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Project Description and Objectives

**Overall goal:** to develop a new simple, sensitive, and rapid approach for detecting rare earth elements in any kind of solid or liquid sample

**Analysis Requirements**

- Applicable to diverse chemical/mineral matrices
- Detection of REE in aqueous solutions at \( \leq 1 \) ppm
- Discrimination between multiple co-occurring REE

Research Objectives

• Utilize lanthanide luminescence
• Enhance signal intensity with concentration and host variables
• Demonstrate applicability for a variety of coal and coal by-products
  ➢ Different coal types/origins

Original image from American Coal Foundation.
Motivation for project: need for simple, inexpensive REE sensing methods suitable for complex samples

- Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for measuring dissolved REE
  - Detection limits typically in low ppb
  - Analyses expensive, and must be conducted inside laboratory
- X-ray fluorescence (XRF) for solid samples
  - Calibration for complex samples challenging
  - Quantitation highly dependent on sample matrix
  - Detection limits typically in ppm range
  - Portable XRF not suitable for HREE
Why luminescence?

• Most lanthanides:
  ➢ Luminescent
  ➢ Unique spectra excitation and emission lines
    ▪ Easy to distinguish between any of the REEs below

• Inexpensive semiconductors can be used for excitation and detection
• Lanthanide luminescence intensity can be enhanced by
  ➢ Concentration
  ➢ Choice of host
Approach: Precipitation of REE from leachates as mineral fluorides

- Fluoride mineral host is good for luminescence
  - Low phonon energy
- Quantitative precipitation of lanthanides from solution with NH$_4$F addition
  - All REE fluorides have low solubility
  - Independent of pH

Example with Alabama Appalachian bituminous coal leachate
Coal Fly Ashes Used for Method Development

- Appalachian ash from U. Alabama (“AL” ash)
- Wyoming Powder River Basin ash from U. Wyoming (“WY” ash)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxides</th>
<th>AL ash (%)</th>
<th>WY ash (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>49.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>26.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>26.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>26.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SrO</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaO</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI*</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>84.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LOI = Loss on Ignition (e.g., water, gases)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REE</th>
<th>AL ash (ppm)</th>
<th>WY ash (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>94.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>66.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>184.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>124.2 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>22.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>15.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>84.7 ± 3.7</td>
<td>58.9 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>94.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>53.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ave. Total REE 562 372
Mineral Composition of Coal Fly Ashes via XRD

Different ashes likely to yield different products (leachates and precipitates)
Thermodynamic predictions of reaction products

Al leachate (1M HCl)

WY leachate (1M HCl)

- CaF$_2$ and MgF$_2$ are major phases
- All REEs precipitate

- CaF$_2$ and NaMgF$_3$ are major phases
- All REEs precipitate
Schematic of approach

Coal ash → HCl → Leachate → NH$_4$F → Precipitate → Luminescence measurement
REE detected by “down- and up-conversion”

- Erbium can be detected by both conventional
  - Down-conversion = excite @ 980 nm; emit @ 1500 nm
  - Up-conversion = excite @ 980 nm; emit @ 550 nm

Down-conversion emits more efficiently and is brighter
Which REE can be detected?

- Multiple lanthanides can be detected simultaneously, and distinguished from each other by distinct wavelengths (via excitation and or emission)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>La</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Nd</th>
<th>Pm</th>
<th>Sm</th>
<th>Eu</th>
<th>Gd</th>
<th>Tb</th>
<th>Dy</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>Er</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>Yb</th>
<th>Lu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does LnF₃ fluoresce?</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>Y*</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detected in AL leachate?</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y = Yes. N = No. na = not applicable.
*Detected by upconversion.
What about other types of samples?
Coal feedstock (bituminous coal)

Penn State coal bank sample DECS-18 (from Union County, Kentucky)

Nd at 445 ppb in HCl leachate

Pr at 114 ppb in HCl leachate
Another coal feedstock (lignite coal)

Penn State coal bank sample DECS-9 (Fort Union region, MT)

Dy at 2.5 ppb in HCl leachate  
Gd at 61 ppb in HCl leachate
Another lignite coal

Penn State coal bank sample DECS-11 (from Fort Union Region, ND)

Sm at 486 ppb in HCl leachate

Eu at 28 ppb in HCl leachate
Different acid: $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ leachate of AL coal fly ash

- Thermodynamic simulations predict saturation of leachate with Na-Nd and Na-Ce sulfate double salts
  - $\text{Nd}_2\text{Na}_2(\text{SO}_4)_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ limits REE solubility in leachate
- After NH$_4$F addition:
  - Remaining REE converted to fluorides
  - Host matrix composed of mixed Na-Al and Na-Mg fluorides as well as CaF$_2$
REE luminescence in precipitates derived from \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) leachates

Nd at 3005 ppb in \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) leachate

Dy at 461 ppb in \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) leachate
How long does the process take?

- Leaching time used in method development 24 h
  - 30% pulp density, 1 M HCl, room temp (22°C), 500 rpm
- Leaching kinetics studies:
  - Time could be shorter
    - By 4 h, Sm and Nd reach ~70% of 24 h value
    - But even 1 h likely sufficient
- Precipitation kinetics:
  - Rapid; ≤ 1 h sufficient

Total time could be ≤ 1 h
Summary and next steps

• Fluoride precipitation allows luminescent REE detection in complex samples
• Unique excitation and emission spectra allow discrimination of individual lanthanides at leachate concentrations as low as 1 ppb
• Generation of fluoride precipitates is rapid and simple
• Future work:
  ➢ Optimization of protocol (faster, simpler)
  ➢ Field test kit using portable vials and syringe filters; detection w/smartphone coupled with battery powered LED excitation light source
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