

FEAA133-Low Cost High Performance Austenitic Stainless Steels for A-USC

<u>Xiang (Frank) Chen</u>¹, Pete Tortorelli², Roger Miller¹, Hong Wang¹, Bruce Pint¹, Edgar Lara-Curzio¹

¹Materials Science and Technology Division, ²ORNL Retiree Subcontractor Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Daniel Purdy Electric Power Research Institute

Dennis Rahoi & Fay Mannon CCM 2000

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



2021 Crosscutting Research and Advanced Energy System Project Review Meeting High Performance Materials Program June 3, 2021

Acknowledgement

- This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy Award Number DE-FEAA133
- NETL: Michael Fasouletos and Briggs White for the programmatic support.
- ORNL: Eric Manneschmidt, Jeremy Moser, Shane Hawkins, Kelsey Hedrick, Rick Lowden, Doug Kyle, and Doug Stringfield for the technical assistance
- EPRI: Scott Bailey for the welding support

Background (1/3)

 CF8C-Plus is a heat- and corrosion-resistant cast austenitic stainless steel developed by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the Caterpillar Technical Center

	С	Si	Mn	Cr	Мо	Ni	Nb	Ν	Fe
CF8C-Plus	0.08	0.5	4.0	19.0	0.3	12.5	0.80	0.25	Bal
CF8C	0.1	1.0	1.0 max	19.0	0.3	10	0.80	-	Bal



As-cast microstructure: CF8C (left) & CF8C-Plus (right)



Nanoscale NbC precipitates in CF8C-Plus (courtesy of EPRI)

Shingledecker et al., Energy Materials 2006

Background (2/3)

- CF8C-Plus shows unique combination of high temperature mechanical properties, corrosion resistance, castability, and weldability
- Moreover, the strength advantages are found in the as-cast condition without additional heat-treatment



CF8C-Plus offers a bridge between 9-12Cr CSEF steels and nickel-based alloys (courtesy of EPRI)



Maziasz and Pint, J ENG GAS TURB POWER, 2011

Background (3/3)

 CF8C-Plus offers impressive economic advantage over other AUSC candidate materials for the temperature range of 600-700°C



Material price per foot to withstand 24MPa steam pressure at designated temperatures

X. Chen, E. Lara-Curzio, Reanalysis of Cost and Moist Air Oxidation Performance for CF8C-Plus and Other Alloys for AUSC Applications, ORNL/TM-2021/1943, https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1782110

Objective: create <u>cast (ORNL lead)</u> and <u>wrought (EPRI lead)</u> CF8C-Plus data packages and pursue ASME code case approvals

- Perform welding of cast CF8C-Plus and obtain tensile and creep data from the weld
- Complete the ASME code case data package for the cast CF8C-Plus
- Produce a 5th heat of wrought CF8C-Plus; evaluate the microstructure induced by processing and how it affects the creep rupture strength; conduct tensile, creep rupture, and welding necessary to support a code case data package



Caterpillar regeneration system housing exhaust component using CF8C-Plus, 550 tons cast made from 2006 - 2011



A 6,700 lbs gas-turbine end-cover component made with cast CF8C-Plus (Maziasz et al., J PRESS VESS-T ASME, 2009)

Milestone Status

Milestones	2019	2020	2021	2022	
	JFMAMJJASOND	JFMAMJJASOND	JFMAMJJASOND	JFMAMJJASOND	
Award	•				
M1: Complete welding of cast CF8C-Plus and conduct bend test					
M2: Begin creep and tensile testing of welded specimens to complete the ASME Code Case for CF8C-Plus steel					
M3: Produce a large, commercial heat of wrought CF8C- Plus for microstructure and mechanical properties evaluation					
M4: Begin interfacing with the ASME code case committee for the code case approval of cast CF8C-Plus					
M5: Conduct welding on the wrought CF8C-Plus					
M6: Complete creep testing to ~8,000 hours on 3rd heat of wrought CF8C-Plus and estimate performance					
M7: Complete preliminary data package for cast CF8C-Plus by ORNL					
M8: Begin interfacing with the ASME code case committee for the code case approval of wrought CF8C-Plus					
M9: Complete testing of weldments and base metal for wrought CF8C-Plus					
M10: Complete preliminary data package for wrought CF8C-Plus by EPRI					
M11: Submit data package and draft code case of cast and wrought CF8C-Plus to ASME					
Completed	milestones		Today		

Ongoing milestones



Cast CF8C-Plus Code Case Application Update*



*95% of the code case data completed with funds from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

Tensile Strength

9



- 51 tensile tests have been performed from 22 to 871°C for three heats of materials
- ASME Sec. II Part D subpart 1 interim yield and tensile strength values have been determined

Effect of Solution Annealing on Tensile Strength



 Conventional solution annealing temperature, i.e., 1100 and 1200 °C, did not result in any softening in cast CF8C-Plus

Creep Life



- 104 creep tests previously performed from 482 to 871°C for three heats of materials accumulating 457,403 hrs (~52 yrs)
- The ASME interim maximum allowable stress values have been calculated by ORNL and, more importantly, by appropriate members of ASME subcommittee

Additional Weld Testing in Support of Cast CF8C-Plus Code Case

- Completed weld fabrication (led by EPRI) and weld qualification (led by ORNL) of a new CF8C-Plus shield metal arc weld (SMAW) made with alloy 117 filler metal
- Specimens have been machined and will be tested to provide weld tensile and creep data



Cross-section view of SMAW of CF8C-Plus



Machining of cross-weld specimens



Machined tensile and bend bar specimens for weld qualification

Code Case Status (ASME Sec I Power Boilers)





Wrought CF8C-Plus Development Status Update



Wrought CF8C-Plus for Power Piping



Materials technology drives higher temperature operation as well as more flexibility (through thin-walled components)

Supports piping upgrades in all extreme environments

• Gen IV Nuclear, advanced HRSGs, AUSC conditions, sCO2 plants, concentrated solar, etc.

Develop wrought form of the cast advanced stainless steel, CF8C-Plus Progress the demonstration from feasibility to commercial readiness





Initial development and demonstration evaluated forged, extruded, and powder metallurgy components

Extensive microstructure characterization in 2016 led to recommended optimized chemistry for the wrought product

Timeline of Previous EPRI Work on Wrought CF8C-Plus







Manufacturing Studies of a High-Temperature Stainless Steel (2017) EPRI Report 3002009212

Tasks and Timeline



Gleeble-based Study for Thermomechanical Properties

- Gleeble used for optimal solutionizing heat treatment and modeling high temperature extrusion
 - Effect of increased solutionizing temperature on NbC precipitates
 - Impact of high temperature deformation (50% stretch)
 - Elevated temperature iso-stress test ("mock-creep test")
- Key microstructural parameters to monitor across these tests
 - a) All solutionizing (15 min) dissolved fine (<50 nm) NbC precipitates with no impact to larger precipitates
 - b) The mock-creep test showed precipitation of fine precipitates in all samples
 - No significant differences across samples with different solutionizing temperature
 - c) High temperature deformation appears to instigate nonuniform precipitation, or increases the driving force such that precipitation occurs on cool-down (<5 minutes)</p>



Conclusions

- Advancing commercial readiness for cast and wrought advanced stainless steel CF8C-Plus
- Engaging U.S. supply chain to produce representative parts
- Significant progress in the preparation of ASME code case for cast CF8C-Plus
- Chemistry- and processing-optimized extruded material follows on-schedule





SETTING THE STANDARD





ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.