High Temperature Ceramic-Carbonate Dual-Phase Membrane Reactor for Pre-Combustion Carbon Dioxide Capture

Award No: DE-FE0031634



Jerry Y.S. Lin Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona

Kevin Huang University of South Carolina Columbia, SC

August 16, 2021

Overview

Timeline

- ✓ Project start date:
 Oct. 1, 2018
- ✓ Project end date:
 Sep. 30, 2021
- ✓ Budget Periods:
 - I: 10/1/2018-3/31/2020
 - II: 4/1/2020-9/30/2021

Budget

- ✓ Total project funding
 - DOE \$800,000
 - Cost-share: **\$200,007**
 - □ Total: **\$1,000,007**

Research Area 1

 ✓ Lab-Scale CO₂ Capture Development and Testing on Simulated Syngas

Partners

- ✓ Arizona State University (ASU)
- University of South Carolina (USC)

Membrane Reactor for IGCC process with Precombustion CO₂ Capture



Concept of Ceramic-Carbonate Dual-Phase (CCDP) Membrane



M Anderson & YS Lin, Proc. ICIM2006, pp. 678-681 (2006); J. Membr. Sci. 357, 122(2010)

Project Objectives

- To synthesize the chemically/thermally stable Ceramic Carbonate Dual-Phase (CCDP) membranes.
 - ✓ CO₂ permeance > 2000 GPU ($6.5x10^{-7}$ mol/m²·s·Pa)
 - ✓ Selectivity > 500
 - ✓ Resistant to H_2S
- To fabricate tubular CCDP membrane reactor modules.
 - ✓ High-temperature >700 °C
 - ✓ High-pressure > 20 atm
 - ✓ WGS membrane reactor applications.
- To identify experimental conditions for WGS.
 - ✓ 99% purity of CO_2 stream
 - ✓ 90% purity of H_2 stream

Budget Period 2 April 2020 – September 2021 Progress and Accomplishments

Task 1.0 Project Management and Planning

- □ The ASU and USC completed contract negotiation.
- □ Both ASU and USC teams recruited post-doctoral fellows for working on the project.
- □ ASU and USC teams held one monthly teleconference to review the progress of the research.
- □ Eleven quarterly reports were submitted on time between October 2019 and June 2021.
- □ We accomplished four of the five milestones of the project.
- □ We attended the conference "Addressing the Nation's Energy Needs Through Technology Innovation - 2019 Carbon Capture, Utilization, Storage, and Oil and Gas Technologies Integrated Review Meeting" from August 26 – August 30, 2019
- □ We presented the Budget Period 1: Progress and Accomplishments, on March 18, 2020.
- Publications and Presentations:
 - # Three scientific papers were published in peer reviewed journals.
 - S. Sun, K. Huang, Efficient and Selective Ethane-to Ethylene conversion assisted by a mixed electron and proton conducting membrane, J. Membr. Sci., 599 (2020), 117840
 - S. Sun, Y. Wen, K. Huang. A New Ceramic–Carbonate Dual-Phase Membrane for High-Flux CO2 Capture. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2021, 9, 5454-5460.
 - L. Meng, O. Ovalle-Encinia, and J.Y.S. Lin. Catalyst-Free Ceramic-Carbonate Dual-Phase Membrane Reactors for High Temperature Water Gas Shift: A Simulation Study. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2021, 60, 3581-3588.
 - # One poster was presented on NAMS 2020 Online Conference, on May 18, 2020.
 - # Two presentations were given at the MST2020 virtual conference on November 2, 2020.

