

Pressure Driven Oxygen Separation

FWP-73130

David Reed, Kerry Meinhardt, Jon Helgeland, Greg Coffey, and Pepa Matyas

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Richland, WA

2021 Gasification Project Review Meeting

May 4, 2021



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy















Pressure Driven Oxygen Separation

Outline

- Project Description and Objectives
- Project Background
- Critical Factors for Project Success
- Project Update
- Next Steps

n



Project Description and Objectives

The overall goal of the proposed effort is to develop a small scale, modular air separation unit providing 10-40 tons/day of high purity oxygen to a 1-5 MW gasifier at low cost and high efficiency

- Mixed conducting two phase material capable of separating oxygen at 700-800°C.
- Planar membrane/support structure
- Utilize the difference in oxygen partial pressure ulletacross the membrane to drive oxygen from air, no electrical energy needed for oxygen separation







 pO_2^{II}



Oxygen Separation Techniques

- Cryogenic Air Separation mature
 - Low energy demand at high capacity (4000 T/day)
 - Energy demand very high at low capacity (i.e 10-40 T/day)
 - Very high purity (99+)
- Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) mature
 - Economical at lower capacities (i.e. 300-400 T/day)
 - Purity ~ 90 93%
- **Polymer Membranes** mature
 - Low purity ($\sim 40\%$) •
- Ceramic Membranes R&D
 - High purity (99+)
 - Thermal integration
 - Can be economical depending on oxygen permeability
 - Examples: OTM (Oxygen Transport Membrane)

ITM (Ion Transport Membrane) PRODUCTS







Background



<u>Planar</u> vs Tubular Design

- Ease of manufacturing
- High surface area
- Increased sealing surface area •
- Medium temperature (700-900°C) •
- Two phase composite membrane (σ_i and σ_e) •
- SOFC design experience at PNNL •



Upgraded Syngas

Catalyzed OTM

Atmospher

Background



Planar Design

Pure Oxygen

Tubular Design





Bilayer Structure



Thin composite membrane (~ 10 µm)

Porous support (~ 0.5-1mm)

Composite membrane

- Dense
- High σ_i and σ_e •
- Compatible with glass seal
- Inexpensive fabrication
- No electrodes

Porous Support

- $\sim 50\%$ dense \bullet
- TEC match to membrane
- Mechanical integrity
- Co-fired w/ membrane

Design will leverage SOFC stacks developed at PNNL







Composite Membrane







- <u>Two phase composite</u> ($\sigma_i \& \sigma_e$)
- Similar TEC •
- Limited interaction during firing
- High σ_i phase
- Sufficient σ_e phase
- Compatible with glass seal

Ionic Conductor

O²⁻

• Doped CeO_2

Electronic Conductor

- Doped LaMnO₃
- Doped LaFeO₃







Fluorite structure



Perovskite



Composite Membrane O₂ Permeability/Flux Calculations

	Case 1	Case 2	
Ionic conductivity:	0.0233	0.05	S/cm
P(O2)1:	0.2	0.2	atm
P(O2)2:	1.00E-04	1.00E-04	atm
Temp (°C):	<u>(700</u>)	800	>
Temp (K):	973	1073	
Thickness (um):	10	10	
Thickness (cm):	0.001	0.001	
Flux (A/cm2):	3.71	8.78	
Flux (moles O2/cm2-s):	9.62E-06	2.28E-05	
Flux (grams O2/cm2-s):	3.08E-04	7.28E-04	
Flux (grams O2/cm2-h):	1.11	2.62	
Flux (grams O2/cm2-day):	26.59	62.93	
Flux (lbs O2/cm2-day):	5.86E-02	1.39E-01	
Pounds of oxygen required/day:	20000	20000	
Total cell area required (cm2):	341155.97	144162.40	
Cell area(cm2):	420	420	
# of cells required:	812.28	343.24	
Cells/stack:	100	100	
# of stacks required:	8.12	3.43	>

