Dynamic Binary Complexes (DBCs) as Super-Adjustable Viscosity Modifiers for Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids

DE-FE0031778

Texas A&M University Department of Chemical Engineering Texas A&M Energy Institute



U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory Oil & Natural Gas 2020 Integrated Review Webinar

• Project Funding

	Federal	Cost Share	Total Costs	Cost Share %
Budget Period 1	\$492,699	\$124,683	\$617,382	20.20%
Budget Period 2	\$496,841	\$127,098	\$623,939	20.37%
Budget Period 3	\$510,169	\$123,209	\$633,378	19.45%
Total	\$1,499,709	\$374,990	\$1,874,699	20.00%

• **Project Performance Dates**

Task Name	Year 1	Year 2			Year 3				
	Qtr1 Qtr2 Qtr3 Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4	Qtr1	Qtr2	Qtr3	Qtr4
Task 1: Investigation of Flow and Rheological Characteristics of DBCs									
Task 2: Determination of Proppant Dispersion Stability under Various Conditions									
Task 3: Obtaining an Understanding of Extent of Reversibility and Reusability									
Task 4: Investigation of Compatibility with Other Chemicals in Fracking Fluids									
Task 5: Development of Models to Describe Proppant Transport and Fracture Propagation									
Task 6: Construction of Models for Adsorption and Desorption of DBCs									
<i>Task 7</i> : Development of Models for Estimating Wastewater Recovery and Gas Production Rates									J
Task 8: Selection and Optimization of DBC Formulations for Laboratory-Scale Tests									
Task 9: Carrying out Laboratory Experiments to Evaluate Hydraulic Fracturing Performance									
Task 10: Scale-up, Manufacturing, and Field Testing of DBCs									
Task 11: Preparation of Cost-Benefit Analysis and Evaluation of Economic Impact									

Program Overview

Project Participants

Texas A&M University (Research and Development)

- Department of Chemical Engineering
- Texas AM& Energy Institute

Incendium Technologies (Commercialization)

Project Personnel

Mustafa Akbulut, Associate Professor, Texas A&M University Joseph Kwon, Assistant Professor, Texas A&M University Shuhao Liu, Graduate Student Silabrata Pahari, Graduate Student Yu-Ting Lin, Graduate Student Bhargavi Bhat, Graduate Student Spencer Doyle, Undergraduate Student Landry Ray, Undergraduate Student Ankit Anand, Undergraduate Student Sek Kai Leong, Project Technician

Cengiz Yegin, Product Development Engineer, Incendium Technologies

Project Objectives

- To develop novel dynamic binary complexes to achieve super-adjustable, reversible viscosities and the implementation and wide-spread utilization of these novel viscosifiers in hydraulic fracturing fluids.
- To mature the Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of this concept from TRL 2-3 to TRL 5-6.
- To investigate and optimize rheological properties of aqueous solutions containing DBCs with respect to shear rate, concentration, temperature, salinity, and pressure
- To evaluate and optimize the compatibility of DBCs with other chemicals used in fracking fluids such as clay stabilizers, corrosion inhibitors, scale inhibitors, friction reducers
- To develop computational models and frameworks for investigating the effect of DBC on proppant transport, fracture propagation, bank formation, and fluid leak-off during hydraulic fracturing
- To develop a 3D, three-phase black oil model for estimating the production rates of formation water, recovered DBC, and gas from the fractured wells
- To assess the efficiency of proppant transport into fissures and fractures and permeability enhancements using the selected optimum DBC formulations and to compare the performance of developed DBCs with that of currently available fracking fluids
- To outline comprehensive manufacturing design and strategy for the large-scale synthesis of the most optimum DBC formulation
- To carry out a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis considering the cost of raw materials, labor, capital investment of manufacturing equipment, operational costs, and percent improvements in shale gas recovery

	Viscosity	Advantages	Disadvantages/Limitations
Water frac	2-5 cP	InexpensiveInsensitive to salinity	Requires high pump ratesPoor proppant transportNarrow fracture width
Linear aqueous gels	10-30 cP	 Environmentally friendly Support transport of medium-sized proppants 	 Not re-usable Somehow narrow fracture width Some residue leftover in fractures
Cross-linked aqueous gels	100-1000 cP	Wide fracture widthReduced fluid lossEnhanced proppant transport	 Not re-usable Corrosive/toxic breakers Fracture damage by residues
Aqueous viscoelastic surfactant (VES)-based fluids	100-1000 cP	 Wide fracture width Enhanced proppant transport No residue leftover in fractures 	High-costPoor temperature/salt toleranceHigh volume of fluid leak-off
Foam fluids	10-100 cP	 Very low fluid loss Mediocre proppant transport Reduced environmental impact 	 High-cost of gas Gas availability Depressurization damage in fractures
Gelled oil-based fluids	50-1000 cP	Compatible with all formationsLower formation damage	Gelling and clogging problemsHigher costMore toxic than water-based systems











