Advanced Ultra-Supercritical Technology Developments and Update on ComTest Project

DOE Contract DE-FE0025064

Robert Purgert

purgert@energyinohio.org Principal Investigator Energy Industries of Ohio

Horst Hack

hhack@epri.com Presenter / Technical Manager Electric Power Research Institute

2020 NETL High Performance Materials Project Review Meeting Virtual Meeting

September 1, 2020







Goals: The A-USC ComTest Project will lead to...

- Higher efficiency for new and existing fossil fuel plants
 - 10% above today's new state-of-the-art coal power plants, and
 - 25% above that of the average power plants in the U.S. existing fleet
- Lower emissions (NOx, SOx, CO₂)
- Minimized risk for utilities desiring to build A-USC plants
- Support for design of A-USC boiler & steam turbine at 760°C
- Accelerated development of U.S. domestic supply chain for advanced materials and components
- Validation of technology applicable to fossil, nuclear, sCO2, and renewable power generation options, all targeted by the U.S. DOE NETL Cross-Cutting Research Technology Program



Pathway to Increased Efficiency of Rankine Cycle

Nomenclature	Steam Conditions	Net Plant Efficiency (HHV)
Subcritical	2400psig 1000 to 1050°F	35%
Supercritical (SC)	>3600psig ~1050°F (550°C) and above	38%
Ultrasupercritical (USC)	>3600 psig ~1100°F (600°C) and above	>42%
Advanced- Ultra Supercritical (A-USC)	4000-5000psig 1300-1400°F (700- 760°C)	>45%

Materials are the limiting factor to achieving higher efficiency



History of the United States A-USC Program





Background of US A-USC Materials Programs

Present work builds upon 15-year effort, administratively managed by **Energy Industries of Ohio**, with technical management by **EPRI**, supported by **U.S. Department of Energy**, **Ohio Coal Development Office**, and industry participants

Boiler Materials for Advanced Ultra-supercritical Coal Power Plant

- DOE Contract: DE-FG26-01NT41175
- OCDO Grant: CDO-D-05-02(A)
- Materials for Advanced Ultra-supercritical Steam Turbines
 - DOE Contract: DE-FE0000234
 - OCDO Grant: CDO-D-05-02(B)



Primary Technical Goals of US A-USC Materials Programs

- Evaluate materials technology for A-USC
 - Focus on nickel-based alloys
 - Develop fabrication and joining technology for new alloys
- Consider the unique conditions for US program
 - Higher-temperatures than other international programs (760°C versus 700°C) means additional alloys evaluated
 - For boiler:
 - Corrosion resistance for US coals
 - Data for ASME BPV Code acceptance of new materials
 - Impact of combining A-USC with other CO₂ capture technologies such as Oxy-combustion
 - Design for cycling operation to maximize flexbility



Accomplishments of ComTest Phase I

- Completed Pre-FEED and FEED tasks
- Prepared preliminary capital cost estimates
- Worked with suppliers to develop supply chain
- Developed test plan for Producing Components
- Selected suitable supply chain candidates for making full scale components
- Identified U.S. foundry, forge, extrusion and fabrication capability which is now competing with Defense needs.

Phase II work plan to build upon Phase I results



Tasks Completed in A-USC Materials Programs

General design studies show favorable economics









Welding Technology Developments



Fireside Corrosion (High-Sulfur Coal & In-Plant Testing)

© 2020 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

Fabrication Processes





Turbine Component Scale-up



8

Next Step... Building Upon Prior Work





ComTest Phase II Participant Map



10



ComTest Phase II Project Team

Team Member	Funder	Role
US DOE NETL	\checkmark	Funder
OCDO (Ohio)	\checkmark	Funder
EIO		Prime Contractor & Administration
EPRI	\checkmark	Technical Lead
GE	\checkmark	Supply of Fabrications and Valve
RILEY POWER		Welded Fabrications
METAL TEK &	\checkmark	Supplier of Turbine Casting
McCONWAY & TORLEY		(10-ton Nozzle Carrier)
SPECIAL METALS	\checkmark	Wye Forging and Header
SCOT FORGE		Steam Turbine Rotor Forging
AECOM		EPC Contractor



