

# Delivering over 90% CO<sub>2</sub> capture – learnings from modelling and pilot plant studies

<u>Mai Bui</u>,<sup>1,2</sup> Patrick Brandl,<sup>1,2</sup> David Danaci,<sup>3</sup> Jason P. Hallett,<sup>3</sup> Camill Petit,<sup>3</sup> Niall Mac Dowell<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

Centre for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London
 Centre for Process Systems Engineering, Imperial College London
 Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London
 m.bui@imperial.ac.uk
 niall@imperial.ac.uk

### **Technology development & delivery**

#### **Process modelling** Develop understanding of the impacts on cost and technical performance Process modelling in gPROMS and MATLAB MATLAB PROMS 500 MW NGCC 500 MW NGCC 50 % EGR 500 MW high-rank PC 500 MW low-rank PC **Operating Cost Fraction (%)** 500 MW biomass 1 MMtpa cement 400 Indirect capture via 5 5 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 \* 1 MMtpa steel 25 300 negative emissions 90% capture rate 99% capture rate Min~Max Median 20 200 TAC in \$/ 125 15 100 -100 100 10 75 12:48 50 26 18 10 18 26 Ŭ0 2 3 Yoo. [% moi Yco, [%mail Mtpa<sub>cc</sub>

#### Demonstration

Demonstrates feasibility and develop understanding of plant operation



## Modelling – steady state

International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 105 (2021) 103239



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijggc

#### Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost?

Patrick Brandl<sup>a, c</sup>, Mai Bui<sup>a, c</sup>, Jason P. Hallett<sup>b</sup>, Niall Mac Dowell<sup>a, c, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Process Systems Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK <sup>c</sup> Centre for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 1NE, UK



pubs.acs.org/est

#### En Route to Zero Emissions for Power and Industry with Amine-Based Post-combustion Capture

David Danaci,<sup>||</sup> Mai Bui,<sup>||</sup> Camille Petit,\* and Niall Mac Dowell\*

Cite This: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07261



### **Demonstration tests – steady state & dynamic**

#### International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 93 (2020) 102879



Demonstrating flexible operation of the Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM)  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  capture plant

Mai Bui<sup>a,b</sup>, Nina E. Flø<sup>c</sup>, Thomas de Cazenove<sup>c</sup>, Niall Mac Dowell<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Process Systems Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2AZ UK <sup>b</sup> Centres for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 1NA UK <sup>c</sup> Technology Centre Mongstad (TCM), 5954 Mongstad Norway



Start-up and shutdown protocol for power stations with  $CO_2$  capture

(under review)

