

#### FWP-FEAA 384

# Intensified, Flexible, and Modular Carbon Capture Demonstration with Additively Manufactured Multi-Functional Device

Costas Tsouris, Josh Thompson, Gyoung Jang, Jim Parks Manufacturing Science Division, Energy Science & Technology Directorate National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Capture, 2021 Integrated Review Webinar August 12, 2021

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



## **Program Overview**

- Funding provided by DOE-FE: \$1.5M
- Overall Project Performance Dates: January 1, 2021 – December 31, 2022
- Project Participants:
  Costas Tsouris, Josh Thompson, Gyoung Jang, Jim Parks
- Previous Project: FWP-FEAA375
  January 1, 2020 December 31, 2020
  Objective: Test intensified process with low-aqueous solvent



## **Overall Project Objectives**

- Design, and construct a larger-scale column (Column B) than the one previously tested at ORNL to:
  - Further demonstrate enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> capture with 3D printed intensified devices for aqueous amine-based capture at realistic operating conditions
  - Demonstrate that Column B can be modularized with segmented packing elements and intensified devices for low-aqueous-solvent based capture
  - Demonstrate that Column B can be used to effectively capture  $CO_2$  from different  $CO_2$  gas compositions and during process transients (i.e., capacity ramping up and down anticipating the intermittent nature of renewable generations).



# **Technology Background** How the technology is envisioned to work in operation:

CAK RIDGE



Absorption/Desorption System at the National Carbon Capture Center NCCC)  $2MEA + CO_2 \rightleftharpoons MEAH^+ + MEACOO^- (+ 79-100 \text{ KJ/mol}) \text{ (Exothermic)}$ Intensified packing device to allow in situ cooling

# **Technology Background** Technology development efforts prior to current project:





• System tested for hydraulic and heat transfer performance with favorable results



Miramontes, E.; Love, L.J.; Lai, C.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. Additively Manufactured Packed Bed Device..., *Chem. Eng. J.*, **388**, 124092, (2020).

# **Technology Background** Tests for CO<sub>2</sub> capture enhancement:





Schematic of testing facility and absorption column CPE: Commercial Packing Element



## **Technology Background** Testing of intrastage cooling with aqueous MEA:

Air Flow Rate (LPM)	CO <sub>2</sub> Flow Rate (LPM)	CO₂ Conc. (%)	Molar Capture Rate Before Cooling (mol/min)	Molar Capture Rate After Cooling (mol/min)	Fractional Increase (%)	Capture Efficiency (%) (Before → After Cooling)
810	90	10	2.24	2.30	2.7	59.9 → 61.2
510	90	15	2.75	2.90	5.5	73 → 77
360	90	20	2.95	3.29	11.5	$78 \rightarrow 88$
264	90	25	3.52	3.57	4.3	$94 \rightarrow 98$
360	40	10	1.38	1.45	5.1	83 → 87
360	63.5	15	1.53	1.77	15.7	58 → 67
360	90	20	2.95	3.29	11.5	$78 \rightarrow 88$
360	120	25	3.07	3.28	6.9	62 → 66

Solvent flowrate: 3.2 LPM

Solvent input temperature: 70 °C



• Miramontes, E.; Jiang, E.A.; Love, L.J.; Lai, C.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption Using a 3D Printed Intensified Packing Device, *AIChE J.* **e16285**, (2020).

