



Novel Algae Technology for CO2 Utilization DE-SC0017077

PI James Maloney Helios-NRG, LLC



U.S. Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory 2020 Annual Review Meeting



Project Overview

- Project Type: SBIR Phase2
- Team:

Helios-NRG, LLC - Lead University at Buffalo, SUNY (UB) Membrane Technology & Research (MTR) Technology Opportunity Consulting (TOC)

- PI: James Maloney Co-PI's: Dr. Haiqing Lin; Dr Tim Merkel
- Federal Project Manager: Isaac Aurelio
- Start: 8/27/18 End: 12/31/20
- DOE Phase2 Grant: \$1,009,588

Project Objectives

- Develop a novel algae technology for efficient, cost effective CO2 capture from coal power plant flue gas
- Phase II builds on the progress made in Phase I and is intended to:
 - Develop first-of-a-kind MSC system
 - Demonstrate high CO2 capture efficiency
 - Demonstrate high productivity
 - Advance dewatering technology
 - Demonstrate potential for nutraceutical products

Technology Overview

- Cost effective CO2 capture from flue gas is difficult
 - Low pressure, low CO2 conc, huge volume, contaminants
 - High purity product; limited market; sequestration expensive
- For CO2 capture to be commercially viable, a revenue stream is required to offset cost of capture

Helios-NRG strategy:

- Develop algae capture technology for high CO2 capture efficiency + high productivity from coal flue gas
- Reduce cost via operational efficiency, credits, products
 - Bio-fuels; Animal feed; Nutraceuticals
 - Low cost dewatering
 - Negative cost wastewater nutrient inputs

Process Schematic



Advances in Project

- MSC system development
- Testing in natural sunlight
- Progress in dewatering
- Value added products
- Projected capture cost

MSC CO2 Capture Technology



- First 3-stage integrated MSC system built
- Gas/liquid flow control system built & validated
- Stable performance of automated MSC system demonstrated
- Tested in natural sunlight greenhouse & outdoors

Natural Sunlight Variation in Greenhouse



MSC operation tailored/optimized for large intensity variation

MSC Performance Evolution

PBR Type	Light		Feed Gas		# of	Overall Performance		
	Source	Intensity Avg (Lux)	CO2	Post FGD Cont	# Of Stages	Avg Prod (g/m2/day)	Total CO2 Cap Eff (%)	
E	Artificial	~9,000	12.0%	N/A	3	14.1	54%	
R	Artificial	~9,000	12.0%	N/A	3	19.9	80%	
Н	Sunlight	~11,000	12.0%	SOX/NOX + HM	3	21.2	73%	
С	Sunlight	~14,500	12.0%	SOX/NOX + HM	2	30.8	74%	

Performance dependent on PBR design, operation & light intensity Heavy Metals (HM): As, Se, Hg, Cu, Cr

MSC Performance Outdoors

Light variation between indoor and outdoor operation

Month	Month Avg Light Intensity (Lux)						
wonth	Internal GH	External GH					
Apr-19	6893						
May-19	9423						
Jun-19	11306						
Jul-19	12981	23336					
Aug-19	12144	20421					
Sep-19	7808	15395					
Oct-19	3909	9450					
Nov-19	3002	4759					
Dec-19	2354	3463					
Jan-20	2236	3842					
Feb-20	4874	7049					
Mar-20	4963	10190					
Apr-20	8211	13397					
May-20	10888	16116					
Jun-20	15577	22690					
Jul-20	13675	19071					

	Li	ight	Fee	d Gas	# of MSC	Perf in Long-Term Test			
PBR Type	Location	Intensity Avg (Lux)	CO2	Post FGD Cont	Stages	Avg Prod (g/m2/day)	Total CO2 Cap Eff (%		
F	GH	~10,500	12%	N/A	3	15.3	51.9%		
Н	GH	~12,000	12%	N/A	3	21.8	59.3%		
С	Outdoor	~17,500	12%	N/A	2	33.2	81.2%		
С	Outdoor	~26,500	12%	N/A	2	36.1	88.3%		