Task 3.0 – High Temperature, High Pressure, CO₂ Permeation Studies

□ Subtask 3.1 – Construction of high-temperature and high-pressure CO₂ permeation/separation setup



Module head (Sweep gas inlet)





Set-up for HT and HP WGS MR tests

Milestone B accomplished

Schematic representation of the setup for high-temperature and high-pressure CO_2 separation and water gas-shift (WGS) reaction tests



Task 3.0 – High Temperature, High Pressure, CO₂ Permeation Studies Subtask 3.1 – High-Pressure CO2 Permeation and Separation Study

Feed gas: CO_2/N_2 (25/25 ml·min⁻¹) Sweep gas: helium (50 ml·min⁻¹)

$$J_{CO_2} = \frac{kRT}{4F^2L} \ln\left(\frac{P_{CO_2}'}{P_{CO_2}''}\right)$$

Carbonate conducting ratelimiting for this CCDP membrane

(A) (B) 810°C 0.6 0.6 J_{co2} (ml min⁻¹ cm⁻²) 60 70 70 J_{CO2} (ml min⁻¹ cm⁻²) 60 70 70 760°C 710°C 660°C 0.0 0.0 2 3 4 5 Ln(P'_{CO2}/P"_{CO2}) 18 16 Total feed pressure (bar)

Ce_{0.8}Sm_{0.2}O_{2-δ}(SDC)- (52/48 Li/Na/)₂CO₃ (MC)

Task 3.0 – High Temperature, High Pressure, CO₂ Permeation Studies

□ Subtask 3.1 – High-Pressure CO₂ Permeation and Separation Study

Helium permeance for dense SDC-MC membranes after CO₂separation test under different operating conditions

Momhr	Experimenta	l Conditio	ns	Helium Permeance (mol·s ⁻¹ ·m ⁻² ·Pa ⁻¹)					
Memor.	Feed gas	Max. Temp. (°C)	Max. P (bar)#	Before test	After test				
1	CO ₂ /N ₂	810	15	~10 ⁻¹⁰	8.1x10 ⁻¹⁰				
2	CO_2/N_2	810	8	~10 ⁻¹⁰	1.9×10^{-10}				
3	$CO/H_2O/CO_2/N_2$	810	10	~10 ⁻¹⁰	3.7×10^{-10}				
4	CO/H ₂ O/CO ₂ /N ₂	CO/H ₂ O/CO ₂ /N ₂ 810 7		~10 ⁻¹⁰	1.6×10^{-10}				
	0 1 1			~ 1					

Surfaces Views of the High-Pressure Side



- Maximum feed total pressure during experiments. Sweep total pressure is 1 bar.





Task 3.0 – High Temperature, High Pressure, CO₂ Permeation Studies

□ Subtask 3.1 – High-Pressure CO₂ Permeation and Separation Study



(B) Low-pressure membrane side (permeate side)

Task 6.0 – Fabrication and Characterization of ScSZ-MC Tubular Membrane Sc-doped ZrO₂ (ScSZ) - (52/48 Li/Na)₂CO₃ (MC)





ScSZ-MC



Cross-section of the ScSZ dead-end tubular support sintered at 1000°C



Cross-section of the ScSZ-MC membrane

13

Task 6.0 – *Fabrication and Characterization of ScSZ-MC Tubular Membrane CO*²*permeation flux of ScSZ tubular membrane with 50vol% carbon black as pore-former*



14

Task 7.0 – Modeling and analysis of CCDP membrane reactor for WGS

00

100

Percentage / %

60

40

·20

900

 $\frac{dF_{CO_2,P}}{dF_{CO_2}} = AJ_{CO_2}$

 $\frac{dF_{i,R}}{dz} = v_i r_{CO} - AJ_i$

CO₂ capture ratio

H_a purity in the retentate

800

850

dz

CO conversion

0.4

CCDP MR

0.2

CCDP-MR

FBR

900

850

con

.0

→ **())**+ ())

0.6 z/L / -

1.0

0.8-

0.6

0.4

0.2-

0.0

600

650

700

750

Temperature / °C

 CO_2 flux in the permeate / ml min⁻¹ cm⁻²

H₂ purity 80 8

0.8

-60

1.0

Gasifier

syngas

00

 CO_2

CO

 H_2

 N_2

 $H_2O(v)$

100

80

60

40.