Article

### History of carbon capture and storage (CCS)

### Imperial College London

Feron et al. evaluate Baes Jr. et al. at Oak Barchas reports about combined power and Steinberg et al. **Ridge National Lab** the Kerr-McGee/ABB Absorption-based capture plant for gas publish report series publish first work on Lummus Crest and coal at 90% and CCS. Assume 90%<sub>cap</sub>. including technotechnology for a range of 95%cap, and 99%cap. economic analysis  $CO_2$  capture flue gases all with 90% cap. Assume 90% Marchetti describes Steinberg et al. Mores et al. design for the first time the technology was **CANSOLV** achieves Sander states from Brookhaven the capture unit for concept of CCS. capture rates Nat. Lab. begin capture rates Albanese and Steinberg combined cycle Assumes 50% and between 85% can patented in 1930. work on process 85% can - 95% can compare capture and 95%<sub>cap</sub> power plants for Jiang et al. reach 90% can from concentrated design. Assume technologies at 50% and 85% can - 95% can. negative emissions depending on flue flue gas 90% ..... and 90% cap. Also analyse by co-firing coal gas composition R. Bottoms\* and Garðarsdóttir et impact of capture rate with biomass and Allen et al. file Rump et al. al. analyse impact on generic separation 99%<sub>cap</sub>. Hendriks et al. compare analyse the supply Abu-Zahra et al. Although studies for patent of scale and CO<sub>3</sub> process. post-combustion of CO, for EOR. present technoclaiming CO<sub>2</sub> composition on capture for NGCC, coal -Assume 90% cap. economic evaluation capture solvents consider different investment cost fired, and IGCC for 80% and 90% and for Sweden. assuming 90%can. 95% and 99% and applications and solvents, most 1930 1975 1977 1978 1980 1981 2016 2018 2019 2020 studies have assumed a  $CO_2$ Herzog et al. Conference Conference: The Yagi et al. and Leci UK commits to capture rate of techno-Global engineering legally binding net-Nordhaus\* and Goldthorpe Mustacchi et al. Horn and Steinberg economically Greenhouse response to zero target by 2050 evaluate the process publishes "Can we 90%. analyse seawater describe the first assesses CO. global change. Problem performance and cost control carbon capture. State oxyfuel process capture MIT, USA Daytona Beach dioxide?" for chemical solvents 95% cap and 99% cap assuming 90% can Hirata et al. report technologies at 90% ..... about reaching near-zero assuming 90%, by using the KM CDR™ Where did this process for coal power. Flø et al. analyses the Conference: 1120 t<sub>co2</sub>/d capture Conference: CO. dynamic behaviour of a Interaction of Energy plant at natural gas reduction and removal post-combustion capture assumption come and Climate Change. fired flue gas Measures of the next unit and its impact on Munster, Germany. conditions starts century?, Laxenberg, capture rate and cost from? operation. Up to Austria \* don't mention capture rates 98% ran possible.

Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost? *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 105, 103239.



Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost? *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 105, 103239.

#### Imperial College London

# Emission sources vary in size & CO<sub>2</sub> concentration

Application	Flow rate [kg/s]	У <sub>СО2</sub> [% <sub>mol</sub> ]
500 MW NGCC	791	4.0
500 MW NGCC 50% Exhaust Gas Recycle (EGR)	401	8.2
500 MW high-rank coal	503	11.7
500 MW low-rank coal	1077	12.5
500 MW biomass	503	14.4
1 MMtpa cement	162	18.6
1 MMtpa steel	164	23.2

# Capture cost: Effect of plant scale



- 500 MW NGCC
- △ 500 MW NGCC 50 % EGR
- 500 MW high-rank PC
- 500 MW low-rank PC
- ▷ 500 MW biomass
- 1 MMtpa cement
- ☆ 1 MMtpa steel

Economies of scale effect apparent once gas flow >10 kg/s.

Lower capture costs (tCO2) at higher gas  $CO_2$  concentration.

Minor difference in capture cost trends for 90% vs 99% capture. However, capture costs for 99% capture is slightly higher.

**Imperial College** 

London

Danaci, D., Bui, M., Petit, C. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). *Environmental Science & Technology*. 7 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c07261

#### Imperial College London

### **CCGT: Effect of capture rate on cost**

#### Natural gas-fired CCGT power plant



Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost? *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 105, 103239.

### Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on costs

### Assuming constant flue gas flow rate 500 kg/s



**Capital costs:** mainly absorber cost, doubles at 99% capture. Absolute reboiler duty and amine circulation rate increases with higher gas  $CO_2$  content, thus requiring more HX area.

**Imperial College** 

London

**Operating costs:** Mainly steam costs which increase with gas  $CO_2$  concentration and capture rate.

9

# Balancing costs: >90% capture vs CO<sub>2</sub> removal

#### Imperial College London



Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost? *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 105, 103239.

10

Figure adapted from Fuss, S., et al. (2018). Environmental Research Letters, 13 (6), 063002. Minx, J. C., et al. (2018). Environmental Research Letters, 13 (6), 063001.

In a net-zero emissions future, residual CO<sub>2</sub>

### Impact of investment & tax credits

#### Imperial College London

The impact of an investment credit (e.g., §48A) lessens when there is access to cheap capital (e.g., low CRF).

An investment credit is of greater benefit to projects dealing with flue gases that have lower  $CO_2$  concentration (e.g., gas-power) compared to concentrated sources of the same size.