~1.5-2x reduction in light intensity inside GH vs outside

- Due to blockage from facility infrastructure
- MSC performance highly dependent on incident light intensity and PBR design
- Outdoor operation demonstrates ability of MSC system to achieve high productivity + CO2 capture efficiency

Progress in Products

- Selected species for high value products
 - Developed new culture method
 - Scaled up to GH operations
 - Tested range of CO2 feed
 - Products include nutraceuticals and feed additives



Value Proposition

Induction, Extraction, Analysis

- Tested three methods of induction -Time reduced by over 50%
- Extracted several products from each species
- Analysis by TLC & HPLC



H-0816 before and after induction

TLC analysis of induced algae extracts



DeAqua - Algae Dewatering



	Initial T	arget	Current Work			
	Product Conc	Water	Product Conc	Water		
DeAqua Stage	Ratio	Recovery (%)	Ratio	Recovery (%)		
1 - Gravity Table	~5	~80.0	~25	~96.0		
2- Anti-fouling Membrane	~12	~18.3	~8	~3.5		
3- Mechanical Dewatering	~10	~1.5	~3	~0.3		

Product Concentration Ratio = <u>Product Algae Conc</u> Feed Algae Conc

Advanced Gravity Table

	DeAqua Stage 1 Performance Specification					
Project	Product Conc	Performance	Product			
Project	Ratio	Index	Recovery			
Phase I Work	3-6	0.12-0.25	70%			
Current Work	20-25	5.0-12.5	80-95%			

Product Concentration Ratio = <u>Product Algae Conc</u> Feed Algae Conc

> Performance Index = <u>Prod. Conc Ratio</u> Process Time

Significant progress in Stage 1:

- Now removes >90% water compared to ~60% in Phase 1
- Energy reduced to ~5% of total algae energy content
- Original objective of 4X improvement in Perf Index greatly exceeded

Advance Anti-fouling Membrane



dewatering: 10–20 LMH

Impact of surface modification on water permeance



- Algae solutions can foul membranes
- Algae can accumulate on the surface
- Surface modification reduces fouling & increases water permeance

Surface modification improves performance in the critical regime

Fabrication of surface modified membrane module



0.14m2 spiral-wound module fabricated and parametric tests with algae to be conducted

Techno-Economic Projection

Algae biomass production cost:

- 2022 DOE Projection (25 g/m2/day):
- Project Target (25 g/m2/day):

\$494/dry ton \$ 510/dry ton

Current Projection (30 g/m2/day):

\$455/dry ton

• Algae biomass utilization can generate \$260-760/ton-algae

- 50% for biofuel (\$2.5-4/gge)
- 48% for animal feed (\$200-700/ton)
- 2% for nutraceuticals (\$500-700/kg)

Credits can add significant value:

- CO2 capture credits: 0-30 \$/ton CO2
- Waste water credits for N & P removal (\$210-500/ton)
- Net CO2 capture cost range: from +18 to -400 \$/ton
- => Cost neutral CO2 capture possible even without credits

Summary

- First of a kind integrated MSC system built and tested
- >80% CO2 capture efficiency demonstrated
- Average productivity >30 g/m2/day demonstrated outdoors
- Robust operation
- Several high value nutraceuticals validated in algae
- Substantially increased performance of dewatering

"Algae technology can enable a cost effective approach to high efficiency CO2 capture from coal flue gas"









Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-SC0017077.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Appendix

Organization Chart



Gantt Chart

			Quarters from Project Start							
Task# Task Description		Milestones	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Design, Build, and Validate MSC	 MSC Design Complete System fabricated Capture eff >80% Productivity >25 g/m2/day 								
2	Optimize Nutraceuticals Production	 Inter-stage MSC stream defined Preferred algae selected Nutritional content validated 								
3	Advance DeAqua Gravity Table	 Conc ratio of >4X achieved >80% recovery from bottom 								
4	Advance DeAqua Anti-fouling Mem	 Membrane water flux doubled Module fabricated Module perf demonstrated 								
5	Refine Techno-economics	- TEA updated								
6	Project Management									