20

0.

600

Equilibrium

650

700

750

Temperature / °C

800

CO conversion / %

Reaction rate of CO



CO₂ permeation flux equation for CCDP membrane

$$J_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{\alpha RT}{4nF^2 L} (p_{\rm CO_2,R}^n - p_{\rm CO_2,P}^n)$$



- Feed gas (air-blown gasifier)
- 23% H₂ > 52% N₂
- ▶ 16% CO > steam/CO

= 4.0

➢ 9% CO₂

- Feed pressure 30 bar
- Sweep pressure 1 bar
- GHSV 25344 h⁻¹



Task 8.0 – Experimental studies on WGS in dual-phase membrane reactors

Effect of high temperatures, feed pressures, and steam to carbon (S/C) ratio on WGS reaction in the membrane reactor Membrane reactor: SDC-MC without catalyst



Effect of **high temperatures**, **feed pressures**, and **S/C** ratio on WGS reaction in the membrane reactor

Membrane reactor: SDC-MC without catalyst

Feed gas composition

CO 4.9 ml·min⁻¹

CO₂ 1.4 ml·min⁻¹

 N_2 10 ml·min⁻¹

Feed gas	Samples A-B	Sample C	Sample D			
	(ml/min)	(ml/min)	(ml/min)			
$H_2O(v)$	34.2	51.3	98.7			
Total flow rate	50.5	67.6	115			
SV (h ⁻¹)	168	225	383			

Low SV improves the WGS reaction kinetic

Experiment C‡	
Carbon balance:	85%
Space velocity (SV):	225 h ⁻¹

Membrane	Feed Pressure	Temp.	S/C	MR CO	Eq.	FB	CO ₂	Pern (ml/m	Mem. Area		
	(bar)	(°C)	2,0	Con.	Con.	12	Recov.	CO ₂	N ₂ leak	(cm^2)	
	1			65			9	0.12	0		
В	3	800	4	80	76	56	17	0.25	0	2.6	
	5			84		17	0.26	0.03			
•	1	850	4	69	73	62	19	0.32	0.02	26	
A	7	850	4	92	13	02	29	0.53	0.1	2.0	
C ‡	7	850	7	95	82	62	30.1	0.56	0.04	2.6	
D	7			97		62	30	0.55	0.04		
D After 0.5h	7	850	14	94	91	62	53	1.0	1.5	2.6	
	7			96		62	15	0.37	0		
E	10	850	350 14	95	91	62	17	0.44	0	1.8	
	13	3		97		62	42	1.09	1		

Water gas-shift (WGS) reaction in membrane reactor with side reactions

WGS

 $CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$, $\Delta H_{298K} = -41.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

WGS reaction favored at the following operating conditions in the MR:

- \circ High temperature (>800 °C)
- *High feed pressure (>5bar)*
- $Low SV(100-400 h^{-1})$
- \circ High CO₂ permeation flux

Boudouard reaction (coking formation)

 $2CO \leftrightarrow C + CO_2$, $\Delta H_{298K} = -172 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

s in Coke formation favored at the following operating conditions in the MR:

- \circ Low temperature (<850 °C)
- *High feed pressure (>5bar)*
- $\circ Low SV(100-400 h^{-1})$
- \circ High CO₂ permeation flux

Operating conditions for WGS optimization with coking formation minimization

- *HT catalyst with high SV* (> $1000 h^{-1}$)
- *High temperatures* (850-950 °C)
- Intermediate feed pressure (~7 bar)
- \circ High CO₂ permeation flux

Water gas-shift (WGS) reaction in **fixed-bed reactor** with catalyst [a $Co-Mo-Mg(AlO_2)_2$ based catalyst]

Effects of operating conditions of temperature, total feed pressure and SV for WGS with HT catalyst and coking formation minimization

Water gas-shift (WGS) reaction in *membrane reactor SDC-MC* with catalyst [a Co-Mo-Mg(AlO₂)₂ based catalyst]

