accessed October 29, 2020). Andrew Minchener, "Update On Hele Coal Power Developments Worldwide", November 26, 2018, IEA-Clean Coal Center web site, https://www.ieacoal.org/webinar/hele-developments-worldwide/, (accessed November 4, 2020).



2021 DOE/FE Spring R&D Project Review Meeting

christopher.ruscher@spectralenergies.com

Potential Future Work



- Design/Optimization/Control software for an indirect-fired sCO2 power cycle using IDAES platform
 - Overall framework architecture with multi-objective optimization; energy, exergy and techno-economic analyses; and transient analysis for various indirect-fired and waste heat recovery conditions
 - Consideration of material fatigue for heat exchange tubes and welds
 - ► Comparison of various control strategies
 - Comparison of cost and techno-economical for sCO2 and steam-Rankine Cycle
- Radiative-convective heat transfer model of heater external flow path for multiple sources of energy using IDAES platform
 - ► Incorporation of spatial distribution of radiative loading, through detailed simulation
 - ► Consideration of multiple sources of thermal energy, including MSW
- Extending the code for heat rejection (to air or water) and recuperative heat exchangers using IDAES platform
 - Transient analysis with variation of ambient conditions
 - ► Tracking of pinch point, and determining impact on the cycle and the other components
 - Inclusion of impact on lifecycles cost and condition-based-monitoring
- Incorporation of sCO2 heat transfer and flow/leakage correlations based on experimental data
 - Using the experimental facilities available at UCF
- Validation through testing of the heat exchangers under application-representative conditions



References



Khadse, A., Vesely, L., Sherwood, J., Curbelo, A., Goyal, V., Raju, N., Kapat, J.S. and Kim, W., 2020, September. Study of Buoyancy Effects on Supercritical CO₂ Heat Transfer in Circular Pipes. In Turbo Expo: Power for Land, Sea, and Air (Vol. 84232, p. V011T31A013). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Geikie, M.K., and K.A. Ahmed. 2017. "Lagrangian mechanisms of flame extinction for lean turbulent premixed flames." Fuel Vol 194, pp 239-256.

Liao, S, and T Zhao. 2002. "Measurements of heat transfer coefficients from supercritical carbon dioxide flowing in horizontal mini/micro channels." Journal of Heat Transfer 124(3) 413-420.

Pidaparti, Sandeep, Jacob McFarland, Mark Mikhaeil, Mark Anderson, and Devesh Ranjan. 2015. "Investigation of Buoyancy Effects on Heat Transfer Characteristics of Supercritical Carbon Dioxide in Heating Mode." Journal of Nuclear Engineering and Radiation Science (031001) 1-10.

MacDonald, M, and K Ahmed. 2014. "Flame extinction dynamics of lean premixed bluff-body stabilized flames." AIAA ASM.

Maxson, Andrew, and Jeff Phillips. 2017. Thermal Integration of Closed, Indirect Supercritical CO₂ Brayton Power Cycles with Oxy-Fired Heaters. Review Meeting Presentation, <u>https://www.netl.doe.gov/plp/J-Phillips-EPRI-Supercritical-CO₂-Brayton-Power-Cycles.pdf</u>.

Mahmood, Mohagheghi, Zawati H, Pinol T, Gou J, Xu Chengying, and Kapat J. 2016. "Use of 1-D finite enthalpy method for a high-temperature recuperator made of polymer derived ceramic composite for a supercritical carbon dioxide power system." *The 5th International Symposium–Supercritical* CO₂ *Power Cycles.* San Antonio, TX, USA.

Salman, H, and M Soteriou. 2004. "Lagrangian simulation of evaporating droplet sprays." Physics of Fluid 4601.

Salvadori, Marc, Ian Dunn, Jonathan Sosa, Suresh Menon, and Kareem Ahmed. 2020. "Numerical Investigation of Shock-Induced Combustion of Coal-H2-Air mixtures in a Unwrapped Non-Premixed Detonation Channel." *AIAA SciTech* .

Soteriou, M, Y Dong, and B Cetegen. 2002. "Lagrangian simulation of the unsteady near field dynamics of planar buoyant flames." *Physics of Fluid* 14-3118.

Span, R., W. Wagner, W. (1996). "A New Equation of State for Carbon Dioxide Covering the Fluid Region from the Triple-Point Temperature to 1100 K at Pressures up to 800 MPa." J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 25(6), 1509-1596.

Akasaka, R. (2008). "A Reliable and Useful Method to Determine the Saturation State from Helmholtz Energy Equations of State." Journal of Thermal Science and Technology, 3(3), 442-451.

Vesovic, V., W.A. Wakeham, G.A. Olchowy, J.V. Sengers, J.T.R. Watson, J. Millat, (1990). "The transport properties of carbon dioxide." J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 19, 763-808.

Fenghour, A., W.A. Wakeham, V. Vesovic, (1998). "The Viscosity of Carbon Dioxide." J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 27, 31-44.

Weiland, N.T., Lance, B.W. and Pidaparti, S.R., 2019, June. sCO₂ power cycle component cost correlations from DOE data spanning multiple scales and applications. In ASME Turbo Expo 2019: Turbomachinery Technical Conference and Exposition. American Society of Mechanical Engineers Digital Collection.

