



Guidelines for Alloy Development

Crosscutting Research & Advanced Energy Systems Project Review Meeting, High Performance Materials Program Virtual Session (06/15/2021)



Yukinori Yamamoto, Mike Brady, Edgar Lara-Curzio (ORNL) & Jeffrey Hawk (NETL)

Thrust 4 (FWP 1022433) Extreme Environment Materials (eXtremeMAT)







XMAT: Project Team & Other Acknowledgements *eXtremeMA*

XMAT Thrust 4 Project Team

- Dongwon Shin, Michael Lance, David Hoelzer, Jon Poplawsky, QQ Ren (ORNL);
- Michael Gao, Youhai Wen, Paul Jablonski, Martin Detrois, David Alman (NETL);
- Mikhail Glazoff (INL)
- Laurent Capolungo, Ricardo Lebensohn (LANL)

<u>Acknowledgement</u>

This work was performed in support of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy's Crosscutting Technology High Performance Materials Research Program, Robert Schrecengost DOE-HQ Program Manager & Briggs White NETL Technology Manager.

<u>Disclaimer</u>

This work was funded by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, an agency of the United States Government, Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.











ENER

of Extreme Environment Materials

XMAT: <u>Project Outline</u>

extremeNAT

Accelerating the Development of Extreme Environment Materials









LOS Alamos & OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY



Thrust 1: Material Lifetime and Performance Predictors

• Physics-based mechanistically derived material lifetime prediction

• Microstructure characterization and creep deformation parameters of 347H steel & developmental alumina-forming austenitic (AFA) stainless steels

Thrust 2: Component Lifetime and Performance Predictors

• Finite element models predicting the performance and lifetime of 347H components under multi-axial loading (validate with tube form, multi-axial creep studies)

Thrust 3: Materials Database and Analysis Tools

• Management of database and analysis tools for new alloy development and performance assessment

Thrust 4: Guidelines for the Discovery of New Alumina Forming Alloys

- Guidelines for the design of next generation alumina-forming austenitic (AFA) alloys
- Model microstructures to optimize creep resistance via multi-scale precipitates
- Insights for establishment of alumina via experimental and modeling approaches









Major Target of "Alloy Development (Thrust 4)' under eXtremeMAT Project of Extreme Environment Materials

Objective: model alumina-forming austenitic (AFA) steels to guide/validate new computational tools for the design of higher-performing alloys Turbine

Approaches:

- <u>Thermodynamics/kinetics</u>: phase stability prediction, strengthening second-phase precipitation
- <u>DFT</u>: major element bonding, O permeation/diffusion
- <u>Phase-field</u>: initial establishment of protective alumina
- Experimental validations: selection of model AFA alloys, evaluation of oxidation resistance and creep-rupture performance, characterization of microstructure evolution, measurement of oxygen permeation





Accelerating the Development

ENERGY













Philosophy of <u>AFA Alloy Development</u>



- <u>Oxidation resistance</u>: protective alumina-scale formation (>chromia-scale when water vapor exists)
- <u>Creep performance</u>: austenitic single-phase matrix + precipitation strengthening
- Inexpensive material cost: Fe-base (compared to Ni-base alloys)



Downselection of <u>Model AFA Alloys</u> Through Computational Guidance



• Selected total 7 model AFA alloys:

- Two different strengthening mechanism alloys
- <u>Used thermodynamic calculation</u> (ThermoCalc w/TCFE9 and JMatPro v.9) for phase prediction

• Subjected property evaluation:

- Oxidation tests
- Creep-rupture tests
- Microstructure characterization

Role of elements on AFA alloy development



□ "<u>Laves + carbide</u>" strengthened alloys

wt.%	Fe	Cr	Mn	Ni	Cu	Al	Si	Nb	V	Ti	Мо	W	Zr	С	В	Remarks
X201	56.14	14	2	20	0.5	3	0.15	0.8	0.05	0.05	1	2	0.1	0.2	0.01	20Ni
X221	53.14	15	2	22	0.5	3	0.15	0.9	0.05	0.05	1	2	0	0.2	0.01	22Ni
X251	51.14	14	2	25	0.5	3	0.15	0.8	0.05	0.05	1	2	0.1	0.2	0.01	25Ni

□ "<u>L1</u>₂" strengthened alloys

_				-			-											_
	wt.%	Fe	Cr	Mn	Ni	Cu	Al	Si	Nb	V	Ti	Мо	W	Zr	С	В	Remarks	
	X351	41.41	14	0.5	35	0	3	0.15	2.5	0.05	2	1	0.5	0.1	0.08	0.01	35Ni	
	X352	40.11	14	0.5	35	0	3	0.15	1.5	0.05	4	1	0.5	0.1	0.08	0.01	35Ni	
	X353	39.64	15	0.5	35	0	3	0.15	3	0.05	2	1	0.5	0.1	0.05	0.01	35Ni	
	X354	40.64	15	0.5	35	0	3	0.15	3	0.05	1	1	0.5	0.1	0.05	0.01	35Ni	
-																		_