Effects of operating conditions for WGS reaction with HT catalyst and coking formation minimization in MR

Carbon Balance > 95%at

temperature > 850 °C
feed pressure between 7-10 bar
SV > 1140 h⁻¹

CO conversion > Equilibrium at

temperatures > 850 °C
feed pressure between 7-10 bar
SV between 1140-1995 h⁻¹
CO₂ recovery ~ 36%

Water gas-shift (WGS) reaction in membrane reactor SDC-MC with catalyst [a $Co-Mo-Mg(AlO_2)_2$ based catalyst] at temperatures between 800-950 ° C

Permeation cell enclosed with inert gas

Results performed in the setup with inert gas

CO conversion and CO_2 recovery stability at 950 °C for 3 h Carbon balance ~ 100% N_2 leakage negligible

Water gas-shift (WGS) reaction in *membrane reactor ScSZ-MC* without and with catalyst [a Co-Mo-Mg(AlO₂)₂ based catalyst]

ScSZ-MC dead-end tubular membrane fabricated at USC

- ✓ Univ of South Caroline (USC) team sent ScSZ-MC dead-end tubular membranes to Arizona State University (ASU) team.
- ✓ The ScSZ-MC membranes were mounted in the HT and HP setup and permeation cell for WGS reaction at ASU.
- ✓ WGS reaction with catalyst was performed in membrane reactor ScSZ-MC.

Total pressure (bar)	
Feed side	1
Sweep side	1
Feed gas flow rate (ml/min)	
CO	4.8
CO_2	1.3
N_2	3.9
H_2O	25.8
Space velocity (h ⁻¹)	645
Sweep gas flow rate (ml/min)	
Не	100
Feed gas molar composition (mol%)	
CO	16.2
CO_2	4.6
N_2	14.6
H ₂ O	64.4

Milestone Status Report

ID	Task	Description	Planned Completion	Actual Completion	Verification Method				
А	1	Update Project Management Plan	11/30/2018	11/30/2018	Project Management Plan submitted to DOE				
в	3	Establish high temperature and high-pressure membrane permeation and reactor system (including modules)	3/31/2019	3/31/2019	Report to DOE				
С	8.1	Complete WGS reaction in CCDP membrane reactor with contaminant-free syngas, with CO conversion of 95%	3/31/2020	12/31/2020	Report to DOE				
D	6	Fabricate CCDP membrane tubes with CO ₂ permeance 2000 GPU and stability under 30 atm transmembrane pressure drop	12/31/2020	6/30/2021	Report to DOE				
E	8.3	Complete WGS reaction in H ₂ S resistant CCDP membrane reactor with simulated coal-gasified gas with H ₂ S with CO conversion of 99%	9/31/2021		Report to DOE				

Plan for the final stage of the project

Task						BP 1				BP 2			
	Start date	End Date	Cost	Q1	Q2	Q3(24 Q5	5Q6	Q7 Q8	3Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12
Task 6.0 - Fabrication and Characterization of Sc-ZrO ₂ Tubular Membranes (USC)		3/31/21											
Task 8.0 Experimental Studies on WGS in Dual-Phase Membrane Reactors (ASU)		9/31/21											
Subask 8.2 Modeling WGS reaction ScSZ-MC membrane reactor		6/31/21											
Subtask 8.3 Experiments on WGS reaction on ScSZ-MC membrane reactor		9/31/21											
Task 9.0 Process Design and Techno-Economic Analysis (ASU)4		9/31/21											

Tasks for the remaining quarters 12 and achieving the last milestone:

- 1. Further optimizing WGS in SDC-MC membrane reactor to achieve target conversion, recovery and steam purity
- 2. WGS reaction in ScSZ-MC membrane reactor modeling
- 3. Experiments on WGS reaction on ScSZ-MC membrane reactor including stability study
- 4. Process Design and Techno-Economic Analysis

Disclaimer

"This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."