Blockchain Empowered Provenance Framework for Sensor Identity Management and Data Flow Security in Fossil-Based Power Plants





## Project Description

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY

- **Project Goal** Blockchain empowered provenance platform for identity management and process integrity for sensors in Fossil-based Power Plants (**FPP**).
- Strategic alignment with DOE Improving electric grid reliability, resilience and availability
- **DOE-NETL** Dr. Sydni Credle and Maria Reidpath
- TEAM
  - Old Dominion University Virginia Modeling, Analysis and Simulation Center
  - University of Texas at El Paso Computer Science
- Partners
  - Accenture, Argonne National Lab, ReliabilityFirst, Wood PLC
- Contract
  - October 1, 2019 September 30, 2022







Project Objectives

**Objective 1** - Sensor identity management via establishing a Peer-to-Peer (P2P) SCADA network

**Objective-2:** Networked Sensor Integrity and Scalable Process Integrity Assurance in FPPs

**Objective-3:** Prototype Development and Evaluation







- Developed Tikiri, a lightweight and scalable Blockchain platform
- Development of testbed using Raspberry Pis and LORAWAN to evaluate Tikiri platform
- Developed data authentication and integrity (SPAI) protocol is using SRAM-based Physical Unclonable Functions (PUF),
- Implemented the SPAI protocol in both Arduino and Raspberry Pi based testbed with DHT11 temperature sensors embedded into the boards
- Developed a lab based SCADA environment composed of HMI and PLCs for prototyping
- Discussions with partners, Accenture, WoodPLC and Argonne National Lab on platform development
- Webinar presentation to the EPRI Utility Blockchain Interest Group
- Submission of papers to IEEE SmartGridComm



## Tikiri - Lightweight and scalable blockchain



- Support real-time transaction
- Concurrent execution of blockchain transactions
- Support sharding based data replication to reduce the communication overhead
- Apache kafka based consensus to increase the scalability and throughput





### Tikiri - Lightweight and scalable blockchain



- Microservices based distributed system architecture for lightweight blockchain
- Reactive-streaming based back-pressure operation for scalability







- Blockchain client(e.g LoRa gateway) connected to gateway service and publish transactions.
- Gateway service publishes the transactions to kafka.







- Lokka services consume transactions via kafka, execute them and create blocks.
- Multiple Lokka services can work in parallel with kafka partitioned topics.







 Kafka will handle message broadcasting between multiple Lokka services by guaranteeing total order









 Execute transactions and create blocks in parallel by multiple Lokka services in Tikiri blockchain.

(2) (3)



### Tikiri Architecture



- Implemented on raspberry-pi cluster
- Apache kafka(zookeeper atomic broadcast protocol) used as the consensus mechanism
- Functional programming based concurrent smart contract platform





### Tikiri Architecture



- Store power plant sensor device identities in Tikiri blockchain
- Sensor devices communicate with LoRa interface





### Tikiri Architecture – Information Flow







NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY





NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY











### Tikiri Results – Transaction Throughput







### LoRaWAN Testbed – Sensor network traffic

- Represent Industrial IoT Sensor environment
- Provide realistic and reliable generation of end node data
- Transmit end node information to downstream LoRaWAN infrastructure
- Provide oracle services to the Tikiri blockchain

J.S. DEPARTMENT OF







#### • Current Progress

- Single Arduino Uno acts as end node and generates/transmits LoRaWAN traffic
- Single Dragino LG01-P Gateway forwards LoRaWAN traffic to The Things Network (TTN)
- Gateway packages LoRaWAN traffic and sends to Kafka broker

### • Future Work

- Integration with Tikiri
- Add additional end nodes & gateways
- Perform physical layout testing
- Gather performance metrics
- Future enhancements (ex: support additional Tikiri communication protocols)



## Sensor Data Authentication using PUFs

- Industrial Control Systems (ICS) are integral components of national critical infrastructures
  - Example: Power plants, Water and gas distribution centers, transportation
- Commonly monitored by Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems
- Integration of advanced sensors in power plants introduces security challenges:
  - How to ensure authenticity of sensor data?
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Can the received data be trusted?
  - What lightweight mechanism can verify device identities in such Cyber-Physical Systems?