For CO<sub>2</sub> capture from concentrated point sources (e.g.,  $y_{CO2} = 30 \text{ mol}\%$ ), combining §48A with the §45Q tax credit\* is close to being economically feasible under realistic CRF scenarios, i.e., 12%.



\* This study assumed \$50/tCO2, but current proposals in Congress could boost 45Q as high as \$175/t

CRF 12% corresponds to 11% interest rate and annuity period of 25 years

Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 105, 103239.

11

# **Required reductions in CAPEX & OPEX**

### Imperial College London

In order for the TAC to break-even with a  $50/tCO_2$  45Q tax credit at 99% capture rate:

- Gas-fired power CCS needs 70% reduction in both OPEX and CAPEX
- Coal-fired power CCS needs a 25% reduction in OPEX and 68% reduction in CAPEX

This study was based on a conventional process using 30 wt% MEA.

In addition to financial incentives, cost reductions could be achieved with advanced solvents in modern process topology & design.



Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). Beyond 90% capture: Possible, but at what cost? *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 105, 103239.



Brandl, P., Bui, M., Hallett, J. P. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). *IJGGC*, 105, 103239. Danaci, D., Bui, M., Petit, C. & Mac Dowell, N. (2021). DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.0c07261

13

Bui, M., Flø, N. E., de Cazenove, T., Mac Dowell, N., (2020). International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 93, 102879.

### **Flexible operation of CO<sub>2</sub> capture plants**



Electricity grids with high levels of intermittent renewables will require dispatchable low carbon electricity.

Power plants with CCS provides greater flexibility.

Improves economic performance of system.

We have studied the effects of flexible operation on CO<sub>2</sub> capture performance.

## Flexible operation of a demonstrationscale CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant

#### Imperial College London

**CHP mode** 4 mol%  $CO_2$  gas Captures 80 t<sub>CO2</sub>/day

RCC mode 12 mol% CO<sub>2</sub> gas Captures 275 t<sub>CO2</sub>/day



Equinor oil refinery (not shown)

http://cdn3.spiegel.de/images/image-349556-860\_poster\_16x9-ygkk-349556.jpg

## **TCM CO<sub>2</sub> capture facility, Mongstad Norway**



2017 tests used 30 wt% MEA

2020 tests used Cesar-1, containing 27 wt% AMP+ 13 wt% PZ in June, and 26 wt% AMP+ 9.5 wt% PZ in November

### Imperial College London

There are also strategies useful for operating the capture plant in a "load following" manner.

Previous MEA test campaign at TCM was conducted in July 2017.

This studied the performance of the TCM plant during three flexible operation tests:

- Step-change of steam flow
- Time-varying solvent
  regeneration
- Variable ramp rate

### **Flexible operation of the CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant**



#### Imperial College London

#### **Off-peak electricity prices:**

Solvent is regenerated, reducing power output  $\rightarrow$  expect lower flue gas flow rates.

Peak electricity prices: accumulate  $CO_2$  in the amine. Power output increases, burning more fuel  $\rightarrow$  higher flue gas flow.

Note: Operating more flexibly means the steady state capture rate cannot provide an indication of residual  $CO_2$  emissions. Need to calculate cumulative amounts.

### **Time-varying solvent regeneration**



### **Time varying solvent regeneration**



Bui, M., Flø, N. E., de Cazenove, T., Mac Dowell, N., (2020). International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 93, 102879.

**Off-peak:** solvent regenerated and lean  $CO_2$  loading reduced.

Reboiler temperature: 124.1 °C CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate: 89–97% Lean CO<sub>2</sub> loading: 0.16 mol<sub>CO2</sub>/mol<sub>MEA</sub>

**Peak:**  $CO_2$  is "stored" in solvent and lean  $CO_2$  loading increases.

**Reboiler temperature:** 109.5 °C **CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate:** 14.5% **Lean CO<sub>2</sub> loading:** 0.48 mol<sub>CO2</sub>/mol<sub>MEA</sub>

Rich CO<sub>2</sub> Loading: 0.52–0.53 mol<sub>CO2</sub>/mol<sub>MEA</sub>

**Reboiler duty:** 3.93–4.11 MJ/kg CO<sub>2</sub>

**<u>Cumulative</u>** CO<sub>2</sub> capture rate: 66.5%

For max cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> capture, we need to optimise the duration between modes





### Performance during start-up and shut down

Rise in the frequency of start-up and shut down cycles will be expected with higher levels of intermittent renewables.

