

## Energy-Efficient Waste Heat Coupled Forward Osmosis for Effluent Water Management at Coal-Fired Power Plants

N. Rajagopalan, Ph.D., UIUC Partners: Trimeric Corporation, IL Power Plant Project Manager: Mr. Charles Miller Project: FE-0031551



#### Goals

#### Achievements

 Recover at least 50% water from problematic power plant effluents such as FGD scrubber blowdown for reuse using FO

# ✓ 80% achieved /90% possible

• Thermal Energy (200 kJ/kg)

• Electrical Energy (< 3.6 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>)

✓ Achieved

✓ 2.2 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>

### DOE Alignment

- DE-FOA-0001686/ AOI 5
  - innovative effluent water management
  - developing water treatment and reuse methods that employ low energy or waste heat solutions
  - reduce overall treatment requirements



#### Summary

- Met project goals of low energy requirement, waste heat utilization and reuse of power plant effluent
- FO can treat FGD wastewater with minimal pretreatment to achieve wastewater volume reduction
- Currently limited to 80% water recovery to avoid gypsum precipitation
- 90% reduction in wastewater volume possible with intermediate gypsum desaturation/other process variations
- Process scale-up and testing in power plant required to further advance TRL level; reduce uncertainties (e.g., membrane lifetime)
- Membrane residuals treatment costs can and must be reduced further- several pathways exist to achieve mid-single digit \$/m<sup>3</sup> total treatment costs

#### **This Project**



5 si i 1 3

Laboratory scale, similar system validation in relevant environment

- Long-term membrane module stability testing;
  3 modules/3 – 6 months
  - Test scaled-up system at power plant (~1 gpm)
- Conduct proof-of-concept of very *low* energy/low-cost residuals management approach

Research to prove feasibility



### **FO Lifetime Cost Estimates**





### **Operating Cost Estimates**

#### Variable O&M Costs

<b>\$ 1.50/m</b> <sup>3</sup>	Water Treated
\$ 1.88/m <sup>3</sup>	Water Produced

#### Fixed O&M Costs

\$ 1.87/m <sup>3</sup>	Water Treated
\$ 2.34/m <sup>3</sup>	Water Produced



- -30% PEC reduction target for second iteration
- Cost reductions possible due to derisking, lower FO costs, engineering optimization



 FO Membrane Costs of \$75/m<sup>2</sup> HF in <1 year (\$25/m<sup>2</sup> available NOW in different chemistry)





### Membrane Residuals Treatment/ZLD





FGDWW desaturated and treated to remove metals, Hg, etc..

#### **ZLD Treatment Train**

FOR CURRENT PROCESS FLOWSHEET

Aquapod+SDE Variable Costs Are LOWER

But Capital Costs are INTERMEDIATE at 80% recovery & LOWER at 90% recovery



#### Impact of Residuals Treatment Costs on Overall Costs



~\$8/m<sup>3</sup> achievable in power plant at current Aquapod costs with new residuals treatment approach in development at ISTC; Simulations completed- prototype design in progress.

For reference, PP spends between \$4-\$9/m<sup>3</sup> currently for discharge.

MVC+crystallizer costs in literature are ~\$20/m<sup>3</sup>

#### Summary

- Met project goals of low energy requirement, waste heat utilization and reuse of power plant effluent
- FO can treat FGD wastewater with minimal pretreatment to achieve wastewater volume reduction
- Currently limited to 80% water recovery to avoid gypsum precipitation
- 90% reduction in wastewater volume possible with intermediate gypsum desaturation/other process variations
- Process scale-up and testing in power plant required to further advance TRL level; reduce uncertainties (e.g., membrane lifetime)
- Membrane residuals treatment costs can and must be reduced further- several pathways exist to achieve mid-single digit \$/m<sup>3</sup> total treatment costs

### Team

### UIUC

- K C OBrien
- Chinmoy Baroi
- Martina Del Cerro
- Sriraam Chandrasekaran
- DongHao Chen
- Marihah Muhsinah
- Vinod Patel
- John Scott
- Xuesong Zhang

### Trimeric

- Katherine Dombrowski
- Ray McKaskle
- Kevin Fisher

#### IL PP

- P.J. Becker
- Brandon Powell
- Eric Staley