#### **Problem Statement:**

- Given resource constrained IoT nodes,
- How can we uniquely identify them and perform continuous authentication in order to avoid maliciousness (node & data)?

#### **IDEA:**

With **lightweight** hardware security primitive called Physical Unclonable Functions (PUF) to act as a **hardware fingerprint generator** and use it to dynamically authenticate sensor data



### Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs)



A hardware security primitive that maps challenges and responses

 $\gamma{:}\,\{0,1\}^n\rightarrow\{0,1\}^m$ 



Physical Unclonable Function (PUF)

- Challenge-Response
- Low-cost fingerprint generator
- Generate unique identities for all devices
- Offload complex state-of-art crypto solutions
- Different types such as SRAM-based
  - High availability and performance [2]

# Offloads the complexity of managing and storing keys on the IoT devices



### Proposed Approach

- We exploit the fundamental property of embedded sensors to generate unique identities through PUFs and derive hash-key functions
- Design a lightweight SRAM-based PUF Authentication and Integrity (SPAI) protocol
  - Ensures the integrity of data flow from field sensors
  - Eliminates rogue devices from the SCADA architecture







### System Overview



- State-of-art SCADA communication
  - RTU sends a pull request to a field sensor
  - The field sensor read the request and sends the environmental data
  - Operational and commands are sent in clear text without security



- SPAI Protocol Overview
  - $\circ~$  RTU sends a pull request to a field sensor
  - It appends a challenge-response pair from a secure Challenge-Response Database (CRDB)
  - The field sensor (prover) uses the CRP to generate a unique response through the PUF
  - The prover appends the unique response while sending the sensor data
  - The verifier validates the identity of the sensor and integrity of data







#### • Three-phase protocol

- Profiling
- Enrollment
- Authentication

### 1. Profiling

- Error Correction Code, necessary for PUFs introduce a significant overhead
- We identify strongest cells in the SRAM through a Data Remanence Algorithm [4]

### 2. Enrollment: Generate and store CRP

- The verifier gets a challenge and appends it to the CRDB
- Once a challenge is generated, the verifier sends it through a secure channels to the prover
- Using the addresses in the challenge, the prover reads the bit value [0, 1] that is stored in the SRAM, creating a response
- The prover sends the response to the verifier
- Finally, the verifier appends the response to the CRDB



### SPAI Protocol: [3. Authentication]



- Ensures the authenticity of request and data flow integrity
- Communication during this phase can be over unsecured channels

#### Algorithm 1: setup

#### Require: Access to CRDB

- 1:  $R_1, C_1 = getTuple(prover)$
- 2: TS = time() // keep track of active
- requests
- 3:  $H_1 = HMAC(R_1, C_1 || TS)$
- 4:  $TS' = TS \oplus hash(R_1)$
- 5:  $respSensor = authProver(C_1, TS', H_1)$

#### Algorithm 2: generate response

**Require:** Access to PUF mechanism 1:  $C_1, H_1, TS' = readRequest()$ 2:  $R_1 = getPUF(C_1)$ 3:  $TS = TS' \oplus hash(R_1)$ 4:  $H_{11} = HMAC(R_1, C_1 || TS)$ 5: **if**  $H_{11}! = H_1$  **then** // verify prover identity 6: exit 7: **end if** 8: data = readSensor()9:  $H_2 = HMAC(R_1, data)$  // ensure data integrity 10:  $responseSensor = < data, H_2 >$ 11: **return** responseSensor



Algorithm 3: authenticate prover and verify data integrity

- 1:  $data, H_2 = unpack(respSensor)$
- 2: t<sub>2</sub> = time() // keep track of active
  requests
- 3:  $H_{22} = HMAC(R_1, data)$
- 4: if  $t_2 TS > \Delta t$  then // prevent brute force modeling attacks
- 5: exit
- 6: else if  $H_2! = H_{22}$  then // verify integrity of data and verifier identity
- 7: exit
- 8: end if
- 9: Device is Authenticated
- 10: sendToSCADA(data)



### Testbed setup



- Emulated an RTU functions, in a Raspberry Pi 3 model B.
- Raspberry pi is connected to the external SRAM microchip 23LC1024, with a capacity of 128k bits.