If this significantly increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, it would undermine the value proposition of CCS.

In 2020, we studied the effect of start-up & shut down on  $CO_2$  emissions at TCM.

Studying the following: (i) hot vs cold start-up, (ii) timing of steam availability (conventional vs preheat vs delayed), (iii) solvent inventory capacity, (iv) start-up solvent loading/composition.



### Effect of process dynamics of the capture performance

Hot start-up and shut down with 53 m<sup>3</sup> solvent inventory



As shown previously, balancing the duration of capture modes is important in ensure capture requirements are met. In the above test, the plant cumulatively captured 90% of the feed  $CO_2$ , despite online capture rate varying from 99% to 83%, then increasing to 90% and 96%.

### Improving capture performance during start-up



Bui, M. & Mac Dowell, N., under review, Start-up and shutdown protocol for power stations with CO<sub>2</sub> capture, IEAGHG report.

23

### Improving capture performance during start-up



**Conventional start-up:** Reboiler is not at the set-point temperature at the time FG starts, causing the  $CO_2$  capture rate to drop from 99.8% to 44.6%, before increasing again to 87%.

**Start-up with preheating:** Reboiler reaches set-point temperature much quicker. The  $CO_2$  capture rate remains stable, starting at 99.3% before reduces slightly to 92.5%.

### **Conclusions: Delivering over 90% CO<sub>2</sub> capture**

Process modelling and plant demonstrations show that high CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates of 95 to 99% are technically and economically feasible.

The modelling work shows  $CO_2$  capture costs for different applications and illustrates the effect of plant scale (i.e., flue gas flow rate), flue gas  $CO_2$  concentration and capture rate.

Economies of scale has a clear impact at >10 kg/s gas flow rates, also opportunities for lower capture costs for industrial capture applications with higher gas  $CO_2$  concentration.

When capture rate increases from 90% to 99%, the main contribution to the increase in capture costs is the larger absorber column, with a minor increase in steam costs.

A balanced portfolio of investment credits and tax credits will likely be required. There is also the potential for further cost reductions through using advanced solvents, modern plant topology and process intensification.

The demonstration studies at TCM shows the importance of considering cumulative capture rate, particularly during flexible operation. We also demonstrated different operating strategies that can be used to achieve higher CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates during flexible operation, e.g., preheating before start-up, lower loading upon start-up, optimising duration of time periods.

### **Future considerations: Delivering over 90% CO<sub>2</sub> capture**

In countries with net-zero targets, higher  $CO_2$  capture rates of 95% or higher will be essential to reduce the burden on  $CO_2$  removal from the atmosphere (may be more expensive and limited in scale).

We have mainly focused on increasing CO<sub>2</sub> capture rates of MEA-based absorption for post-combustion capture applications, i.e., power plants and industry.

We also need a systems approach to reducing  $CO_2$  emissions. The supply chain  $CO_2$  emissions associated with the fuel (e.g., natural gas, coal, biomass) will also have an impact on the actual  $CO_2$  reduction potential and will be an important consideration for further work, e.g., integrate LCA with process modelling.

Future work could explore the potential for maximising  $CO_2$  capture rate in other non-combustion applications for  $CO_2$  capture processes, e.g., hydrogen production.

The studies on flexible operation demonstrate that there is a temporal element that needs to be accounted for when determining  $CO_2$  emissions and cumulative  $CO_2$  capture %. This will likely have an impact on regulation and policy for  $CO_2$  emissions from power and industry.