Region	Shale Depth (ft)	Temperature (°F)	Salinity (mg/mL)
Anadarko	4,000 - 11,000	140° to 280° F	<50
Appalachia	5,000 - 9,000	160° to 240° F	150-200
Bakken	6,000 - 12,000	180° to 300° F	200-300
Eagle Ford	4,000 - 14,000	140° to 340° F	50-100
Haynesville	10,000 - 13,000	260° to 300° F	<50
Niobrara	7,000 - 8,000	200° to 220° F	50-100
Permian	6,700 - 11,300	190° to 280° F	100-150

Target Conditions:

Temperature: 140°F to 280°F Salinity: 50 mg/mL to 200 mg/mL Depth: 4000 ft to 10,000 ft





Developed DBCs

Entangled Multilayer Nanowires

Advantages of DBC Technology

- Viscosity Adjustability: Viscosity can reversibly be strongly controlled by adding acid or base.
- Reusability: DBC does not rely on permanent breakers and can be reused multiple times.
- Environmentally Benign: Building blocks are opted from nontoxic and biological-origin materials.
- Superior Proppant Carrying Ability: DBC can actively and passively interact with proppants.
- *High Durability*: DBCs can be used at elevated temperatures and salinity for prolonged periods.
- Possibility of Eliminating Permeation Damage: DBCs can be assembled and disassembled dynamically.

Challenges of DBC Technology

- Current Economic Difficulties of Fracturing Industry
- Market Adaptation
- Material Cost

- Flow and Rheological Characteristics of Dynamic Binary Complexes (DBCs)
 - Viscosity of a fracking fluid is critical to ensure <u>carrying the proppant from the wellbore to the fracture tip</u>, forcing proppant entrance into the fracture, and generating a desired net pressure to control proppant bank height growth.
 - Investigate viscosity as a function of DBC nanoarchitecture, DBC concentration, temperature, and salinity

Region	Shale Depth (ft)	Temperature (°F)	Salinity(mg/mL)
Anadarko	4,000 - 11,000	140° to 280° F	<50
Appalachia	5,000 - 9,000	160° to 240° F	150-200
Bakken	6,000 - 12,000	180° to 300° F	200-300
Eagle Ford	4,000 - 14,000	140° to 340° F	50-100
Haynesville	10,000 - 13,000	260° to 300° F	<50
Niobrara	7,000 - 8,000	200° to 220° F	50-100
Permian	6,700 - 11,300	190° to 280° F	100-150



Proppant Dispersion Stability

 Study the settling time of sand (proppant) in DBCs with respect to DBC nanoarchitecture and concentration, temperature, and salinity and maximize it



Extent of Reversibility and Reusability

Evaluate the reversibility of DBCs against repetitive temperature and pH cycles



Compatibility with Other Compounds in Fracking Fluids

- Fracturing Fluid Components: Biocide, Breaker, Clay Stabilizer, Corrosion Inhibitor, Friction Reducer, Iron Control, Emulsion Preventer, Scale Inhibitor
- Investigate the compatibility of DBCs with other components of fracking fluids and identify the optimum compound with the highest compatibility for each function
- Computational Models to Describe Proppant Transport and Fracture Propagation

- Computational Studies of Estimating Wastewater Recovery and Gas Production Rates after Fracking Fluid clean-up
 - Develop a computational framework and sub-routines to enable the implementation of DBCs in the 3D, three-phase black oil model
 - Estimate gas production, water production, and DBC recovery rates
- Selection and Optimization of DBC Formulations for Laboratory-Scale Fracturing Tests
- Laboratory Experiments to Evaluate Hydraulic Fracturing Performance

- Scale-up of DBC Production and Construction of Pilot Plant
- Field Tests
- Cost-Benefit Analysis