Input Parameters

- σ_i
- pO_2^{I} and pO_2^{II}
- Temperature
- Membrane thickness
- lbs. of oxygen/day
- Cell area
- Cells/stack

Output Value \rightarrow # of stacks required

of stacks appears to be very reasonable for a 10 ton/day modular ASU







Year 1	Membrane and Support Characterization Bilayer Characterization Membrane Oxygen Permeability $\int \frac{1}{1-r} \int \frac{1}{1$
Year 2	Bilayer Interactions Oxygen Permeability Optimization (Barrier layers, Catalysts) Preliminary Stack design
Year 3	Scale up 100 cm ² Cell assembly w/ stainless frames & glass seals Oxygen Permeability Optimization Cost Analysis
Year 4	Scale up to 400 cm ² Cell assembly w/ stainless frames & glass seals Oxygen Permeability Optimization Cost Analysis

Project Schedule



.









Factors Critical for Project Success

- Low-cost materials to enable market penetration and maximize energy efficiency
- **Minimize interactions** between ionic and electronic conducting phases
- **Co-sinter thin composite membrane on low cost porous supports** with minimal warping and cracking
- Design a planar stack architecture with **low-cost fabrication processes**





Ionic Conductor

- Doped CeO₂ $Sm_{Ce}' \rightarrow 2[V_0^{\circ\circ}]$



Fluorite structure

Electronic Conductor

- Doped LaMnO₃/LaFeO₃ •
- Acceptor doped p-type

Sintering Shrinkage



- Minimize stress during sintering .
- Particle size/surface area, composition •

Sintered Density

SDCC/LM90 (vol%) Sintering 50/50 60/40 70/30 Temperature (°C) 97.2 99+ 98.8 1300 1400 99+ 99+ 99+ 1500 99+ 99+ 99+







٠

Membrane needs to be hermetically sealed •

Results



Perovskite

Composite Dilatometry

terial	α (x 10 ⁻⁶)
CC-LM90 50/50	11.11
CC-LM90 60/40	11.57
CC-LM90 70/30	12.02
C20-LSM20 50/50	11.83
C20-LSM20 60/40	11.89
C20-LSM20 70/30	12.09
CC-LSM20 60/40	11.93
CC-LSM20 70/30	11.91

Typical values of α are ~ 12 x 10⁻⁶/°C





- Limited interaction, no 2nd phase formation
- Diffusion of Sr into ceria fluorite structure at \geq 1400°C
- More formation of LaMnO₃ at higher temperatures ullet
- *Sintering < 1400°C to maximize oxygen permeability*

Results





Electrical Conductivity



- Electrical conductivity (σ_{e}) controlled • by perovskite phase
- $\sigma_{\rm e} \sim 4$ orders of magnitude greater than • ionic conductivity (σ_i)
- Percolation in perovskite phase •

Ionic Conductivity



- $\sigma_i \sim 0.07$ at 800°C and 0.03 at 700°C
- $\sim 2/3 \sigma_i$ value used in composite calculations
- Percolation in both phases

Results





0

Composite Membrane Characterization

50/50

60/40 70





Results



70/30

Sintered at 1300°C



Permeability Measurements





- σ_i calculated from oxygen permeability measurements
- Slightly lower than predicted value (Co doping)

Results







- To have a realistic number of stacks for producing 10 T/day of O_2 \rightarrow the membrane thickness needs to be on the order of 10-15 µm.
- Membrane will need to be supported \rightarrow Bilayer Structure

Results





- Dense and thin membrane to maximize the oxygen permeability
- Thick and porous support to provide mechanical integrity and maximize gas diffusion ۲
- Limited interaction during co-sintering \bullet
- Match sintering shrinkage ۲
- Control of microstructure (thickness, size and distribution of porosity, etc.)