## Technology Background – Testing with RTI's low-aqueous solvent

Exp.	Solvent Condition	Solvent Flowrate (LPM)	Air Flowrate (SLPM)	CO <sub>2</sub> Flowrate (SLPM)	CO₂ Amount (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> output before cooling (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> output after cooling (%)	Capture efficiency (%) (before → after)	Fractional Improvement (%)*	Feed temp. (°C)	Average Temp.	
											No- cooling	Cooling
1	Pristine	3.26	510	90	13.8	2.21	0.64	84 <b>→</b> 95.4 ( <b>11.4</b> ↑)	13.5	59	60.7	52.2
2	Pristine	3.26	510	90	14.0	1.95	0.47	86 → 96.6( <b>10.6</b> ↑)	12.3	52	59.6	50.4
3	Pristine	3.26	510	90	13.8	1.61	0.64	88.3 → 95.4 ( <b>7.1</b> ↑)	8.0	45	58.6	50.0
4	1 <sup>st</sup> Regen.	3.26	510	90	14.7	3.18	1.57	78.4 → 89.4( <b>11.0</b> ↑)	14.0	41	54.5	45.3
5	2 <sup>nd</sup> Regen	3.26	608	107	13.1	3.75	2.23	71.3 → 82.9( <b>11.6</b> ↑)	16.3	44	55.2	46.8
6	2 <sup>nd</sup> Regen + DI H <sub>2</sub> O(5L)	3.26	608	107	13.0	2.94	2.08	77.4 → 84.0( <b>6.6</b> ↑)	8.5	44	55.4	46.9
7	3rd Regen	3.26	425	75	13.3	1.19	0.67	91.1 → 95.0( <b>3.9</b> ↑)	4.3	41	52.8	44.9
8	3 <sup>rd</sup> Regen	2.82	510	90	13.1	2.75	1.75	79.1 → 86.7( <b>7.6</b> ↑)	9.7	41	53.8	46.6
9	4 <sup>th</sup> Regen	3.26	353	62	13.3	0.79	0.44	94.0 → 96.6( <b>2.6</b> ↑)	2.8	41	49.8	39.7
10	4 <sup>th</sup> Regen	3.65	510	90	12.8	2.16	1.13	83.2 → 91.2( <b>8.0</b> ↑)	9.7	41	52.7	44.2
11	5 <sup>th</sup> Regen	2.39	510	90	13.1	5.85	4.71	55.3 → 64.0( <b>8.7</b> ↑)	15.7	41	52.3	45.5
12	5 <sup>th</sup> Regen	2.82	510	90	13.0	4.92	3.25	62.2 → 75.0( <b>12.8</b> ↑)	20.7	41	54.2	46.4
13	6 <sup>th</sup> Regen	3.26	510	90	13.2	5.74	3.86	56.7 → 70.9( <b>14.2</b> ↑)	25.1	41	53.5	45.0
14	6 <sup>th</sup> Regen + DI H <sub>2</sub> O(5L)	3.26	510	90	13.1	5.33	4.73	59.3 → 63.9( <b>4.6</b> ↑)	7.8	41	52.1	43.5

CAK RIDGE

8

• Jang, G.G.; Thompson, J.A.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. "Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture by Low-Aqueous Solvent," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **426**, 131240, (2021).

#### **Comparison of MEA and LAS Performance**



15% CO<sub>2</sub> 600 LPM/ Solvent flowrate of 3.2 LPM

#### LAS is more heat-sensitive compared to aqueous MEA



9

• Jang, G.G.; Thompson, J.A.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. "Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture by Low-Aqueous Solvent," *Chemical Engineering Journal*, **426**, 131240, (2021).

## Technical Approach/Project Scope

- Scale up CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate by a factor of 10 from Column A to Column B
  - Construct Column B
- Scale up intensified device
- Demonstrate enhanced capture by aqueous MEA using intrastage cooling
- Demonstrate enhanced capture by LAS using intrastage cooling
- Demonstrate modularization with one or more packing elements for each module
- Demonstrate smooth operation with variable gas feed flowrate and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration

10 **CAK RIDGE** National Laboratory <u>Motivation:</u> Intrastage cooling with intensified devices may have economic and operational advantages over interstage cooling

# Technical Approach/Project Scope Project Schedule: Two-year project

- Task 1.0 Project Management and Planning (1-24 Months)
- Task 2.0 Design Evaluation and Construction of Column B based on Results from FEAA375 (1-12)
  - Modelneeded
- Task 3.0 Advanced Manufacturing and Core Metrics Testing of Intensified Device for Column B (1-15)
- Task 4.0 Using NTRC Engine Combustion Exhaust to Simulate Various Flue Gas Compositions (1-15)
- Task 5.0 Test Plan Development for Subsequent Tasks (13-15)
- Task 6.0 Aqueous Solvent Capture with Simulated Coal-Fired Power Plant Flue Gas (13-16)
- Task 7.0 Aqueous Solvent Capture with Simulated Natural Gas-Fired Power Plant Flue Gas (17-19)
- Task 8.0 Aqueous Solvent Capture under Process Transients (20-21)
- Task 9.0 Column B Modification and Demonstration of Modular Capture with Low-aqueous Solvent (22-24)
- Task 10 Collaboration with CCSI<sup>2</sup> on Modeling of Process Intensification with Column B Results (1-24)



#### **Progress and Current Status of Project Modeling Framework:**



Thompson, Tsouris, "Rate-Based Absorption Modeling for Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture with Additively-Manufactured Structured Packing", *Submitted*.



