

Conversion of CO₂ to Alkyl Carbonates Using Ethylene Oxide as Feedstock (DE-SC0013233) *Integrated Process of CO₂ Capture and Conversion to Chemicals: Technology Challenges & Opportunities*

C. B. Panchal, Kruti Goyal and Richard Doctor E3Tec Service LLC

U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Carbon Management and Natural Gas & Oil Research Project Review Meeting Virtual Meetings August 2 through August 31, 2021





Project Started in 2014 with ERA, Alberta Round 1 Grant

SBIR Funding: \$2,140,781 : total Phase I, II and IIb

> Overall Project Performance Dates:

SBIR Phase I:02/17/2015 - 11/16/2015SBIR Phase II:06/10/2016 - 06/10/2018SBIR Phase IIb:05/27/2019 - 08/27/2021

> Project Participants:

Michigan State University (MSU) Illinois Sustainability Technology Center (UIUC/ISTC) Gas Technology Institute (GTI) Air Liquide Advanced Separation (ALAS) Unitel Technologies, Inc.

Project Overview



Overall Project Objectives

SBIR Phase I and Phase II: Development of heat-

integrated reactive distillation (HIRD) for conversion of captured CO₂ to Dimethyl Carbonate (DMC)

SBIR Phase IIb: Advancing Phase II process development to an integrated process of capture and conversion of CO₂ to alkyl carbonates.



Challenges for Carbon-to-Chemical (C2C)

Technical

- Unsuitable process technologies developed for petrochemicals
- Lack of credible techno-economic merits of C2C technology
- > Uncertain market analysis for long-term demands of C2C chemicals
- > Nascent stage of technologies for conversion of CO_2 to Chemicals

Economic

- > Uncertainty of imminent regulatory policy for carbon management
- Accounting costs associated with CO₂ capture, transportation, sequestration and monitoring
- > Competitiveness of Green Chemicals versus petroleum derived chemicals
- Large investment in R&D leading to Demonstration for innovative C2C processes

ec







Solution: Integrated Process of CO₂ Capture and Conversion to DMC



Conversion of Captured CO₂ to DMC using Trickle-Bed Reactor

CO₂ Capture from Primary Sources and Conversion to DMC using Adsorbent Catalytic Reactor

Method of direct conversion of CO₂ to alkyl carbonates using ethylene oxide as feedstock US Patent, 2021









Ethylene & Propylene Oxide Based DMC Process Direct Conversion by Eliminating Ethylene Carbonate Process

SBIR Phase I and SBIR Phase II

CH₂O-CO-OCH₂

Ethylene Carbonate

+ 2 CH₃OH ←

Methanol

CH₃O-CO-OCH₃

+ $HOCH_2$ - CH_2OH

Dimethyl Carbonate

Mono-Ethylene Glycol



SBIR Phase IIb Sequential

| CH ₂ -O-CH2 + | | + 2 CH ₃ OH | | H_3 + HOCH ₂ -CH ₂ OH |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Ethylene Oxide | lene Oxide Methanol | Dimethyl Carbona | te Mono-Ethylene Glycol | |
| CH₃-CH-O-CH2 + | | + 2 CH ₃ OH | CH ₃ O-CO-OCH ₃ | + HOCH ₂ -CH-OH-CH ₃ |
| Propylene Oxide | - | Methanol | Dimethyl Carbonate | Propylene Glycol |



Green Technology Merits

High-Purity Products and 9x Reduction in carbon-footprint compared to commercial DMC processes

| C-footprint Analysis*, kg CO ₂ / kg DMC | E3Tec Process | Syngas-Based Process | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| CO ₂ Consumption ⁺ | -0.51 | NA | | |
| CO ₂ Emission Inside Battery Limits (ISBL) | 0.58 | 1.29 | | |
| Methanol from Commercial Process | 0.39 | 0.47 | | |
| Ethylene Oxide from Commercial Process | 0.31 | NA | | |
| Total CO ₂ Emissions | 1.28 | 1.76 | | |
| Offsetting CO ₂ Emissions of Coproduction of MEG | -0.58 | NA | | |
| Net CO ₂ Emissions | 0.19 | 1.76 | | |