Milestones and Success Criteria

- Achieve a viscosity of 50–1000 cP with 0.1–2% of DBC solutions at shear rates of 40–100 s⁻¹ [Year 1]
- Obtain 50% improvements in proppant carrying capacity compared to three commercial fracturing fluids for a given concentration of DBC [Year 1]
- Accomplish a reversible re-adjustability of 20-fold in viscosity of DBCs at typical reservoir pressures, temperatures, and salinities via pH stimulus [Year 1]
- Achieve a maximum of 20% reduction in viscosity and proppant carrying capacity after 5 stimulus cycles [Year 2]
- Successful development of dynamic models for 3D, simultaneously growing multiple fractures with at least 2D proppant transport [Year 2]
- Determine all kinetic parameters of DBC formulation with the best laboratory-scale performance, which are the main scale-up parameters [Year 2]
- Obtain 50% enhancements in fracture permeability and conductivity using DBCs compared to four commercial fracturing fluids [Year 2]
- Realize 50 pounds/day production rate at a minimum yield of 85% in a pilot plant specifically designed manufacturing of DBC [Year 3]
- Achieve at least 50% improvements in the hydrocarbon recovery on the field tests compared to the current-state-of-art [Year 3]
- Prepare a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis considering raw material cost, production cost, deployment costs, durability, life-time, and potential benefits [Year 3]

$nA + mB \leftrightarrows DBC$

- 49 new formulations have been developed!
- 6 formulations with exceptional flow properties and proppant carrying ability have been identified.

Effect of Salt on Viscosity of DBCs

Salt-Induced Viscosification and Gelling of DBC A8/B1 !

DBC A8/B1

Characteristics of "a Dream" Fracking Fluid:

- large proppant carrying capacity at elevated temperatures
- large proppant carrying capacity at high salinity
- high adjustability to precisely control proppant transport and deposition

Formulation	Proppant
	Settling Time
CF1 @25 °C	8 min
CF2 @25 °C	< 30 sec
CF3 @25 °C	~ 1 min
DBC A8/B1 @25 °C	~ 3 days
DBC A5/B5 @25 °C	~ 2 days
DBC A7/B10 @25 °C	~ 1 day
CF1 @90 °C	3 min
CF2 @90 °C	< 30 sec
CF3 @90 °C	< 30 sec
DBC A8/B1 @90 °C	~ <u>8 hr</u>
DBC A5/B5 @90 °C	90 sec
DBC A7/B10 @90 °C	~ 15 min

- A thermodynamic model has been developed by considering all the components of the free energy in a cylindrical DBCs.
- The model is able to predict packing fraction of the micelles in response to varying pH.
- Equilibrium dimensions are used to predict the length of DBCs. This length is used as an input for the rheology model discussed in the next slide.

Size considerations of the thermodynamic model.

Novel coarse-grained Brownian dynamics(BD)/kinetic Monte Carlo (kMc) model developed for predicting rheology of DBCs

- In this model, DBC chains are represented by springs and beads while the entanglements are represented by slipsprings.
- Simulation of stress relaxation is modeled through ٠ mechanisms like reptation, contour length fluctuations, constraint release, and dynamic union and scission, which are executed by the kMC method using the standard metropolis algorithm.
- The kMC algorithm simulates the reptation mechanism by the process of slip spring hopping.

The Coarse grained BD/kMC rheology model simulations are compatible with the experimental data, which demonstrates that reptation, constraint release, contour length fluctuations, and dynamic union and scission are the major relaxation

Slip-spring

Impact of using VES fluids A three-dimensional, multiphase production simulator is developed to predict the production from a reservoir, hydraulic fractured with VES fluids, by considering the impact of formation damage, fracture geometry, and fluid flowback.

Determine optimal viscosity

The production simulator is used to carry out the sensitivity analysis for determining the optimal viscosity of fracturing fluids, for a specific reservoir.

A closed-loop optimization problem is formulated and solved to obtain the optimum pumping schedule necessary for obtaining the final fracture geometry with uniform proppant concentration inside the hydraulic fractures.

The 2D view of the production simulator

Sensitivity analysis to determine optimal viscosity prediction

Optimal pumping schedule to attain target fracture geometry

Plans for Future Testing/Development/Commercialization

Future Plans/Development

- Compatibility studies with other components of fracturing fluids
- Investigation of permeation improvements
- Laboratory scale fracturing tests
- Large-scale fracturing tests
- Development of models for estimating fracturing performance of DBCs
- Aging studies for storage over prolonged periods of time
- Scale-up studies

Plans for Future Testing/Development/Commercialization

Scale-up and Commercialization

- As a first step in scale-up, **Incendium Technologies** are obtaining kinetical parameters for reactions of the most promising three formulations.
- Pilot reactor is being designed and will be built based on the kinetical parameters obtained.
- The sourcing of raw materials for pilot scale production will be established.
- The energy consumption and operational costs will be monitored to be able to estimate the cost of novel viscosifiers.
- After confirming enhanced fracturing efficiency and pilot scale production, Incendium Technologies and **Eastman Chemicals** will establish agreements for large scale production.