 Evaluated the overhead of the SPAI protocol in a temperature and humidity sensor DHT11, the sensor reads and sends the data in every two seconds



### Evaluation



#### Attack scenario

- Man-in-the-Middle attack
- An attacker has full access to the communication link and message

pi@raspberrypi:~/Desktop/sram-puf/Authentication \$ ./master Hash of response: 22093202986069e29585db37a3aa95086868151ab756dd8b5cd16d4740ed10df Timestamp: 1588793221 Master hmac: ae75172e1c21c5615f7447041471d330f9b5eff427ab39f6c7f703587abe7477 Reading sensor... Temperature read from sensor: 999 Failed to verified data integrity

Fig. 4. Unsuccessful Authentication of Field Sensor pi@raspberrypi:~/Desktop/sram-puf/Authentication S ./master Hash of response: 22093202986069e29585db37a3aa95086868151ab756dd8b5cd16d4740ed10df

Timestamp: 1588793262 Master hmac: ae75172e1c21c5615f7447041471d330f9b5eff427ab39f6c7f703587abe7477 Reading sensor...

Temperature read from sensor: 25

Data Integrity verified..

Fig. 5. Successful Authentication of Field Sensor





#### Performance analysis of the SPAI:

Time to complete authentication process for continuous data stream







#### Performance analysis of the SPAI:

Total data communicated over <u>modbus</u> protocol for continuous data stream





### Evaluation



• Comparison of performance of different protocols when unit byte of data is communicated and authenticated.

Protocol	Transmission Time	Transmitted Data
Raw Modbus	20ms	857 Bytes
SPAI Protocol	<b>218ms</b>	2803 Bytes
State-of-art	379ms	5483 Bytes
PUF protocol [9]		





- Scalable data and process integrity assurance in FPP would help plant managers to better maintain the components
  - Reduce operational cost over long-run
- Establishment of overlay Blockchain for SCADA environment can also be applicable for achieving **access control and accountability** 
  - Large and multi-site energy companies have many independent contractors, whose access to the infrastructure must be vetted
- **Supply-chain provenance** in energy delivery systems is critical and the proposed platform has potential to enable this service



## Preparing Project for Next Steps



#### Market Benefits/Assessment

- The project addresses the need for an infrastructure based identity management and provenance solution that can provide early detection of rogue devices.
- The proposed technology would realize a low cost security solution that would provide protection to large number of sensors in the power plant and lead to cost savings

#### Technology-to-Market Path

- The Blockchain platform will be integrated into state-of-practice security monitoring solutions
- Ensuring the ability to provide desired benefits at lower cost
- Integration with AI solutions to also provide trusted source of ground truth
- Collaborating with Accenture, ReliabilityFirst, WoodPLC



### Concluding Remarks



- The technology developed by the project will address the following specific challenges in fossil energy
  - Identity management and provenance that would enhance the infrastructure cybersecurity.
  - Increasing system reliability due to early detection of attacks
  - Optimize utility efficiency by identifying and isolating faults
  - Enhanced security of monitoring technology by improving resilience to cyber attacks





- We demonstrated that our proposed SPAI protocol is capable of preventing MITM attacks and **detect abnormality in data flow**
- The SPAI protocol **ensures the integrity of sensor data** through HMAC functions and unique PUF-responses. It implements **lightweight crypto** solutions required to improve the security of SCADA systems
- Through preliminary experiments, we found that our proposed SPAI protocol serves its purpose (data authentication and integrity) at a minimal overhead compared to existing PUF-based models



### Concluding Remarks and Future Work

- Leverage Tikiri and Hyperledger Fabric Blockchain to store PUF-based sensor identity profiles and authenticate sensor data
  - Performance evaluation for bulk transactions in FPP
- Analyze the overhead induced by Blockchain in terms of storage and time to verify data integrity and improve resilience of SPAI protocol
- Transitioning SPAI to emulated SCADA Network with several OpenPLC controllers interfacing with multiple sensors and actuators





### Concluding Remarks and Future Work



- Support MQTT based communication between sensor network and blockchain network
- Integration with distributed database (Apache Cassandra) to store transaction data
- Integrate off-chain storage to guarantee privacy of the data and address storage issues in the blockchain nodes
- Development of sharding-based consensus that handles the network partitions and failures handling scenarios where the voting nodes that are not reachable