Results





Bilayers with Controlled Microstructures

Membrane Thickness



Tailor the membrane thickness by controlling the casting thickness

Vol % Porosity



Tailor porosity by controlling the amount of fugitive phase used in tape cast suspension

Size & distribution of Porosity





Results



12 µm fugitive phase

1 μm fugitive phase

Tailor size & distribution of porosity by controlling size of the fugitive phase



Interaction between Membrane and Support





Insulating LaAlO₃ formation at membrane/support interface – extremely low oxygen flux



Results







- Dense Membrane .
- Larger grains
- Reduced number of TPBs
- Greater interaction/ diffusion of ions

- Dense Membrane
- Finer grains
- Increased number of TPBs
- Less interaction/ diffusion of ions

Results



Porous Membrane ٠

- Finest microstructure
- Potentially non-hermetic •
- Reduced strength ۲



Surface dominated – reduced ٠ thickness

Improve reaction kinetics – increase number of TPBs on both sides

Results



Further improve reaction kinetics – use of know catalyst at TPBs



Bilayer Microstructures Gd doped CeO_2 w/ $La_{0.75}Sr_{0.2}MnO_3$

Planar Membrane

w/ Barrier Layers



Results



w/ Barrier Layers & Catalyst



Bilayer Permeability

Gd doped CeO_2 w/ $La_{0.75}Sr_{0.2}MnO_3$



Results





Bilayer Permeability

 $Gd \ doped \ CeO_2 \ w/La_{0.75}Sr_{0.2}MnO_3 \ (LSM20)$ $Gd \ doped \ CeO_2 \ w/La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Fe_{0.8}SCo_{0.2}O_3 \ (LSCF)$



Results



Will also investigate use of catalysts on LSCF based membranes



Ceramic Membrane Technology

Stack Design



Top View



Ceramic Membrane Technology

Low-Cost Materials and Processes

<u>Materials</u>

- > Membrane (least amount of material used ~ 10-15 μ m thick)
 - Ionic conductor $Ce_{1-x}Gd_xO_{2-x}$
 - Electronic conductor $La_{0.75}Sr_{0.2}O_{3-x}$
- ➢ Support − MgO
- ➢ Glass seal (BaO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂)
- ➢ Frames, gas isolation plates and corrugated supports
 - 400 series stainless steel

Fabrication processes

- Membrane and support used traditional thick film processing
 - Tape casting
 - Screen printing



Stainless steel frames, gas isolation plates and corrugated supports will be stamped





26



0

Ceramic Membrane Technology

Next Steps

Fiscal Year	ID	Description	Planned/ Expected Completion Date	Complete	Verification
3	M1	Demonstrate scale up of bilayer structure (7.5 cm x 7.5 cm)	3/31/2021	~	Bilayer structure will be flat an membrane co-sintered on a po structures
3	M2	Optimize oxygen flux for 1" diameter bilayer structures using barrier layers and catalysts	4/30/2021	~	Oxygen flux values will be com calculated at various temperatures
3	M3	O_2 permeation for 3" diameter (~50 cm ²)	11/30/2021		Redesign/reconfigure oxygen perm test bilayer structures up to 50 values of larger 50 cm ² bila
3	M4	3 cell stack fabrication (56 cm^2)	11/30/2021		Demonstrate a stack build utiliz structures with low cost fi
3	M5	Techno-economic analysis	11/30/2021		Complete a preliminary techno-ed technology baselining the

Method

nd crack free with a dense prous support using bilayer in M2

npared to theoretical values on bilayer structures of 5cm².

neation measurement set-up to

 cm^2 . Compare permeation ayers to 5 cm^2 samples.

zing 7.5 cm x 7.5 cm bilayer rames and glass seals. conomic analysis on the ASU cost and performance



Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Venkat Venkataraman, David Lyons, and Jai-Woh Kim from DOE Office of Fossil Energy for their support of this project.

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy Award Number DE-FE0073130.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.