ComTest Utility Advisory Committee

- Help to shape and guide the project
- Prioritize work scope
- Ensure key technical needs are met
- Collaborate
- Provide utility perspective

Current Members	
Southern Company	
AEP	
Duke	
FirstEnergy	
Tri-State	



ComTest Phase II – Project Organization Chart





www.epri.com

ComTest Phase II Work Plan



- Fabrication of components identified as being outside of the proven capabilities of the existing supply chain, including:
 - Steam turbine rotor forging and Haynes 282 nozzle carrier casting
 - Superheater and reheater header and tube assemblies
 - Large diameter pipe extrusions and forgings
 - Test valve articles to support ASME Code approval
- Key fabrication steps will also be done including boiler weld overlays and simulated field repairs
- Extensive inspection and quality assurance testing of the components
- ASME Code approval for key components

Significant fabrication work will be done with lessons learned provided



ComTest Phase II Project Scope Superheater and Valves

- GE concluded that the operational testing of a pilot scale A-USC superheater was not necessary to confidently design a commercial scale A-USC boiler
- GE recommended alternative focus for boiler components
 - Construction of full-scale SH/RH assembly and membrane panels
 - Thick-wall headers, pipes, tube stubs and tubes
 - Welding, simulated field erection, inspection, repair
 - Additional supply chain development on valves (long lead time)
 - PRV and PARV safety valves
 - National Board qualification testing for ASME Code approval



ComTest Phase II Project Scope Steam Turbine

- GE concluded that the operational testing of an A-USC ComTest turbine was not needed to confidently design a commercial scale A-USC steam turbine, but
 - Want to verify that **full-scale** castings and forgings can be constructed
 - Have provided drawings of full-scale **800MW** equivalent components
 - Have provided test/inspection criteria to validate successful completion

ComTest Phase II Current Activities

- Developing procurement specifications for full-scale components
- Identifying supply chain firms capable of producing nickel super alloy components
- Negotiating sub-awards, acquiring materials, maintaining a technical liaison with suppliers thru all phases of production
- Completing the fabrication and production of components
- Performing materials testing to ensure specifications achieved
- Validating supply chain fabrication methods
- Documenting testing and evaluations and reporting results

17



ComTest Phase II - Major Component Activity















Steam Turbine Assembly – Nozzle Carrier



www.epri.com





STEAM TURBINE ELEVATION VIEW



A-USC Steam Turbine Nozzle Carrier Casting (10 tons Haynes 282) Note: Trial casting is upper section







Solidification Modelling of Nozzle Casting Component









ComTest Schedule

- Phase I
 - Pre-FEED
 - NEPA
 - FEED
- Detailed Engineering
- Phase II
- Turbine Rotor Forging
- Nozzle Carrier Casting
- Valve Testing / NB Qualification
- Superheater Component Fab.
- Pipe Forgings and tube trials
- Metallurgical Testing Plan
- Evaluation & Reporting



Key:

Milestone

Based upon July 23, 2020 Project Management Plan

(i.e. meeting, presentation)



Important Because... Cross-cutting Applicability to sCO2 Power Cycles, Solar Applications and others

- sCO2 power cycles share common materials, forgings and castings with A-USC technology.
- Synergies exist between ComTest and DOE's Supercritical Transformational Electric Power (STEP) project that will demonstrate a large-scale supercritical CO2 (sCO2) power plant.
- The STEP program will use a main stop and control valve that is essentially the same as the MSCV contemplated for the A-USC ComTest project.
 - Demonstrate manufacture of large Haynes 282 alloy valve body
 - Operate valve in sCO2 environment, at similar temperatures to A-USC



ComTest Support Acknowledgement

Acknowledgment: This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FE0025064 and the Ohio Development Services Agency under Grant Agreement Number CDO-D-15-01.

Disclaimer: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government and an agency of the state of Ohio. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor the state of Ohio nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or by the state of Ohio or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the state of Ohio or any agency thereof.



Together...Shaping the Future of Electricity