

**SWANSON** ENGINEERING

**F** 

## Integrated Computational Materials and Mechanical Modeling for Additive Manufacturing of Alloys with Graded Structure used in Fossil Fuel Power Plants

#### Wei Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Albert To<sup>1</sup>, Michael A. Klecka<sup>2</sup>

- 1 Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA.
- 2 Raytheon Technology Research Center, 411, Silver Lane, East Hartford, CT 06108, USA.

weixiong@pitt.edu, +1 (412) 383-8092 http://www.pitt.edu/~weixiong

Acknowledgement:



University of

Pittsburgh

Raytheon

**Fechnologies** 

• •







#### **Background and significance**





# Balance between performance and cost

#### Repair pipeline in a rapid mode



Images from internet





**SWANSON** ENGINEERING

EEd

#### **Project objectives**

Integrating available computational materials and mechanical engineering tools for graded structure alloy design by WAAM with demonstration.

- 1. What are the effective tools can be used for the effective design?
- 2. What are the limitations of the tools?
- 3. how to integrate computation and experiments for design purpose?





#### WAAM setup



WAAM setup available in RTRC showing the PAW torch, 6axis robot and wire feeder



(a) Schematic of the PAW torch and (b) in-situ observation during the deposition



ENGINEERING

РТТ

#### **Design Strategy**







#### P91 and 740H single component builds

#### K, Li, M. Klecka, S. Chen, W. Xiong, Additive manufacturing, under revision



![](_page_5_Picture_5.jpeg)

6

#### Grain texture prediction for 740H using Discrete Dendrite Dynamics

Paul, S., Liu, J., Zhao, Y., Sridar, S., Klecka, M., Xiong, W., To, A., A Discrete Dendrite Dynamics Model for Epitaxial Columnar Grain Growth in Metal Additive Manufacturing with Application to Inconel. Additive Manufacturing (accepted for publication), 2020

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

99

PMME

DDD model predicts the microstructure of entire WAAM processed 740H superalloy build successfully

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Sharp interface gradient builds (P91 on 740H vs. 740H on P91)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thermal modeling and residual stress modeling

The model was calibrated using 10 layers, single track for single component builds

#### Thermal model

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

Calibrated using thermocouple and melt pool measurements

#### Substrate **!**← 5.08 (Unit: mm) (Unit: mm) 12.7 TC2 Deposit 38.1 TC1 101.6 🕀 ТСЗ 80 0 $\boldsymbol{x}$ 31.75 50.8 Bottom Top 101.6 19.05 31.75

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### **Mechanical Model**

Validated using X-ray diffraction

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

Location	Index	XRD (MPa)	Simulation w/o phase transformation		Simulation w/ phase transformation	
			Residual stress (MPa)	Error with XRD	Residual stress (MPa)	Error with XRD
Substrate (A36)	P1	52 ± 5	81	+55.8%	62	+19.2%
	P2	216 ± 7	190	-12.6%	220	+1.9%
	P5	50 ± 3	219	+338.0%	116	+132.0%
Deposit (B91)	P3	118 ± 44	400	+239.0%	140	+18%
	P4	124 ± 50	181	-46.0%	150	+21.0%

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Thermal results**

#### Thermal Conductivity of IN740H is ~2x smaller than P91

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Residual Stress Simulation**

Steel P91 over Inconel 740H

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Residual stress much higher in the "Steel over Inconel" simulation case

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

12

**Inconel 740H over Steel P91** 

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Gradient interface builds**

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Structure-property modeling: yield strength modeling

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

PMMD

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Structure-property modeling: creep design modeling

 $x_i$ 

Creep merit index, *M*<sup>creep</sup>:

$$e^{ep} \propto \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{\exp(-\frac{Q_{i}}{RT})} \propto \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{\exp(-\frac{\widetilde{Q_{i}}}{RT})} \propto \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{\widetilde{D_{i}}}$$
 $e^{ep}_{NOV} = M^{creep}_{hcc} \cdot x_{hcc} + M^{creep}_{Ecc} \cdot x_{fcc}$ 

Diffusional creep is considered in this design model framework. Only tendency variation is predicted.

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

Reed, R. C., T. Tao, and N. Warnken. Acta Materialia 57.19 (2009): 5898-5913.

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

## Composition design modeling for graded alloy builds

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

## РММД

**SWANSON** ENGINE ERING

## WAAM of gradient builds with graded interface

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

In-situ observation of the twin-wire feed during deposition

Bulk temperature observed via IR camera between deposited layers. The upper layers (740H) have much lower thermal conductivity and take longer time to cool.

Toolpath used for gradient deposition

- IR camera used to observe bulk coupon temperature between deposit layers
- Interpass temperature maintained to be below 175°C prior to depositing next layer to avoid diffusion or dilution between layers
- Individual wire feed rates were specified for alloy blending
- Base layers deposited at slightly higher power, to encourage good fusion to substrate
- Overall mass deposition rate is approximately 2 lb/hr which is similar to the previous sharp interface and single component builds

## PMMD

## Gradient build with gradient interface fabricated using WAAM

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Gradient build with 85% P91 steel interface

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

**SWANSON** ENGINEERING

**PIT** 

#### Gradient build with 85% P91 interface

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

**SWANSON** ENGINEERING

EEd

#### Damage comparison using FEM simulations

Joint property: same material property as P91 but with reduced ultimate tensile strength

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Concluding remarks**

#### **Achievements**

- Promising results obtained for graded alloy build using WAAM
- A set of ICME tools have been developed and integrated for the design modeling for WAAM of steel, superalloy and their mixture.
- The developed WAAM and heat treatment of P91 and 740H can be further applied to larger components after UQ analysis.

#### **Ongoing research**

- Finish analysis and design on the graded alloy by WAAM with post-heat treatment.
- Analysis and design on the intermediate blocks including both WAAM and post-heat treatment.

#### Plan for improvement

• Further design macro/microstructure interface for improved properties

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Journal publications

- Kun Li, Michael A Klecka, Shuying Chen, Wei Xiong\*, "Wire-arc additive manufacturing and post-heat treatment optimization on microstructure and mechanical properties of Grade 91 steel", Additive Manufacturing, Under revision, (2020)
- Santanu Paul, Jian Liu, Seth T. Strayer, Yunhao Zhao, Soumya Sridar, Michael A. Klecka, Wei Xiong, Albert C. To\*, "A Discrete Dendrite Dynamics Model for Epitaxial Columnar Grain Growth in Metal Additive Manufacturing with Application to Inconel", Additive Manufacturing, 36 (2020) 101611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101611

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

PITT SWANSON ENGINEERING

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Thank you very much for your attention. ③

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Physical Metallurgy and Materials Design Laboratory** *Bridge Scientific Fundamentals and Engineering Applications*

www.pitt.edu/~weixiong weixiong@pitt.edu

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

PITT SWANSON ENGINEERING