- Novel gelling agents with super-adjustable viscosity have been developed.
- Nanoarchitecture of building blocks of DBCs can tuned to alter the target pH for stimuli-responsiveness
- DBCs have demonstrated high-tolerance against temperature.
- Salinity has a weak influence on the viscosity of DBCs.
- One particular formulation has been discovered to have increased viscosity with increasing salinity.
- DBCs have demonstrated exceptional ability to suspend proppants.
- Synergistic influence of viscosity and intermolecular interactions (DBC mesh adhering to proppant particles) are responsible for enhanced proppant stability.

Appendix

Organizational Chart

Gantt Chart

Task Description	Mile- stone	Milestone Description	Milestone Verification Process	Expected Quarter	Adsorption and Desorption of DBCs on/from Proppant and	F1	Successful development of dynamic models describing adsorption and	Laboratory-scale studies to verify the models with a criterion of 20%	8	
Flow and Viscosity Properties of DBCs	A1	Achieve a viscosity of 50–1000 cP with $0.1-2\%$ of DBC solutions at shear rates of 40–100 s ⁻¹	Rotational and oscillatory rheometry measurements with three repeats for each sample	1	Fracture Surfaces Laboratory Experiments to Evaluate Hydraulic Fracturing	G1	desorption of DBCs on proppant surfaces Obtain 50% enhancements in fracture permeability and conductivity using DBCs	accuracy Tests using specimens obtained unconventional reservoirs from	8	
A2	A2	Accomplish a reversible re-adjustability of 100-fold in viscosity of DBCs at	High-pressure rheometry studies with three replicate	2	Performance		compared to four commercial fracturing fluids	Texas		
		typical reservoir pressures, temperatures, and salinities via pH stimulus	measurements for each sample	Go/No-Go Decision Point 2			Go if Milestones 3.1–7.1 are successfully completed.	No-Go if there are challenges associated with these Milestones. We will modify nanoarchitectures	8	
Proppant Dispersion Stability	Bl	Obtain 100% improvements in proppant carrying capacity compared to four commercial fracturing fluids for a given concentration of DBC	Static and dynamics sand settling experiments	3	Computational studies of estimating wastewater recovery and gas production rates after	H1	Develop a subroutine for non-Newtonian fluid to compute the modified viscosity due to DBCs and use this subroutine for	Experimental studies to confirm the validity of models with a criterion of 20% accuracy	9	
	B2	Realize 3 orders of magnitude reversible control over the proppant settling	Static and dynamics sand settling experiments	s sand 4 fracking fluid clean-up		calculating gas production and water recovery rates				
Go/No-Go Decision Point 1		velocity in situ Go if Milestones 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, and 2.2 are successfully completed.	No-Go if there are challenges associated with these Milestones. We will modify	4	Scale-up, Large-Scale Manufacturing, and Field Testing of DBCs	I1	Determine all kinetic parameters of DBC formulation with the best laboratory-scale performance, which are the main scale-up parameters	Time-resolved spectroscopic analysis	9	
Extent of Reversibility and Reusability	C1	Achieve a maximum of 20% reduction in viscosity and proppant carrying capacity after 5 stimulus cycles	nanoarchitecture of DBCs. High-pressure rheometry and sand settling measurements verified with three different	5		12	Realize 100 pounds/day production rate at a minimum yield of 85% in a pilot plant specifically designed manufacturing of DBC	Spectroscopic analysis for determining the reaction yield and digital balances for measuring the production rates	10	
	C2	Achieve a maximum of 10% reduction	samples High-pressure rheometry and	6		13	Achieve at least 50% improvements in the hydrocarbon recovery on the field tests compared to the current-state-of-art	Coriolis flow meter measurements of natural gas production in the selected well over 10 days	11	
		capacity after 10 stimulus cycles	sand settling measurements verified with three different samples	verified with three different samples		Cost-Benefit Analysis	J1	Prepare a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis considering raw material cost,		12
Compatibility with Other Chemicals in Fracking Fluids	D1 Identify at least one compound that is compatible with DBCs for all functions of clay stabilization, friction reduction, corrosion inhibition, scale inhibition, and iron control suitable for hydraulic fracturing	Identify at least one compound that is compatible with DBCs for all functions	Aging and phase behavior experiments, visual	7			production cost, deployment costs, durability, life-time, and potential benefits			
		inspection, and chemical spectroscopy		Go/No-Go Decision Point 3		Go if Milestones 8.1–11.1 are successfully completed. Apply for the Phase II project to reach TRL 8–9.	No-Go if there are challenges associated with these Milestones.	12		
Computational Models to Describe Proppant Transport and Fracture Propagation	E1	Successful development of dynamic models for 3D, simultaneously growing multiple fractures with at least 2D proppant transport	Experimental validation within 20% accuracy	6						