* Ethylene-oxide based DMC process;

⁺Captured CO₂ with 90%+ purity



Techno-Economic Analysis

Commercially profitable to syngas-based DMC process

| Economic Parameters | E ³ Tec Process | Syngas-Based Process | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| DMC Capacity, kTA | 57 | 57 | | |
| MEG Capacity, kTA | 40 | NA | | |
| Capital Cost (CAPEX), \$MM | \$198 | \$219 | | |
| Cost of Production, \$/tonne DMC* | \$488 | \$685 | | |
| Levelized Cost of DMC, % | 15% lower | | | |
| DMC Quality | 99.9% | 90% to 95% | | |

*Includes cost of 60 \$/Tonne CO₂ @ 90%+ purity; MEG @ market cost

Technical Approach/Project Scope



Project Success Criteria

- Development of validated design methodology for scale-up from prototype lab testing to commercial-scale plant
- Advancing conversion of CO₂ to DMC from TRL-5 of Laboratory Testing of Semi-Integrated System to TRL-7 of Integrated Pilot System Demonstration
- > Justification of techno-economic merits of conversion of CO_2 to DMC

Project Risks and Mitigation Strategy

- Project Risks: Key technical challenge is to demonstrate synthesis of high-purity (99.9%) DMC by utilization of CO₂ from primary sources
- Mitigation Strategy: Reduce technical uncertainty by integrated technology demonstration unit for industry acceptance

Technical Approach/Project Scope



Work Plan

- 1. Prototype tests for validation of the ASPEN Plus[®] process scale-up methodology
- 2. Qualification of catalysts for direct conversion of CO₂ from primary sources to chemical intermediate for further conversion to dimethyl carbonate with HIRD process
- Comparative analysis of C-footprint based LCA and TEA for a) Captured CO₂; b) Integrated CO₂ Capture and Conversion and c) syngas based DMC
- 4. Design of Technology Demonstration Unit



Progress and Current Status of Project

lagneticall Coupled Motor

Product

Cataly Bag

Test Units in Phase I, II and II-Sequential



Adsorbent Catalytic Reactor (ACR) Test Unit Patented Differential Kinetic Test Unit (DKTU) 10-meter Reactive Distillation Columns Integrated with Side Reactors





Aspen Plus[®] Scale Up Design Methodology





Accomplishment of Milestones

- 1. Developed validated ASPEN Plus[®] scale-up model based on prototype test data
- 2. Developed adsorbent catalytic reactor for direct conversion of CO₂ from primary sources
- 3. Demonstrated favorable economics based on a detailed market analysis
- C-footprint model interlinked with ASPEN Plus[®] exemplified that CO₂ emissions would be significantly lower compared to commercial syngas DMC process
- 5. Designed a demo unit and developed industry contacts for the next phase of the project



Progress and Current Status of Project

Synergistic efforts

- a. <u>CO₂ capture technology</u>: E3Tec evaluated 2nd generation CO₂ capture technologies for synergistic efforts for implementing C2C technology in the near term
- a. <u>Co-product formations</u>: DMC and Glycol are platform chemicals for production of valuable higher alkyl carbonates and consumer products. This multi-faceted technology would be adopted by large chemical manufactures with green agenda of C2C.

Plans for future testing/development/ commercialization



Plans for Next Phase

Technology Demonstration Unit Leading to Commercialization

- Integration of 1 tonne/day technology demonstration unit with to validate techno-economic merits
- Strategic alliance with industry partners for commercial-scale pilot plant with 10 to 20 tonne/day or pre-commercial DMC plant

R&D Efforts

- Development of the adsorbent catalytic reactor with improved catalysts and CO₂ adsorbents
- Application of the HIRD equipped with side reactors and membrane separations to CO₂ conversion to other specialty chemicals



Summary Slide

Key Findings, Lessons Learned, and Future Plans

- \succ Important to evaluate the thermodynamics of conversion of CO₂ to specialty chemicals before pursuing significant process development
- SBIR project will be completed in August 2021 and the future-plan focuses on *Technology Demonstration Unit* for validation of the techno-economic merits leading to commercialization
- E3Tec is seeking industry participation for Technology Demonstration Unit