## **Modeling Framework**

**CAK RIDGE** 

National Laboratory

13



- Chemical & Vapor-Liquid Equilibria with Kent-Eisenberg Equations
- Rate-based model utilizes Wang-Song-Rochelle correlations for mass transfer and Enhancement factor models for reaction
- Model validated with published CO<sub>2</sub> solubility and pilot data

## **Modeling Framework**

MEA

30 wt%

**CAK RIDGE** 

National Laboratory

14



• Chemical & Vapor-Liquid Equilibria with Kent-Eisenberg Equations

- Rate-based model utilizes Wang-Song-Rochelle correlations for mass transfer and Enhancement factor models for reaction
- Model validated with published CO<sub>2</sub> solubility and pilot data

# Modeling MEA w/Intrastage Cooling



- Simulation of intrastage cooling with device shows good agreement with experiments from Miramontes *et al*.
  - $CO_2$  Capture difference all <= 5%
- CO<sub>2</sub> capture improvement and temperature profile agreement suggests modeling framework for heat transfer is accurate in predicting device performance

# Modeling Framework Applied to Other Solvents

- In process of extending modeling framework to other solvents
- Speed up evaluation of alternative solvents and how intensified device may improve  $\rm CO_2$  capture
- Currently applied to aqueous piperazine (PZ) and a low-aqueous solvent (LAS)



LAS Pilot Data Jang et al., Chem. Eng. J., 2021, Vol. 426, 131240

16

PZ Pilot Data Plaza, Ph.D. Thesis 2012

#### Location of New Column

17



#### **Process Flow Diagram for Column Design**



CAK RIDGE

18

Process flow and equipment is essential to proper design around absorption column

#### Modular Column Design





## Scale-up of Intensified Device from 8" to 12" Diameter

- New unit cell geometry
- Added flanges and hole pattern for system integration
- Added supports for printability







#### **Current Status of Project**

- Currently working on Column B construction with significant progress in all supporting subsystems:
  - Column B, including modular sections with packing elements
  - Intensified devices
  - Gas generator and load bank systems
  - Gas conditioning systems for the feed and exhaust gases
  - Gas and solvent delivery systems
  - Solvent storage and regeneration systems
  - Sensors and controls for the column and data acquisition systems



## Plans for Future Testing/Development/Commercialization

- Construction of Column B and hydraulic testing expected to be completed by end of Quarter 1 of FY 2022
- Testing with aqueous MEA will be initiated in Quarter 2 of FY 2022
- The geometry of the intensified device, as well as location of intensified devices along the column, are being optimized under a TCF project
- Under the TCF project, a surface coating has been successfully tested to prevent corrosion of the intensified device in aqueous MEA
- The partners on the TCF project including Volunteer Aerospace, RTI International, Oxford PM, and AristoSys are actively engaged and are willing to help toward commercialization



#### Summary

- Work in all tasks of the project has progressed well so far
- Spending rate has been slow because equipment purchasing has been slow, but it is expected to increase this Quarter
- Quotes for most of the equipment needed have been obtained
- Modeling work has been very helpful for column design
- Efforts toward commercialization are progressing well
- Previous work under FEAA130 and FEAA375 has been published
- Additional manuscripts supported by FEAA384 and the TCF project have been submitted for publication in July of 2021



#### **Publications**

- Bolton, S.; Kasturi, A.; Palko, S.; Lai, C.; Love, L.; Parks, J.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. "3D Printed Structures for Optimized Carbon Capture Technology in Packed Bed Columns," Sep. Sci. Technol., 54, 2047-2058 (2019). doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1622566.
- Miramontes, E.; Love, L.J.; Lai, C.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. Additively Manufactured Packed Bed Device for Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption and Other Chemical Processes, Chem. Eng. J., 388, 124092, (2020). doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124092.
- Miramontes, E.; Jiang, E.A.; Love, L.J.; Lai, C.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption Using a 3D Printed Intensified Packing Device, AIChE J. e16285. (2020). doi:10.1002/aic.16285.
- Jang, G.G.; Thompson, J.A.; Sun, X.; Tsouris, C. "Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture by Low-Aqueous Solvent," Chem. Eng. J., 426, 131240, (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.131240.
- Thompson, J.A.; Tsouris, C. "Rate-Based Absorption Modeling for Post-Combustion CO<sub>2</sub> Capture with Additively-Manufactured Structured Packing," Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., submitted, 2021.
- Jang, G.G.; Jun, J.; Su, Y.-F.; Keum, J.K.; DeFelice, V.; Decarmine, T.; Jones, J.; Tsouris, C. "Corrosion Prevention of Additively Manufactured Aluminum Packing Devices Developed for Process Intensification of CO<sub>2</sub> Capture by Aqueous Amines," Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., submitted, 2021.