Predicted phase composition of model AFA alloys (at 750°C, by ThermoCalc)









Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory







Lab-scale Heat Production and As-processed Microstructure Characterization



• Prepared lab-scale heats (~700g for each) at ORNL:

- Arc-melted ingots (25 x 25 x 150 mm)
- Homogenized, forged, rolled, and annealed at 1200/1150°C

TMT + Annealed at 1200°C

 X352 showed poor deformability (lots of cracks) → due to partial melt (= lower melting point than the prediction)



TMT + Annealed at 1150°C



^{(↑} melted at 1150°C; a gap from the prediction)













7

Oxidation Tests at 750°C Revealed Borderline Alumina Formation in Fe-35Ni γ ' Alloys





All alloys showed promising oxidation resistance through protective alumina scale formation X354 exhibited lowest mass gain among the series of 35Ni alloys due to lower Ti content







Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory





8

Promising Creep-Rupture Performance in X251/X351 Comparable to Benchmarks





Model Laves + Carbide X251 and L1₂ X354 already achieved

comparable creep to state-of-art Cr₂O₃-forming Sanicro 25 but with oxidation advantages of alumina (Note: These AFA alloys also showed ~2x better creep-rupture performance than 1st generation AFA)



Detailed Characterization Conducted for Life-prediction Modeling and Gap Analysis



- Applied multi-scale characterization (SEM, TEM, APT):
 - Second-phase precipitate types, size, distribution, and chemistry
 - To be used for life-prediction / gap analysis























Summary and Future Direction



- Computational thermodynamic tools guided downselection of model AFA alloys to be evaluated
 - Phase equilibrium
 - Oxidation/Creep performance
 - Microstructure
- Two AFA alloys (X251/X354) demonstrated balanced properties of oxidation resistance and creep-rupture performance
 - Already 2x better creep performance than 1st generation AFA
 - Further evaluation is currently in progress
- Development of new tools to provide insights into initial establishment of continuous alumina scales (one key aspect for long-term oxidation resistance)
 - Phase-field modeling supported by experimental O permeation measurements and simulations of O transport in Fe/Ni/ ± Cr or Al (not presented)













Thanks













Phase Field Modeling: Internal to External Oxidation Transition for Continuous Alumina

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



Pacific Northwest

NATIONAL LABORATORY

National Laboratory

NATIONAL LABORATORY

- FST 1943 -



Simulating O transport in Fe/Ni ± Cr or Al



- O diffusivity in fcc Fe/Ni/Cr alloys as a function of composition has been computed using a new multi-scale framework
 - DFT data is used to parameterize a fast TB model for Fe-Cr-Ni-O bonding
 - Nudged elastic band calculations using our TB model give barriers to O diffusion
 - An interaction model gives an analytic model for barriers as a function of local chemistry
 - KMC simulations using interaction model give O transport rates
- Fe/Ni alloys have been used to develop our computational methods other binaries come next followed by ternary Fe/Cr/Ni ; Fe/Ni/Al alloys

Courtesy: Marc Cawkwell/Romain Perriot (LANL)

Accelerating the Development of Extreme Environment Materials









National Laboratory





Oxygen Permeation Study in Model Lean AFA FCC Austenitic Matrix Phase Alloys ~800-1000°C

• Coarse Poly- and Single- Crystal Alloys Grown at Ames Lab to Minimize Alloy Grain Boundary Transport Effects During Oxidation Exposures

Ni ± Cr effects → impacts oxidation and alloy cost; Nb, Ti, V effects → impacts oxidation and strengthening

Variables		Domarks							
valiables	Fe	Cr	Al	С	Ni	Nb	Ti	V	Remarks
	Bal.		2.3		15				
Ni in FeNiAl	Bal.		2.3		20				
	Bal.		2.3		25				
	Bal.	14	2.3	0.1	15				
Ni in FeNiAlCrC	Bal.	14	2.3	0.1	20				
	Bal.	14	2.3	0.1	25				
	Bal.	14	2.3	0.1	20	1.5			0.88 at.% Nb
20101 + 100, 11+V	Bal.	14	2.3	0.1	20		0.5	0.3	0.88 at.% Ti+V

• Findings feed into phase field modeling of internal/external oxidation and establishment of continuous Al₂O₃

• Findings complement simulation efforts for diffusivity of oxygen in FCC Fe(Ni,Cr) and Fe(Ni,Al)









U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

15¹⁵