"Take-Away" Message

DMC is an ideal platform chemical for CO₂ utilization based on expanding market demands of alkyl carbonates for lithium-ion batteries, expanding use of polycarbonates and its use in consumer products such as polyurethane 18



Acknowledgements

Financial and Technical Supports

Ethylene-Oxide Based Process Development of DMC Synthesis Supported by the DOE SBIR Phase I, Phase II and Phase IIb Grants under DOE Contract No. DE-SC0013233. Ammonia-Based Process Development of DMC Synthesis Supported by the CCEMC-Alberta (now ERA) Round-1 Grand Challenge.

DOE NETL

Andy Aurelio, DOE Program Manager





Organization ChartGantt Chart



Organization Chart



Gantt Chart – SBIR Phase IIb



| ID Task Name | | Half 2, 2019 | Half 1, 2020 | | Half 2, 2020 | Half 1, 2021 | Half 2 |
|---|-----|--------------|--------------|----|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| 1 Milestones | M J | J A S O N | D J F M A M | JJ | A S O N D | J F M A M J | J A S |
| ² Budget Perios 1 | | | h | | | | |
| ³ Derformance model of the reactor | | | | | | 12/25 | |
| 4 ASPEN Plus process analysis of the integrated process | | | • 2/3 | | | | |
| ⁵ Budget Period 2 | | | | | | | |
| ⁶ TFA of the integrated process | | | | | | <mark>→</mark> 1/15 | |
| 7 I CA of the integrated process | | | | | ♦ 11/13 | | |
| ⁸ Design of Technology Demonstration Unit | | | | | | • 3/12 | |
| ⁹ Process Analysis | | | | | | | |
| ¹⁰ ASPEN Plus analysis of EtO and ammonia based processes | | | | | | | |
| ¹¹ Documentation of PFD and equipment list | | | • | | | | |
| ¹² Comparative process analysis | | | | | | | |
| ¹³ Validation of the ASPEN Plus design model | | | | | | | |
| ¹⁴ Oualification of Catalysts for Direct Conversion of CO2 | | | • | | | + | |
| ¹⁵ Characterization of catalyst effectiveness | | | | | | | |
| ¹⁶ Merit Analysis to qualify catalyst(s) | | | | | | ••••• | |
| ¹⁷ Determination of kinetic parameters of qualified catalyst(s) | | | | | | | |
| ¹⁸ Performance of Adsorbent Catalytic Reactor (ACR) | | | - | | | | |
| ¹⁹ Performance tests of membrane reactor | | | | | | | |
| ²⁰ Performance tests of adsorbent catalytic reactor | | | | | r t | | |
| ²¹ Development of performance model for theadsorbent catalytic reactor | | | | | + | | |
| ²² Incorporation of the performance model in to ASPEN Plus process model | | | | | • •••• | | |
| ²³ TEA of the Integrated Process | | | | | • | • | |
| ²⁴ Estimation of CAPEX and OPEX | | | | | | | |
| ²⁵ ProForma analysis to estimate IRR and NPV | | | | | | | |
| ²⁶ TEA merit analysis of the integrated process vs. separate CO2 capture and | | | | | | | |
| conversion processes | | | | | | | |
| ²⁷ Risk Register Management (RRM) matrix analysis | | | | | | * | |
| ²⁸ LCA of the Integrated Process | | | | | - | | |
| ²⁹ C-footprint analysis and comparison with separate CO2 capture and | | | | | | | |
| conversion processes | | | | | | | |
| ³⁰ Update of the global market demands of DMC and its derived products | | | | | | | |
| ³¹ Global CO2 abatement potentials with timeline | | | | | | | |
| ³² Design of Integrated Pilot Plant Test Unit | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| ³³ ASPEN Plus process design | | | | | | | |
| Estimation of capital and operating costs | | | | | | | |
| ³⁵ Implementation Plan for Integrated Test Unit | | | - | | | | |
| ³⁰ Development of business plan | | | | | | | |
| ⁵⁷ Implementation schedule | | | | | | | |
| ³⁸ Project Management and Reporting | | | | | | | |